





forther assort

The People - n. Be. bunt-" End Roney det 1. 71 " John Byle due. &M. Pathern_ 72 "alfred Villa " Win Carther -23. " Jem, Bane " Frank Kims 74. "anyun-Inion. , gum Sany-25-" Eli Crosh_ " Min Weekmen. 76 "Chr. M. Lawson " Hing Jens -17. U) " E. Muy -William Herblin die. 78. YE. E. Mc Tadden Inn Roc -211. 29 " L. E. Walka_ John Minahem -815 It Hardman -J.O. Sonnel 23. 81 " huen Lye_ John Munuleun 24 82 L. Cochian -" a. E. Poil 25-G 83 " Jolfon Bana " & Waller etal 26, 85 H " Ed. Jehmam -" Luth James -29 86, " Mu Jehnson 311. 28 4 B. G. Venn_ " Frank allin 32. 100 " Chr. E. Brok andrew Burn. 3 3. 104 " Joe. Jacob-" Gar allen -3 5-105 Bru Ric - dal -" Thomas noten 3 7. 100 " M" Brents. Stae -" Pera Mende 38 103 " Som Shorish " " Jenny Sambe 108 39. " na. Garcia " Chin Lauretzin -109 40 " Millon Cerce_ " Mu Bener-110 41 " Just Munly stil " William Beate. M 44 111 " Jue. Jasuli-Je alleren 45-112 N " W. h. Bugali " YEu Pamphill _ 48 113 " John John " Dan Roberty -50 114 0 · hour helm golin argyli -67 115-" how form dat mans murphy-5-2. 116 ". W. ollman " Pali- Sullinan -5-3 117 " Thomas Clarke " M.S. How stal. 5-4 118 " J. E. Silva 5-5- " John Buston " 119 R Jee Emas g.M. Smith 5-4 124 " Surie Gre-Ya Malow slav 5-8 128 " Chs. Waller_ Mi Jeserson 127 Jehn hiffell 60 " W. R. Lynch 128 Dem Smith clase -" Willis Greddrith exit. 61 Therson alviso " Jehn G. Daglen 62. Jon Mefine, state 63 " Dun Mee_ " John Mays 64 133 Mu Comerson -66 134 " Jen bullman 67 135-68 136 " Mrs Emerson 69 137 " Jany Jany Jo. . Much Murphy -138

ally A f. 15. A. H. Suyn - 27. The 1st haten Bank. 15 Mm Banks 5. While Gether a h. S. Marker 57 Blessingan M. G. as fain & Sandas 34. auchin of Coffeen. a Chr. Leren. 163 Brilio. J. D. a Smith & Porce 87. air fram C.G. " a Manuter. 1411 Bartin Gr. " M.S. Williams 123. Buelle P.a. " h P. Hebn 124. Bennetin & ... C. & Cam. 209.

" " " & E. Camob- 210

Benn. C.M. H. M. Bale 253.

8-Cumming att, on M. M. Gener-13. Cucham Fred as h hum-Carla Hogan Carla HB-Clash. BB-5 D. E. Harry.

" To E. Callerol.

"Sed-Minohemolie". 182 Sung. M.C. B 250 July - 1. B. 1 - Havig Hawfra 162. Sezan Samil . M.M. Milliams Dezan Samil . Mm Jans -301. Limahur etat C 3112. D G H K M N 0 R

Emaker. C. n R. Emi - 24%. 1. alt Mich, 34 Giela (a. Ednery , S.G. " how Bolish Tuling Jeagn. Ranning P. R. n. M. L. Willing 44 299. " J. E. Sans 91 " Mrs Shatings . 92 " Ea. Jurinus 93. " R. Gucia 94. " J. C. Herbiris 95 a & B. Plack. 96 Colin Nifcon. 97 (8. M. Brillian 12 2. , a. Rackena 232. " " Parkard Bro. 233. Bugate W.W. . Mr. Jens _ 235-Franning P. R. " G. M. Gulle. CES.

b._ 45- Hodgelen. Chn. m. M. J. Brung-84 " Sol. Morlina 1. Ohn Welen May N.E. Mem. G.h. J M N 0 P R S U V

W

John Den Huy, 1. Tim Eferde 179.

Ming E. g. m. John Bozman & Lehmann. 8. n. Jacob Label-Hulin Geldring a Rose Greaty 192 " Some Mahl-" G. G. Amwysen _ 192 "
"Biblin Cranfine _ 194 "
" ES. Smith 195 Lynd. C.
" J. M. James _ 196. Luc. H 196. Luce to. " C. H. Hum. Lunde E. Py. Some - Mr. Dagget-Samber-C.C. " P.M. Reder Lee. S.M. , H.M. Bayy dal 295-R W

Mullen. Jung - 15 - J. C. Kumer Mis. 9. molannee hou " michael Innolan - 17. mergan &B. me Duein a.E. " C. C. Hain etal 21 4. Bu Brow 88 n. Jack Reeden 131 4 J. General. 184 . J. S. Muul. 224 mc Simela &B. martille SH. murich &. h_ " J.S. Ferthe 270 maleilin. N.L. margen 8_

Parene- Hucham, a. A. H. Bargutt. 4. Partle H.C. 3 & Columbrufe-102. Puru. S.E. 1 C. H. Hann 188 Panul- P.P. - . J. E. Pourre _ 214 Prince B. R. " Me Redard me 280-Prezet- Samo L.C. "M. S. St. Steeffer -262 Zung Huig in Chung Som - 285: Obnetish - 8- 20 Mm Buets The _ 164. Oun St. . S. L. Hogun die. 284 M 0

R

Ridenew M.M. slae - B. Cum Holly 183-Selle Jenn as JaBash & Roch. neunt. " U.C. Cot 203 1- 3. 1 Harris 49. Rungen. M. S. "9. h. 7 J. Dunner, 263. Sayle, C.h. Smith Hora 2 a.C. adems 96 " " Rumant Mahirim 264 " Her frie - 277 a HC.B. Milla 99 Reen a_ Julian Mily te. " J. Lyon 183 Dingleton John. ". Bum & Sully, 240. Seerce. G. S. " J. F. Matuhen 229. Shattuck gh. Sulahit-11 4 230 .. 3.8 Rue. 276. Simpon fer. The Parge 4. Mante Culy-" arther Cash det. 25%. a f. A. Garl. 343. 241 The People " P. J. Edwards_ " DE. Ruful 314 " Celbe halle. 265 a h. Willind 305 " Peag Hand-266 " C. MoGreald " be. Russell clas. 314 269 " Juni Bourer det " Guch Salel, die 31%. 27/ Whethether deal 349. Jehn John. 272 " G. P. Syan -19 Holidoly 223. " G H. Berlow " ft. William 311. 274 . O. & Reac " See- Helly. 3/2. 275-" S. Thema-. Ele. Walineen 3/3. 274 Min Carnen G. a. neble. " Whee Pary 314 2811. a Hreegen state 271 3/5-" J. J. Smith. John Griffitt LYL 316 283 . R. Roland. 31% . Milas Duna slew " M. Camplole. 286 318 " IN Kulet-. H. Duceny. 287 319 .. Oly. Julm -2 48 " for Bolgu 250 291 muc John alak 291 muc Prette. Elg. Williams John Jayla. 296 297 John allow stil 298 Ge. Lauren 300

B.

1

People. Juzler Gelm 15- Th. J. Brundt - 18. Budher. 3. E. " Mich- to Thomas, 124 audien Jugum - stat 139 a. harmeting_ 141 Blum. J. 1. 1. 0. Herfu 274 ale. But the Ch thee-142. Willi Guedowsk stal 143. John William 144 Sam Gari 145-El. Rearden 146 Mard. M. He- 1 /m Jens - 318. Sunting Bul 147 P. Darha etal 148 E.B. Miller _ 150 John Su 157 J. & Shine 152 People -E.B. mille 15-3 The Pupe - 15- Min Suligmen John Suc 2114 154 " Jum Gemushy John Hoolford 205-15-5-I had neen " Se. Smit elec 207. 15-6 " " C. Me Similar mens Campbelle_ 15-7 208 " Mr. hem 158 211 - Mm Pather " Ja Butth elat 212. 15-9 J. J. Stone 2/3 164 " . C. McGenala. Jedhu Gu 214 " 161 I how However stat " " O. a. Bern 215-11 162 " fee Blum -Breuter Walter 216 1 163 Frutter Michel . M. H. Stenail del 165 217. " J. J. Stone -. D. E. Campsbille_ 169 221 " " G.a. nobl Mis Comartis 221 " 16% " ale. Brig -Hung Bunfield etal 223 11 164 « J. O. Brian Jehn Mis elel -224 11 170 " East. Canlein stat Human Haimen 171 225-11 a a Lozza ie muy John Smith. 172 226 11 " . Frank Balds 227. " N.B. Habba 173 126 R " " Theo. O. Brien arohe Rogers -228 " " " Carspolellon Thurs Jens 1881 23/ " " " fee Emas. 181 8 Justin Midul 234 " " . J. R. Candly 236 " E. J. Felium 237 " 8. 8. Och etat 187 238. " C. C. Shloth Same. Comis state 188 244 "C.S. Bloth E. E. Muchille 241 " Huenn Thom u u 242 Gacat Hoffman 243 " J. Montha Eath Walle 242 Mohan holsh " Mr. St. Clu 244 " J.M. Scals - state " E. The Gradden " En Due stil 248 " J. Mc Carly-" for Carth 25-5Warlack, Martin 15-12. Berning - 7. Woodwigh. R.B. , H.B. Chine-47. 89 Walker &- " B.M. Elem Wilsh H. H. n. P.R. Pelo-101 149. White M. H. " C H. Hum

COUNTY OF GREEN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

30

Township,

She People of Californice
Plaintiff

Vs.

Defendant

Action Cazianez

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. 1888.

The 2. Complaine files William igne grien Soft Court his Batter.

" Sefundant in Court and informed of the Charge against him

App. and of his rights in the premiers - Sefundant pleades guilly
and main the legal home for Bentince
Menefore by reason of the law and the premier afordain

it is ordand and adjudged that for 3 aid officer that you

See. must be and you are hereby Committed

to the Sheriff of the County of men and by Said

Sheriff, imprisoned in the County fail of Said County

for afordain of fefteen stape
St. File J. A.

Township,

COUNTY OF Buern, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of Calefornia Plaintiff John Bush Geo. Minner, Mrs Shelly Eas. Murphy. Ed Sulz. John Serry and John Marrier Defendants

Action bagean cy

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

6" Complaint filed Manant of seed you to fel Com B. 3.18 auther All " Sefendant in Court and informed of the Change against thew and of their right in the Jesemin Sofundard the quilly as chayee Safudant Golin Bigh. Be. Mune Gas. Mulling- Ed Saly, and John mure Say they Com get employment elley are therefor allend to been the Im-The Shell and John Jung - an though Committed to the Shiff of the any of meser and by David Sheriff impuramed in the county-fine of Dried muly for afining of him deep ease of is

No. 32

In the Justice's Court of Africa

Township,

COUNTY OF Brezze , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Brezzety

Action Property

Demand \$

Defendant

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

Mh. 7. Complaint and afficient mach and filed.

After Harant of and Spire of Mark of Mark of Short Marked Returnath

before Mr. H. Harry Inform Judge - Stilled J. 17.

Township,

COUNTY OF Survey, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant

Parent- and Genham-Plaintiff s. AH Bargreth

Action With of house

Demand \$ / 35 1/10

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. 1488

4" Pampleanit filed Summers ofmand of inen Cant. Johngam afficient for attachment much the plea modertally an affectment affined andfile, Wil of altachmul fred Again Court: fothe Summer returned Sherry Germal Suria and the defender togethe with a copy of the Complaint on fele him ande day of Juliumy 1488 and files Wit of attached Executed returns and filed -9. This Cann is diringfred by and of the Plantff harmy here & thele g. s?

COUNTY OF Surne, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of 32. Township,

The Bunt-hatinal Bank of Frem Plaintiff.

Defendant.

Action Mile of house

Demand \$250. Than

Church & Cary Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. /848

PROCEEDINGS.

The 9. Complaint file Summer form Join & Shangan

In the Justice's Court of Blance Township,

COUNTY OF MENNY. STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

PROCEEDINGS.

Estat of Thomas halls decessed Plaintiff Jehn Biz man Defendant.

Action Carriers Demand \$ / 31. 7/100 Sayle Halandl Attorney for Plaintiff Michel & Burn of Hung & Minen

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. 1808 July 111 Paroplane filed Summer fred & gen Court - Walter " Summy returned Strong Jurinal Suice on the Sofuelane togethe with a Copy of the Complainer on file hum on the 15th day of Inte 1888 und falled -17. Sefructent- files his demun to the Plumtiffs Complain- hun Sopuram fils his aurem to the Planstiffs-Conflain hum file Sepl-19. This Cour Bul- for trul at-10. Oc. a. hr. Sept-294 1888-29. (autimed by Courint of Parties until Oct -94- 1888 -9. 3. O.C. P. Mr. Pailes in Coul-and want hial by Juny · Od-Partie reacy for hime - Plantiff-rester his Cause on the pleading on file in this action - John Boymen men and examined as anitrof out his our belief-Cann argued and Submitted the Court high the dance under administrations day-On October 9th 1888. This Caure Came on regularly hote heard The Plaintiff appearing by Sugle of Claude his attimens and The defined and - affecting by - Michle & Finin & S. J. Hinds his atterney - after hearing the evidence office for and on behalf of the Said feartis - The Said defined and as well as the Said Plaintiff and The argument of Counted have on the 29th day October 1888 After making Envidening The Same and being full advised in the framers the Courtdoes find the ipues for the Plaintiff - Therefunit is by The Court-Ordered and adjudged That the Haintiff E. J. Ming administration of the Estate of Themes Metts deceased do han and recover of and fine John Bozmen. the defendant, the porrision of all the fearmal firefuly described in Plantiff Complaint-tunt; one gung man about four years old of the value of ful dellars and old man and Coll- of the ralue of Bith dellan and on Grong filly about the years old of the raling thut. dellan and of the aggregat rulin of \$13117 Suchan 9COUNTY OF Burne, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

3.

Township,

Action

Plaintiff Deman

Pelos A. Bashu Castulk

Defendant

Demand \$ Aring & Instance.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

Della 10. Camplaint on Claim and soling filed
" Summery of new area gir Spe Can & Many in

13. Summery relund Sheary purned Some on the defendance on file him on the

14 day of Belong 1888. and filed
16. Separan fils his anom to the Plantiff Complaint hum

May. 19. His Cann dis nipped by aribe of Plantiff Plantiff

frag nip Cart
Stilled J.M.

Pa

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Buene, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Mullen
Plaintiff

18.

Jae-C. Hames and
Barah Hames his wife

Defendant S

Action Camery

Demand \$3/1 "

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Desendant.

DATE. 1888	PROCEEDINGS.
	Emplain filed Summy your tyin Court C. P. Walter - Summer, relund Herring paronal Since on the Separant
	dagette nest a cefy of the Complaint-on file herin on the 5th
Mun	This Cours is dis mifued by order of Plentiff-Cont-Paris - SATHIB &M
Pan. 6-	The rale of Said ofwrench Justely-in Care a deliny
	Curred-be lied and it is further ordered and adjudged that the Plantiff recen from 8 air defendant the Costs of this action — taxed at \$7.75-
"	
a	Octal amount of Judgment - & 137.75
m 3.	Gudymand futh facererin of Sain firmal Jufut on the value thing - 131.00 " Gustatts "

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of R Township,

The People of Calefornial
Plaintiff

Ps.

James Bane Defendant.

Action Polit Sancing

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

The Mil Complaint files and affectain made Search haseast four of Col. Mathi

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ind Grohame
Plaintiff.....

No. No. Defendant....

Actron Succession -

Demand \$290. Jun-

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 Ith 13. Jourplaint filed Summer your ogiven Coun- C. P. hallow. 25 Summery returned Sharing personal Buice on the Sofracant-Jugitho with a Copy of the Complaint on file havin on the 230 day of Mennay 1488, and filed -28. Expression fly his demme to the Plentiff Conflaint on file turn -11. This Coun Sil-fur hind at-11. Oc. a.M. march. 16th. 1884-Pprece Bubpeaux - for the Pleintiff and gan Court-12. Sefucione fils his aresure to the Plant of Complaint on fele huis. 16. 10. O.C. a. m. Parties in Court and new heal by Juny Subformed relund and filed Parties ready for hial - min Sucham, S. D. bien and Phillip Brug. Sum and examined as without for the Plaintff- after which the Provedure Clerco chirede-Mufuleen View Surve and Examind as andrey on hihal of the Especial - Espera Cland cheel - Mid Encliman Called in rebuttle by the Hambiff - Cana Clerce argued and Submitted. The Court hall the Care muchen adies ment - mill 10, O. C. M. M. oh - 19th 1888 -11. O.C. a.m. The Come having fully Considered this matter. Muster by narm of the law and the firmines afererain it is ardered and adjudged that the Hemit do have and recen of and from the David defendant the Dum of One I hundred and thirty low (\$132.") dellas - by the come furthe Commonio of 6 600. grafe Cuthing - the fearmal Leofeah of the Plaintiff a \$20.0 for theurend - and for the Since of fre " on Cesto necessarily in cured in the forescenting of this action in laught many of the tenter States fredgment for the amount alline by the Court-132,00 " " " feresties fus -7,00 " Constable " 11.30 With S. S. Vin - 1-deg - 6-3,20 Phillip May " " " 3,20 8.15-6,70 Sopredent-allun a day of proceeding for him days

3

Township,

COUNTY OF Justice STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Regle of Colonia Plaintiff

Property of Survey

Defendant

Action Gistusting the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./999 Jul 13. Complaint files Warrant fred of in End C. P. Halle " Expendent in Come and informed of the Change against live fill and of his right in the fecusion- Efudeur feliceds quilly- and waring the legal time for Buttona. " Expressed fine and Paid - \$20" and disoloque man. Pap 13. 19, 11. O.c. a. M. - Media to Set arich fredymet - Celler up - Pleasity - allowe mutil 1. O.E. O.M. to find County. afficant-" 1. Oc. 1. M. Courte affectant files by Hantiff - Cemefrok the maller under actis mene-29. The com- having fully curduck Expressions- hution dines the Dann - Sofredont Frakts. St. Hice. E.M.

COUNTY OF Buenn

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Plaintiff

1. Lang

Defendant

Action Recent

Demand \$ 87 7/100

, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS Ital. 13. Tomplaint-filed Summer found and gin Count-C.P. Walten " affidair- for attack ment bread tiles Andosteting on attackment appened and filed Hold ottakund fruntgin Court - Hallin 21" Summons returned Strong from al Some anti defendant hagethe with a Copy of the complaint on the him in the Court of Jarangles - on the 15th day of February 1888 - and filed heir - Mie- of altrohund Grecation returne and filed -Meh-11. En this action the Expressione- being been regularly bund with pero cef and being failed to appear and answer the Plenith Confilance on file luin and the him alleme by law for answing having expedie the default of the Daid defendant-in the formis is lend duly entire according to law In this action the defendant having been regularly Benew with proof and having fucled to affect and anomer dat levistfo Complaine on fit him and the legal him to aurun henry It fend and the defeent of the Daid defendant in the frameis henry been aluly entire according to learn - Tron at this day on apple cation of the Plantiff. It is arched that Endyment be entire hore in agains - The David deformatent in accordance with the ference of Daier Heritiffs Empland en file train Therefore by warm of the law and the framen openeraid it-and and adjudged that the Plantiff do have coul recome of and from the said abfordant the sum of Eight- him dellay - The Principal Duce for and the Sum of Jung- Suren and 2/10 Volling Cary- me cerrains He Come in the formantin of this action in temply money of the tember State -Judy ment - for the Principal Such for 89,00 " Gustable " 8.50 1.8.70 8116,20 " Orbit cumunt of freeze ment --

Township,

COUNTY OF Bresse , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.

Action Eureung

The People of California Plaintiff

1 188.

Mary Steelman

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant.

DATE./888

Jul. 13. Complaint and afficient made and file, havene poly of ann-ifmed and gin H.B. Giles Sufe Hunty - admitted by Hen Mr. M. H. Hens at his Chembers - Set. Hille J. 19.

COUNTY OF GRAND , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Bhe Deoph of California
Plaintiff

Vs.
Lowern

Defendant

Action High Misdemenn

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

Mh. 14. Conflaint file hanent found and zin Court. halle.

Befor " on mith of the district alling this come is dernifed
on furtherne of frestien
Stiller. J. M.

Township,

COUNTY OF Busin, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Conney Defendant Action Iste of hance

Demand \$ 40 . /100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. /888 PROCEEDINGS 14. Complaint file Summers free ogin Planity-" affraint for attachment med & filed. Moderteting on askach new approved and filed. Wil-of attachment found organin Plaintiff 15. Summy return Bluming promal Suice on the Safurdent beguther with a Copy of the Complaint on file her on the 14th day of Julmay 1888. and filed Writ- of attochment Executed returned and files 23. In this action the Enfundament having been regularly seven with proces and having failed to appear and anome the Heinigh Conflaint on file herine and the time allowed blear for ansuring having sefund the default of the Said defendant in The francis is thereby duly aroling according to Com In this achin the defendant having been right since with fercef and having failed to appear and auma the Heinty Conflaint herein acce the legal him for aurunny having expend and the default of the Said defudant in the fleunes having been duly wither according them, hund-This day on application of the Plaintiff it is areland that Judy ment be entired herein against the Dais offerent wie accordance with the praye of Said Plentflewit on file him -Wherefore by warm of the law and the premin afurais it is adjudged that the plaintiff do been and The Cener of and from the Said defendant the Sum of Hest stellang the amount of the hote Sund are and the Sum of Britain dellars Cast me cassarif affended with función of this achie no lample mong of the hunder States—

a fredy ment-fur the Principal Sund an 40,000

" " furtion fue, fres 1,50

" " Constable " 1,50 " Judg munt for the titule amount " & 5 6,00 BS+. Hill. J.M. " " Long Ge e cutin and gam Count In Bill andlelle

Township,

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

William McConnell Plaintiff Michael Brinlen

Defendant

Action account

Demand \$3/57

Attorney for Plaintiff Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. 1888 Jul. 15. Pomplanie filed Summer fund and zin Court C. P. Walter. 211. Summer returned blooms purmal benic on the defendant-hight with a Copy of the Complaint- on file him on the 20th day 23, Sofomand - for anom during each and eny metrial allegation in the Plaintiff Empland-Container -

Township,

COUNTY OF Bresse , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Baylon Plaintiff

1. J. Brand Defendant.

Action Recount

Demand \$ 198. 7/100

Sittorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./888 Me. 15 Complaint file Summer found & grice Court C. A. Molher.

16. Summer returned and Shung formed Sume and copy and the complaint on file her on the 16th day of Submay 1888. Ence filed—

This Corne is disimpled by man of Planning Stiller & M.

In the Justice's Court of COUNTY OF Busin, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

The People of California Plaintiff Jm Hulbell, Hung Wilson and med hilm

Action bagsaney

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. The Un Complaint filed haman final of gin Cope Con J. h. Bartlett -Befor " beforecast in Come and informed of the Charge against theme and of their right in the primers - Sepresent please guiltyas Changed - and reain the legal time for Suture-" Enfrudent buy they have precent much to work- Supredent permitted to beau and

DATE. /888

In the Justice's Court of

3.

Township,

COUNTY OF Busin , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She Pash of California
Plaintiff

Vs.

Defendant

Action Mis deme au

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

The 14 complaint filed Warrant speed type Court C. P. Walters

I'll 21. Sufurcant in Court and informed of the Charge against live
and of his right in the fremiers Sufulant fleady quilty
as Charged and waris the legal time for Sentence.

"Maniful by warre of the boundard the fremiers aforesied
it cooline and adjudged that for Sain of from that gone
Be. E. Mc. Hadden be and you are heigh friend in the
Sound of \$980. Supercounts allowed write mental mench the
15th 1888 - he from Saint from

Mot 15: Sufurcant Paid his fine and though Care dispurped

Soft Will. J.M.

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

COUNTY OF Burne, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John J. Muyan
Plaintiff...
vs.
Lami & Af. Bhum Defendant 3. Action Pete of home

Demand \$2000 will intered -

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 Mel. 16. Complaine-filed Summers if med Agrice Court- C. P. Walter.

" afficience and filed- Wit-of Muchmul if med Agrice Court- C. P. Walter

17. Win Com pain in full and dis nieper St. Hills

Township,

She People of California Plaintiff. of to hallen Defendant

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Tris demeans

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE./888 PROCEEDINGS. But 16. Complaint file hannet forced of given Court C. A. Walter 21" Sefucione in Court and infumor of the Charge agains him and of his right in the furnis - Especian plead guillyas chaque and name the legal time for Dentince " Therefore by warm of the law and the premier apression you S. E. Walker be and you are herely friend in the Sum of \$98%- Sofredent allered until March- 1541888. to frag Said fine -

md 15; Supredent paid his fine Com Therefor des mifred &1.

COUNTY OF Busse, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of 3?

Township,

She People of California Plaintiff 198. 15, H. Hardman Defendant

Action Mis demecani

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS DATE./888 Tel 16. Complaint-filed Warrant- fred fried friend Court, C. N. Walter.

1 18. Sefuriane in Come and informed of the Clean against him
and of his night in the fermion Separate plead grief
and main the legal time for Sentence—

"Sefuriant friend and paid \$95" and dis Charge Paid Comp.

Stilled g. M. COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Ofthe People of California Plaintiff. Vs. Vpeey Gye Defendant

Action Enranch-Demand \$

> Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. /488 PROCEEDINGS. Mel 14. Complaint-filed Wancent June Again Houf Meach

Mel Mach celemnall befor How J. 13. Campbell —

Sufuri Judy of Mem Count- Cal
Stilled Jos

COUNTY OF Seese, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She People of Californial Plaintiff a E Post

Defendant

Action Pris demeanin

Demand \$

Township,

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant.

DATE. 1888 16. Complaint filed hanant- fred Agiren Cart- C.P. Wallin Meh. 10. Defendant in Court and informed of the Chay agains home and of his right in the ferenises Expressed pleases not guilly (aun Bet fu trial at 14.6.2. 9.M. Moh 24" 1888 -Enfredamt held for his appearance for hial in the Dum of One hundred (\$100") Gollar-Bund affect & filed 14. April outpoins for the People and Sopustant year Court 24, 111. a.c. a. In Sofundam- in and and arthy to withdraw his Men of het gull-and fil his dumme by court of the Court: Summer arguer and Submittee und Tother meder adis ment until 2. De. 1. m_ this day-2. O.C. P. M. Somment- in Court. The Court having fully the ments of Dance demance how order that Daied demanne he Sustained and the definatent-discherged without prejudie to the People -SHALLE &M

COUNTY OF Summer, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

32 Township,

Defendant....

Action Assault-

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. /888 PROCEEDINGS. 17. Camplaint-filed Manant-ifmed tyrin Cant: C. P. halhi. poly " Sopracant in Court and infune of the change again him and of his right in the preming Expendent pleads me quille " This Came ru- for time pel 2/2 1488 at 1, Oc. P.M. 21. 1. O.C. file Sefulan files to appear - attachment ifind Enfracant in come and gan his eien Dame taken man adris met, Cour Continue mobile 111. O.C. a.M. Fil. 25th 1888-Enfuncioner gan Bouch for his appearance in the sum of \$5000 24. Separation demands hiel by Juy livine of the figure 25: 111. Ox. a. M. Sofuelene- in Ence line & subjected between and filed Partis nasy for hiel - Jung men to by the Cenare, " Without Brown and examine fee and con Caren arguer and Bulmither - The fing after a Stutdeliberation frie the defendant out guilly - Sopretant Statile por

COUNTY OF Brune, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Off, ally
Plaintiff

7 14, 2 mg er

Action account for money

Demand \$ /25 2

Attorney for Plaintiff

Hung & Municipal

Attorney for Defendant

Township,

Mh. 14. Complaint file Summer fund of and C. P. Halling.

Brunning returned Streng personal Surice on the Experience—
logita with a Copy of the Complaint—on file humi on the 18th day of Releveny 1888— and felse—

23. Separant file his answer the Plaint of Complaint—on file linin—

Defendant

COUNTY OF Bresse , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

(3.

Township,

S. Sehman

Plaintiff

Demand \$/7/100

Defendant

Demand \$/7000

Action Lee 2010

Demand \$/7000

Autorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. The 211. Camplaint filed burning free and qui Cam Malton afficient for attackers med of the treating or attackers My. 9. Summery returned Streng flevenal Durin on the Experience hogette with a Cefer of the Confilaint on file him an The file day of any-1488 of filec -12. Shudant, film his answer to the Maniety Complaine-him. Od-, 4. Mis Com Set- fu tical at-11. O.C. a. M. Od-13: 1888-8. Defendant filed his derivent for an Minore account This Com Rit-fulrial by course until Oct-14" 1888. al-9.00. 9. Me. 211. 11. Oc. a. M. Partis in and and Day they are ready for him - & Jehmann Sun as a wineff- and arthur aquesti aquestin as to his Claim against The Especial Sofredent objects to the quartie on the grandes that an elemina account den ended by the defendant from The Plentiff had not been remed within the Haluly levil of the law the Court orles that the Plaintiff mented not be allowed to offer any endence in his own belief - with Said Planitiff filed in the Court and otemored account as demanded by Sepresent-" Manilf allowed until 1. O.C. P.M. 22. to file lis demorged 22. Plaintiff filed his climozed account Sofundant filed his anom to the plantiff Complaint him

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff. Ednad Jehmann

Action France

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

The 20. Complanie file Wanner ofmen ogin Court C. P. Walten

Defendant

COUNTY OF Grand, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

The People of California Plaintiff
Connect Schmann

Defendant

Action Combaygle ment

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1848

PROCEEDINGS

Bel. 19 Complaint filed hanced your typic Court C. P. Hallow

Township,

COUNTY OF Bushin, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. Jeh mann Jenes Hahl-

Defendant

Actron Lein and deliny

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. Jel. 1008

PROCEEDINGS.

" I'm Complaint on Claim and obling film Summers of the Affect And Trude tally - made I have the Comp the Plaintff and ardy that this add by desimple by Holl- go,

3.

Township,

COUNTY OF Tresme STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Posph of California Plaintiff Inauk allen. to Hay &. Place Defendant S.

Action This dimeenin

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

Mit 21. Complain-filed Nancon- Speed Again Court. In Bastlett

(30

Township,

COUNTY OF Music

, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She People of California Plaintiff Charlo E. Brok Defendant Action Buttey

Demand \$.....

Attorney for Plaintiff...

Attorneyfor Defendant.

DATE. 1899

DATE. 1899

Al, 21. Complaint filed Wancom if your Again Court n. In Brettest
"Expression vie Court and informed of the theory against livin

assect of his right on the premise Separated plaas Int- goods

"Court set for trial at 1.000. 1. M. John 1889
Separated Subprems - for the Paper and defendant

23. Now Comes the defendant and arts to withdraw his

plea of het-growt and to please grilly a Charge

Sefundant maines the legal time for Sentine and

arts to the Sentinear new

Sefundant fried and Paid \$1000 - and discharge

Sefundant fried and Paid \$1000 - and discharge

Sefundant fried and Paid \$1000 - and discharge

Set, Helle, Jef.

Township,

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. A. Grieler Att. Mirch

Defendant

Action Who of have

Demand \$ /85 - 7/100

Church & Cory Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE/898

The 21th Complaint filed Summer found and give Court F. L. Massen afficiarie for attack new-meede and foled. Plaintiff deposited are count the Brun of \$50" - equal to the amount required in this action - this of attackment ifma and gon custable -Caun dis mifpul

S.H. Wile J.M.

(3)

Township,

COUNTY OF Firm , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She People of California Plaintiff Demand \$

Preside Defendant

Action of authorithment dead mayor

ar .

Attorneyfor Defendant...

Attorney.....for Plaintiff...

DATE. 1999

The 28 Emplaint file Harrent Speed and given Com. C. M. Masen

Prope 25. Sofredair in Come and informed of the Charge against line

and of his eight in the Speeniers Sofredaire below follow

Also Cours Ant for hist at 14. O.c. a. M. M. 290 1884

There Interior for the People come gan Constable—

29. Sofredaire discharge on mater of the distress acting—

Statile J.M.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Summe, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.S.

Many J. Blaringame Eccentricy

Action Inth of home

Plaintiff

Demand \$100.7/1.a.

Sayle 4.

Sayl H Elocuse. Autorney 5 for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./YYY

PROCEEDINGS.

Inh 13. Comfel anie filed -

Township,

COUNTY OF Busse, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action Lagrancy

She People of Californice
Plaintiff Im the Mr Hugher Geo Hugher and M. Blein - Defendant S.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant...

u- J. S. pallin

SH, There for

DATE. 1488

PROCEEDINGS.

Drikin et hage I & hay agant them In the Sustices Comb and place guilt-god Township a Country of Fresus State of California

Bert G. Sum

Louis E. Prusso Oft.

Complaint

Filiamay 27:1889 Olmer Wolcom. Justice of the Jea.

Specks on all any In Slambif

36 In the Justice's Court of ______ Township, COUNTY OF Summe, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Many J. Blaringame Eccentricy

Action Inth of home

Plaintiff

Demand \$100.71.a.

Sayle 4. Sayl of Elections.
Autorney of for Plaintiff Defendant.S. Attorney for Defendant. DATE./YYY PROCEEDINGS. The 13. Complaint filed -

Township,

COUNTY OF Burn , STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action Lagrancy aintiff.. 3rd Township In the Sustice's Court endant. Stale of California DATE. m. Bert G. Sum Herry Plantiff 25 mely-Louis E. Prusso e. for Defendanh Planity complains of defendant and for cause of action alleges Copy note for complaint No one Fresus July 20 1888 Eguilable ho one Fresus July 20. 1888
Sift assurance association On a before fan 1th 1889 after date uithant grace I promise to pay to the ade of Bert G. I now Kesum of lixty dollars with witerest thereon at the rate of one per cent per month from North & Sum mahirily until paid Principal and interest General agents Pacific Coast payable mly in United States fold Com for value Mantyonery & California Shi received 2. Louis E. Prusso Santrancisco Cal. \$60.00 Wherefre Claritiff damis judgment against said defendant for the sum of 600 amount of said hoke with witerest thereon at the rate of one per cent

per month from 1 of annany 1889 together with costs ofsents

attorney for Planitiff

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Summe. STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. Blaringame Execution Action With of home

COUNTY OF Queme , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of 3?

Township,

Action buzzancy She People of Californial
Plaintiff Demand \$ Im hice Min Hugher Geo Hugher Attorney for Plaintiff. and his Blein - Defendant S. Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. /488 Who 23. Complaint film hanant if med of your seft. Court J. S. hallon.

We separate in court and informed of the Charge against them

and of their right- in the preming Sofredauty place guilt
and nam the legal time for methods—

"Leferdauts allowed to leave the him -SH, There for

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Summe. STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Many J. Blavingame Geocethic Action Ish of home of the Plaintiff Demand \$160.71 a.

9. M. Paint H. J. Sander Suph 4.

Sayl of Statute.
Attorney of for Plaintiff

Defendant S. Attorney.....for Defendant. DATE./YYY Jul 23. Comfel 3

COUNTY OF Busin , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of 32 Township,

Action buzzancy The People of California Plaintiff Demand \$ Im hice M. Huzher Geo Huzher Attorney for Plaintiff and M. Blein - Defendant S. Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. /488 PROCEEDINGS. Mh 23. Complaint file harrant if med again soft- Court & S. halton.

By " Separaments in cond and informed of the Charge against theme and and of their right- in the preming Softmants place guiltand man the legal time for metica —

" Seferdants allowed to leave the him -St. Hell for

In the Justice's Court of 32 Township,

COUNTY OF Jume, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of Californial Plaintiff. Jum Grants. Charles Evans The Staly and Meller Defendant 3 Action baziency

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE /888

Jul. 24 amplaint filed Warrant June & given Seft. Cour J.S. Walter Sofredant for Court and informed of the Charge again Them and of their Eight on the fermines Sofredants please gully-and wair the legal time for Brutines -" Sofredant allement to at oner lien the Jones -Stylbell for

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Busice, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff. Som Sherielen John Most, le Seenard alben-Pierce am Bom home

Action bassancy Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff.

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. Jula 25 Pomplaint- files Warrant June ogiven Soft. Court. I.S. Halten With and free rights in the firmer of the Change against Theme were of the Change against Theme area of these rights in the firming as defined only please guilty-area reach leave for Sentince - when the Jenne of allowed at once to leave the Jenne Settlele got,

Attorney for Defendant.

Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Dhe People of California Plaintiff Jr. a. Gascia Defendant

Action apault- with a dealy maper-

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./888 PROCEEDINGS. Juli 25. Confel and filed Transact of med Agin Seft. Court C. M. France.
" 29. Separant- in Court-and informed of the Charge again him
and of his right in the Junios- Separateur- Ecanism.

In the Justice's Court of 3? Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Actron Mulicuns Mischief.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. 1884 M. 25. Complaint- filed Warrant Jane togin Count J. J. Batters. " Sofuceene in Com and informed of the Charge again line and of his right - in the furnin- Repusar Thad, not grullyas charged - Efindant held for his hice in the Sun of \$500 Bond affine and filed med 2. This course but for hice moh 7" (888 -" Ipun Bubpund for the People and definedent typen Conn-7. This Cann is Continue with 10. O.C. Q. M. Mole 17 " 1888-16. Khun Subforme Und Russie 17. 11. O.C. a. M. Safundame- in Court- Subposend and him ashind and filed - Parties ready for hiel, furnes Such andre questions as to qualification - after which by count of Vartio the following Jung wen Sum to his the Cener wiil: & Sappling, M.h. Wiell. C. E. Proctor h.a. Corf. M.a. Bushinok, Frank (with me Onen, h. S. S. hin- & M. Hoy Chi Combs and S. C. Fish _ _ E.S. Prester. Wir Efect and Mr. Efect Sum and Examined as while for fur the Prenculin- after which the People Clone- millia Geore- Sum and of cumina as autif in his own belieff before Cleme - Cour Cland argued and Submitted to the four for the cultivation and rudict - The gruy after quite a delitation well relund one to Court and Stated That it was imported to aguin The Jung new therefor de charged aure Del fu a 2? hab at 1. D.C. P.M. mob 24" 1888-Infractant and withings administrate by the Court to be Jecerant at Dais home in attendance upon Dais Contr 23. April ruin and gan Curtable -24. now Como the Sofrencent- and asto to withshow his plea of herquelle and to please quelle- es chaque - in the complaint - on lilo hain - Especient crotine to appear for Buting - at 2.00. SM. Mel 24th 1888 -

Township,

COUNTY OF Action husana Elu Geoffe of California Plaintiff Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff. Defendant Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888

Hel 27. Complaint files harrant spreed of Court & S. halle

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

COUNTY OF Juenu, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She People of Californial
Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action Missence

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorneyfor Desendant

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

Jul. 27. Camplaint file harrant fred & gin Court C. P. hallin

COUNTY OF SIEME, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

8.

Township,

She People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Son Book alon

Milliam BrekDefendant

Action Univance

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE 148 Confilaint film Warrant of med Again Court C. P. Walton 29. Infrued in Court and informed of the Chare against him and of his right in the formers confirmed for the Chare against him and main the ligaltin for Southern - Surface of the Careas of

In the Justice's Court of Township, COUNTY OF Frem

The People of California Plaintiff... for facint Defendant

, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. 1888 19. Complaint filed Hamant if med ared gave. Court-Sofrencent in Court and informed of the Charge against line and of his right in the fermin - Enforcement - please quillyas Chuque - Sopredant - archael to appear for Sintence al- 5. O.E. 1. In Jul 29" 1888 5. O.C. A. M. Sepudant-in Court-This being the home fixed for mulina Whereful by reason of the law and the Junions afrusaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said office that you fee facile be and you are hurly fried in the min of One hundred and Bix (\$106") Vollas and in default of the payment of said five that you be and you are but Committee to the Shoriff of the County of mum and by Duist Shoriffanoprisoner in the county fail of 8 aid County in The furfustion of our days impersonant for any della of buin fine Lafracentent- fruier Bries

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Cleenul Loaguin Gelig Demand \$ // office **Plaintiff** M. S. Ailliang Defendant

At Welsh Attorney for Plaintiff

Township,

Attorney.....for Defendant... DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. 19. Pamplaint filed Summery opened Agin Court - C. P. Walter-1" Summer returned Shening formal Sine on the Separate bogether with a Copy of the Complaint on file hum day of Mul 1888 and filed 6. In this action the Especiant having been regularly beand with fre of and having finded to appear and anoun the Plaintipp complaint on file him and the time affect by lun for answing having affect the default of the Said defredant in The funis is heig duly entire according to leve " In this action the defendant having been regularly Buch with pred and having failed to appear and anow the Plaintiffs Confil aint- hering and the legal hime for anoung hening Expire and the default of the Daid defineent in the famines is king duly entire according to tem - hum at-this day on application of H.H. Welsh alterny for Plaint 1/3 It is arelevel that greetyment - be entire herin against The Duid defindent in accordance with the prayer of Daie Menty Complaint on file linin Wherefor by reason of the law and the primise afrerein it is account and adjudged that the Plaintiff de han and recent of and from the Daid defendant - the Dum of Clown dellay the Principal Breek for and the Sum of Six and 3% in - dellas Cooks necessarily in Curred in the from autin of this action one lawful though of the houter State -Judy ment for the Phincipal much 7. His come obsmited having bem pain in full go

In the Justice's Court of ______ Township,

COUNTY OF Burne, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

AAN Boh

Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action Accenut

Demand \$917

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. /888 100 Complaint file Summan open Agrin Cover C. P. Walter-" affrair- for attechment made of filed prefetting on attrohuent offered and files. My - of attochunt opper ogin Court C.P. halle. " Summy returned bluming furned on the sepudant together with a Copy of the Camplaine on file him on the 1th day of mot 1888 and filed Wit of attachment Executed returned and filed -3. This cam dis mithat baring been fully proces of SHIMLE &! men for Butine at 11. Oc. am moh 7th 1888 -Page 48. 7. 14. O.C. a.M. Sofredant in Court-and requested the Court to Continue his Butine until 2. O.C. S.M. Moh 7th 1888 - and it is he are that median be continued with 2. O.C. A. Mr. this day-2. O.C. P. M. Sepredent in Court - This bring the home freed for Dustince Therefore by reason of the law and the forming aproposed it is ardored and adjudged that for Derive offices that you h. To. Bugal be and you are hard find in the Sum of Miff- Villes - Enfredent at once fraid Sand fine and timpe discharged Sittitle got

Township,

COUNTY OF Burns, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. She People of California Plaintiff. The Bugate

Defendant

Action Bully -

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS

mot 1. / suplaint filed of give Seft-Cont-C. M. mam a Brunn-'s defend ant in Court and inspend of the Change agains line Who area of his right in the ferencies Sepredant-pleady het quely-(ann But furhial at-2. O.C. P.M. Mich 2 1888 Suferieux Especiant hild for his appearance to hial in the Down of \$500 - Bund apprend and filed -2. 2. Oc. P.M. Expendent on Cast- Paren Entimed without day in default of the fear cuting witish - allach must ifmed for the arrest of Said withing 6. This coun but for hick at 1 o.c. 1. m. Meh -69. 1888 -" 2. O.C. P. M. Sofred and in Court - and demands had by Jung Music fruit and gan Court Caure Culmon mulie 3. Oc. P. the Ly ag " 3. O.C. P.M. Expordant-in Court- Nomine returned and filed -. Parting ready to foreced from Some to anome questions as to qualification- Six gures nere Sum to lig the Coun as follow to wit: Mr. Hanis, S.B. Ennes, S. niffith. & B. Brece 3. 1. Bell. Willis abby- Amin & housted - ofmed Special. runice rehouable at - 4. Oct. P. M. this day-4. O.C. P.M. Sofred and in and remin returned and filed -Partis wady to forced from sum to ausun questions as to qualification - after which & it atter from men Sum he by the Cause to Wit; Jock. William, a. C. Mc Burin, h. S. Miro, & B. Mc Smalel. N. S. Crammer. & Co. Combo-The Ollman- Sum and examined as a witness for The frencestin - Prone culin not -M. M. Frigate and Trathe Sobler - Sucu and Examined as sutupes for the deprese - before Cloud over bubilled to the Jung without argument for their deliberation and rendictafter about deliberation the Jung our estimat into Come by the Justin officer of this Court with the fellowing redict hwith the the Juy, find the defendant quilly as Charged. H. J. Rygs fremen Sepudam Ordred to appen See Page-47-

COUNTY OF Brenne, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action Accum-

Township,

Demand \$50. Ju

 $\mathcal{B}_{"}$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff.

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. 1898 mot. 2. Complaint filed Summers fred and give Cont Walling afficiani for attachmed made thild Andertelling on attachment affered and filed hit of attachment of med og in Court-Hollie. 16. Summer returned Studios furnial Sunice on the definition to bu day of July 1888 and file in the 40 Judicial Truship Count of Jun California Win- of attachmen Ecculia returna accent filed -" In this action the defendant having been regularly Derich with forcef and leaving failed to offen and answer to Hemiliff Complaint on file levin acce the have allowed by Cam for accounty having of ferred the default of The David Africant - in the ferencies is kelly dely ander according to Law 17. In this action the defendant having been regularly Sund with Just and having failed to appear and awree to Hemit Complaint-on file bean and The legal time for anxioning Training expire and the default-of the Suid defindant in the fevereus having been herely duly entired according to law. how at-this day on application of the Hemiteff it is ordered that Judgment be entred herein against the Suid deflectant in accordance with the people of & will blankill Compland-on file lin- C. B. Dugh hany bear mun and examined as to the ifing surier and whome of the all the paper on in file in this action " Wherefur by reason of the law and the promises ofeneraid it is ordered and adjudged that the Hantiff do have and recom of and from the Soid defendent with froming The Dun of Juff-dollar the amount Such for and the Sum of & Carly meaning incumed in the promounter of this action in lauful meny of the huiter States -July ment for the Punciful Duce for u gushes fres-u Constatts u - St. Hill fest. 40 Ital anoud - of Janey such -

Township,

COUNTY OF Busice, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Payer of Jelihumic Plaintiff. John Sapas Defendant

Action Lis trubing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1489

Mot. 3: Complaint files harant four ogen denf humb. named the legal time for Bullina Whenfun by was on of the land and The premier is ardened and adjudged that for Bail frie in the Sum of \$100 - Sofredent Pain Said Said fine and was dis chance -

COUNTY OF BUSIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Action Bulling

Plaintiff Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant for Defendant

Moh 3. Complaint file hansur ifmed typin Shoof. Meade

Not sopraid in Court and informed of the Charp against him

and of his eight ni the primity soprement please goults

as charped and mains the legal him for Dentina
Mouth by nearm of the lam and the premisis
afresine it is ardered and gone and that friend in

offers that you be and you are herely friend in

the Dum of \$15. Superant Jain Sain frien

and was visioned.

Township,

COUNTY OF GREENE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of Calefornia Plaintiff William Jennand Pat Iny-Defendant 3 Action This demension

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant.

DATE/884 mol to Complaint files hanant ofmen agin Soft- Court. The Athanis. IN " Sopredents in and and infurmed of the Chang against there and of their right in the framers - Expedents please het gulf Sopresant held for their affrancemen for hiel hi the Some of Juff dellay each of thew Bend appeal 7. This com me for hise at 2. ac. 1. M. Mel. 8th 1888 4. The Com Continue until 2. O.e. P.M. Mch-9° 1888 Sofred and durand heal of guy how fred. 9. 2. O.C. P.M. Sufredont in Come remie retund and files Party many to fincecel - fines &new to annu questions as to qualification - after which The following fures none Snow to hig the Course - teril! Hong Jens, John Hafs - 2, Behow - G. h. Thomas. F.A. halten. Juste Cole. h. T. Me Vey S. O. Tenn. N. a. Fuster. h. Freland W. Bray. & The Our-The Ollmen and C. h. Fram Bun as without for the People Presentin Rest - I The Janes and Pal- my. Sum and examine as withings for the Espore - Come Clark arquel and Bubinther to the Juy for This deliberation and undict. The Juny after gride a deletistion new relund out Come - Stating to the Coul - That it was quite imperally to agree as to ander - The Juny wertherfor dis olique - Came Entimed ineleginity -This Cerun is dis miful on motion of the Eight alling

Township,

COUNTY OF Guerne, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Actron Aslang

Demand 8

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Med- 6. Complaint filed honaut of seed of in Seft. Court to Histories

" Such filed honaut of seed of the Charge against him

and of his right in the firemers Is findant Committee

to the Short of missen Court to anait his examinate
12. The Cause is dis missed on Makin of the district Many

Still feet

Township,

COUNTY OF Justin STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff. Offernay Plank Defendant

Action Sistembry the Pouce

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./848

PROCEEDINGS.

Moh. C. Complaint filed transmer freed dyrien both. Burt gethingen with and infund of the Charge against him and of his right on the fremis befordard of fleady grillsand nain the legal time for Sentince " Wherefur by warm of the law and the Jevenises afuraid it is aroland and adjudged that fur Daid offense that you Thomas Clark be area you are herely find in the Sum of \$5.0-

COUNTY OF Bresse , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

The People of California Plaintiff

John Foe- alias

Jose Emos Silva Action Balling

Demand \$

G. E. Caldrude
Attorney for Plaintiff

Auch & Give
Attorney for Defendant

Moly outland file Wassaul fresh type Cont. 33. Sastille Will Sefundant in and and informer of the Charge against him and of his right in the priming I spread the Charge against him and of Change affect the surface of the Charge against him about of Chance Arended Vollay Bound affect and files the American the heal at 11. O.C. Q. M. Moly 9" 1848
7. Mrs. Cancer Int for heal at 11. O.C. Q. M. Moly 9" 1848
Please Subpraises for the Super and definition of the Real Moline to do mit when in court - Voluntant Country files their making the the complaint was made an impossable assure that ground, that The Complaint was the acceptant vistand assure growth Said Market and Market are making of the acceptant vistand assure growth Said Market file.

3,

Township,

COUNTY OF Busin, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action Bully The People of California Plaintiff. Demand \$ Jac. Ens

Defendant

G. E. Colmill Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE/444 meh 9. Complaint film hanunt ofmen squien Sofi: Court. M. F. Buttell. 121. Definicant ni com and definite \$200 for his offene for him - when Int-22. Sopulant in End- and pleads guilf- as Changel and nais the legal time for Sulva " Soprocant find and paid \$20."— and do chaque S.A. Will for Zum " Thitrif H. G. Mud- Our dey- 9. Muh- 3.80 . Detat amount of fordyment. St. Hill . 31. When go safered allowed a Stay of Proceeding for him days-Mul 9. Safered and file his thation and moderating on appeal h the Sufficie Court -My. H" Hunded Sepurcant Converl. Dens cife our offeel for Paris -

Township,

COUNTY OF Jurn, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant

Action account

Demand \$41, 25/101 -

E. J. Colners Attorney for Plaintiff Grandy works
Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. /484		PROCEEDINGS.
mal.	10.	Complaint-filed Amunas found Again Court-C. P. Wallow
4	14.	Summy frehand Thering puriod Since of the Separate
		Tythe with a copy of the Complaint on file hum on the 14"day
4	1	him Com the Separate by his atting and fils his demun
		Le Menitiff Complaint-on file him - Soprecent-file his anome to the Plaintff Complaint- on file him -
		This coun is But - for hime at 1. O.E. P.M. Mol- 28. 1888-
	26	Speciel Bubpenne for the Plentiff and gan Entath -
_// !/	24.	1. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court Planitf fils his ausur to the
		Efficients Cref Emplanie - Partie ready for hial
		and naw trick by Juny - Charl, helrw Jehn arthy
	0	Examine as withops for the Mantiff-Prosecution Clinica
		Th. Shrishen, h. H. Cook-O.B. Olif-Cenchun Juston
		3. C. White and C. P. Walter - Sum and examine
		as withfur for the Especial Sofrence Come Come Come and Submittee, The Court - Lite the
		Same mede adisment without day
	31.	The Cent- hang fully Considered This matter-
		Therefore by reason of the law and the premises afredict
		de france and recommended that the Plants John arther
		The Sum of Chief-deller the amount allowed by The Court
		and the Sum of \$37. Tost necessarif incurred in the
		proveden of this action in lawful money of the truta State
30	"	Judgment allenn by the End pe punched 30,00
		Constatts " 12.00
		While gh Broth. One day & 10, hul- 4,00
		" Charles MeBren " " 9- " 3.80
		" farefil hobre. " 9 " See Page 56.

3.

Township,

COUNTY OF Bresse. STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff

16. Mal Come, Win Stale al Portag

Lum Holman - Ault-Com & Alex Strait

Defendant 5

Action Cazanay

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./888

PROCEEDINGS.

Mod. 10th Complaint-filed hancout-ofmed of the Change again theme We will as charged right- in the primies Separately that guilty as charged and when the legal time for Sentine.

" Sefercent allend to lear the town Stitle gri,

No. 5-9.

In the Justice's Court of

(B).

Township,

County of Chesia , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Chail with a deady meeting of California Demand \$

Defendant Defendant Attorney for Defendant

Meh 12" Complaint filed Wanam ifmed From Loft. Court. J. S. Manyon

Township,

COUNTY OF RISTAND., STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

An Deofile of California Defendant

Action Grand Sar cong

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./478

PROCEEDINGS.

Mot 12: Josephanie filed Wannel Jamed & you Cant. C. P. Walten W 14. Sefundant in Cour and informed of the Change against hime Shriff of Frem Court and State aferraine to anail- his Ex amination

29. In Com the Sistrice atterny and hours the Countto discharge the above named definations on his om reagnizance who is him in they of the Sheriff of the Carrely of Breeze The Court dette 30 action

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

COUNTY OF Busine, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She People of California Plaintiff Som Smith. Honey Jones and Brank Lonnis — Defendant Action Lagrancy

Demand \$

Attorneyfor Defendant...

Attorney for Plaintiff

Mol 14 Conflorin filed Warrant-fred Agrica Soft. Cont M. H. Hansi

Wi " Softwards in Cour and in formed of the Chair against Theme

and of their rights in the framise, Softwards please gring as

Cleaner and ramin the legal time for Southing.

" Softward, allowed to at once lean the brief.

SHILLE Go!

(3.

Township,

COUNTY OF Bessel STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Bulling

Action Bulling

Demand \$

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Date./884

Mod. 14. Complaint filed Warrant from 4 you Court C. P. Hallow.

20. Sefundant in Court and informed of the Charge again line

All and of his rights in the premises Sefundant fleads, graff
and mains the legal him for Buttone
Sefundant fried & Pain \$20% and discharged

Stille J. A

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Jurne, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Dhe Deofh of Californies Plaintiff Som Mc Cree Sonis harh

Action Lagrancy

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. /888 PROCEEDINGS. Mot 15. Complain- file Warrant- if wel to niv Coft Ever: IS hallin. Wh! Defindents in Court and informed of the Charp against them and of their right- in the friends - Effectants felia guelyone lear the how -SHI Hill J. S.

Township,

COUNTY OF Burn., STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action Binne Blu Poffh of Californii
Plaintiff

Vs. Demand \$. Attorney for Plaintiff Defendant Attorney.....for Defendant.

mol. 17 Complain film hanan June Azin Golf. Com. IS. Walter

In the Justice's Court of 30 Township,

COUNTY OF Jume, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

1.8.9.2009
Plaintiff

M. Guillen

Delendant

Demand \$ 50 %

Action heh of heme

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant...

Defendant.....

DATE. 1888 Inch: 17° amplanie filed harrent fruit tyin Court C. P. Wallen " affidari fu attochment made ofiled hudertalling on attachment Ofference of the Wil- of attachment of met of give Court C. P. hallow 23. Summy relund Shining Jurinal Sinier on the Soprementby the with a Copy of the Complaint on file human the 22? day of moral 1888 and files -Will of allocament execute returned and files-311. En this action the defendent having been regularly Serve with Jovef and having fulled to appear and anoun the Plaintiff Complaint on file him and the time allered by law for answering beening expend the object- of the Daie offulent in the ferining is hereby duly entried according to turn -In this action the Soprecam- having been regularly Sened with Juvel- and having failed to affer and answer the Pleintiff Confelaint herin - and the time allered by less for auming heaving Exfund and the default of the Device definedent in the premies hemy been aluly entra according to law how at this day on afflication of H. H. Mobil asserny for Mariliff it is ardine that Endyment be entered herin again- the Daid defendant in accordance with frage of Said Plaintiff Complaine on file hum -Museful by reason of the leve and the framises africaid it is ardanol and adjudged that the Plantiff W. & heavy do han and recome of and from the Beid defendant-M. Quillen the Sum of Sity fire dollers - the funicipal and intent much for and the brund hundren and 8% dellas Cart of Buil - ne cerrarif incured in the foresecution of dies adi in sole cin of the timber states -Judy ment for the Principal accounted Buch for 6520 8,50 11,30 8 84.80 8 14.11:20 g.n. " Ortal amount of freely mut-

2. Phune Execution and gin Pluntiff

30

Township,

COUNTY OF Russia, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action affault with a deady neapon The People of California Plaintiff Demand \$ Mm Emmun Attorney for Plaintiff Defendant. Attorney for Defendant. DATE. 1488 PROCEEDINGS. Meh 17. Parefil wie - filed harren June squi Soft- Court P. Se, Bleme " Sopredent in End and informed of the Chay against fine and of his right in the furnises Coun But fu trial at 11. O.C. AM - Moh - 199 1888 -Sopredout de fronte où com the dem of \$2500 - for his appearance for examination -" There Suppose for the Pape and defondante. 1. 11. O.C. a. In Sofredont in Eur and ready for Examination Without Duan and Excurred fore and Que Paun Buhmitted. Then bring no sufficient Cenen to believe the above neucol he Emmon of the office mention above J. Order bring to the disologies -Stillell. g.s.

In the Justice's Court of 39. Township,

COUNTY OF GIVEN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ohn Peff of California Plaintiff vs. John Bullian J. a. Cartis Who. Monahan Defendant S. Demand \$

L. CloneAttorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant DATE. 1888 Mel. 17. Janhlain film Manant ifmed Agin Short Much 19. Sefundant in Court and informed of the Change against them and of their right in the fumises - Cown He for Examineti at-1. O.C. B.M. Moh - 20th 1888 Cofuelants Committee to the Sheriff of the Court of men and State afrerend to anuit Mein Examinahi -20. 1. O.C. P. M. Sofrice out - in Court - and On motion of the Sisted atting after heaving the cirdwe - Sepueleus-J. A. Culti and Thomas Minahum new disolugia upon The grounds that the endure was wonfficient to comet. The Examination of Jelin Sullivan was Cultimed notter -111. O.e. am - Mol 2/20 1888 -21. 11. O.C. a. M. Sopuelant- Gen Dullnein in Come Partis many for tich, P.C. Sun, B. a. Centi- and C. h. marin Snew and examined as wishof for the Promontion-People there-Jus Sullian Sum and examined in his own behalfour Clerca and Submittee - He Coul-having fully curidens the Sum and it affecing to me that the Crime of home Jarcey has been committeed huil: Healing and Canging away the Sum of \$1000-The furnal property of P. C. Janey - and that there is Sufficient - Cour to believe the afen named defordant fere dullian quilly- theref - & order that he be held to aurun to the Denne, and Emmittee to the Sheriff of in the Dum of One Thurand, Goos", Volles- and is Committee to the Sheriff of the County of Brown until he gan Buch bant -The mol 217 1888. Stille you

COUNTY OF Thereo, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

3.

Township,

Attorney.....for Defendant.

Defendant

Action Street
Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

DATE. 19. Complaint filed francant if med & gine Court Bootheth
19. Sefuncione in East and informed of the Chaye against him

We area of his rights he the greenies - Sefunciones please, smilly

Menefre by nam of the leve and the premie afrecanic

There being pour reason to fear the Commission of the

of is found required to eath the present Complained

of is found required to eath with are under taking in the

Somm of Suo Hereaux and fifty Sollers to therepe the present

tomards the People of the State of California and purhaments

tomards the imposion mathies to off the Dair Andreathy

is ratio and binding for Six Month - Seprement

gan land as required which is appeared and filed

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

COUNTY OF Sum, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant

Action Bulling

Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. 1888 Med 19 Complaint fla hanant-ofmer Agrice Sife-Com-P. Se, Bleme Wi Expression in Court-and informed of the Charge against him and of the Dead youlf-and maine the legal him for Sentence -" Sopressent find and Paid \$20" and the shaper -Still for-

3:

Township,

COUNTY OF Green, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Lett Green

Beauty Galifornia

Plaintiff

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Date. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

Med 19. Complaint filed hamant found again four & S. Halle 11. Software in Court and informed of the Cherry again them and in the Pressures Superacount distance as to Peter Lucy and Chaque with Bugglang.

8.18.16ile & S.

COUNTY OF Burn, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

Action Carsney Ble People of California Plaintiff vs. Ed Amy M. Buy & Brie Bobin Demand \$ Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff. Attorney for Defendant...

DATE. /888 Mich 19. Complaint file horant- from tyin Court - C. S. Walter. M. 211. Defendant in Court and informed of the Chang garrier them and of their rights in the premier Sopredent plead quillyand of the regal time for Butterer
" beforeaux allower to at once lean the town .

S. H. Hill J. O.

COUNTY OF MENU, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

The People of Californic J.M. Patturen Defendant Action Layrunces

Demand \$

12. B. Buy Attorney for Plaintiff mel & Pris -Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE/999

Met. 13. amplaint filed harrant of we toni Striff Meader W" Softward- he can and informed of the Hay against him and of his right- in the Junio- Espedent places now grilly- (am but futiel at: 14. a.c. a.m. mol 22:1886-Infredant held for his appearance for hial in the Some of \$200: - Bruce appeared and filed -" I fred river and Subferres, for the People and Expudent-21. Soprocunt files his affectent asking a Chenge of some to Done offer Court. The coul after having frully anxidena the Sum - dering definicent humih- which definecent it cefet -22. 11. O.C. am - Seprecent in Com Remin and Sulpreme tomes and files The Infracent and his attury 4. 4. Genolufiled their afficiants - lindhing the prejude or lies of the Justin before when The Cause is bring humal and as he the Juguidece of the Citozen of the Immship after argument of Coursel for and Con- I was agreed that Phillip Stuad a fushin of the Pener of Said Inwestige a fusicio - will fireside at the trial of Said Come Danie Cana is therefore Del- for trial alth O.C. P.M. dis days SHI till gill

Township,

COUNTY OF Menne, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Defendant

Action Layrency

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant...

DATE. /888 Just. 19. Paruplanit- filed Mariant - Speed Agric Court- C. Mallin. 2). Seprecent in com and informe of the Charge against line and of his right in the furnish Seprecent pleads not goodly The court after heaving the evidence for and on acount that the defendant budis chazed refer the grand that the erecliene is insufficient - to Emmet-

Township,

COUNTY OF Juin STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff June Hum

Action Lagrance

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

Defendant.

DATE/878 PROCEEDINGS.

Moch 19. Complaint filed Warrant-ifued of the Charge again him and infined of the Charge again him and of the Charge again him and grand of the Charge again him and grand of the Charge again from guilty and him for souther -

Stitule gos

COUNTY OF

Township,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Buzley

Plaintiff Demand \$

J. E. Colsmer.

Attorney 5. for Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

DATE. / 888 PROCEEDINGS. Med. 21. Camplaint-file hannul free you Court- C. P. Wallin W. " Copudant in Court and informer of the Charge against them and of Their right- in the firming before and committed to The Curling of the Short of the Court of Grow to anail-Mui Egammahi fam But for examustic at, 1. O.C. N. M. Mol 21th 1888-21. 1. O.c. N. M. Sofredent in Court and mady for him trammation " Carine Mo Sonala _ J. D. alexander - Jan, Mo Sonald, Ele Cresty Mr. Carlleton and Bon. Zumtilla Snow and examined as white for the People - Prime certien Cloud Jany Sang Sun and examined in his one aligner afte which the Cown Cland and new Dubmitted -The Court leaving fully Euridian The Same - and seduced that The artheter be dis changed refund the greener that the evidence is insiffe ent-to Council the Sofulant- and it further appearing to me that the offeren of Bringley in The day him has been committee - and that there is Sufficient- Cour to believe the about named Expression Jany Jany guilly thent - I order that he be held to anoun to the Dum - and Committee to the Sheriff of the

County of meso cener State apresent - and that her be

Jum mill be you mot bail

admitted to bail in the sum of Fin hundre \$5000

Sollars - and is commetted to the doil of the County of

Attill gen-

DATE./888

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF More STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action begrancy

She Oseph of Californic Plaintiff.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS.

Mel. 211. Complaint file Mariant of med syning an ent Mathin 121. Sufrescent in Come and informal of the Chay against line and glie leads that guilty - Cepusant Pleads that guilty-after heary the endine for and Com. The Suprement may dis chaque rufen the growns that the ename is innespoul- le cen met. SHILLE EM

COUNTY OF Busin, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

3.

Township,

She Ozofh of Californic
Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Jenn
Defendant.....

Action Grane

Demand \$

G. Elmee-Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant...

Med. 21. Complaint filed Warrant of most of the Charge again him 23. Seferment in Court and informed of the Charge again him and of his rights in the formers.

Now Com, the Eistered allung and many the Court to discharge the seferment of the ending will be insufficient to course the ground, that the ending will be the grills of fair.

The guilty fair.

Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Bhe People of California Plaintiff Mr. E. Mayn Jehn. Calu-Defendant.

Action Games Lacy -

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. 1884

Meh 211. Parificanit- and afficient- made and filed : Search Manual from and gin before Court P. S. Blance-23 Search haven returned and filed - he people found that could be intentioned. Stille gettCOUNTY OF Runn, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Blu People of California Plaintiff Is. Jon Box — Defendant S. Action Burglary

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant...

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS

mel. 211. Complaine-filed Warrant- Janua og in Shuff. O.g. Meade

No. 84.

In the Justice's Court of

(3)

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Blu People of California Plaintiff vs. John Suc- and Jon Bre-John Junaham - A. C. Munphy Defendants. Action apart with with to the

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE./988

PROCEEDINGS.

Mode 21. Complaint film transmer of me syrine Sheriff Muscole

I gethe Mindrew in Quit - and informer of the Charge against him

and of his right, - in the framion - Expression - Commenters

in the Abrief of the County of Merme to annie his crammenters

"This Court is disnufred - repeat the grands that the

Expression had an intent to Comment the Chris Charge

against - thom, therefore advance to talky
29. Expression - P. C. Minspery
William - Anni Press griff as charge

Expression - fried and Paris \$20.00 min to order

Storage

St

Township,

Attorneyfor Defendant

COUNTY OF

The People of California Janie O. Connel-Defendant

Action France Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff

DATE. 1888 Mid. 21 Complaint- filed transmit forces of Site Court C. P. Walter. 22, Sofredend- in Come- and informed of the Charge gains him

We and of his right- in the fermion - Sofred the Glass me- guely
Sofredend- dis olayed repenter grands that the

endere is turnffreint to Council.

In the Justice's Court of Admin

COUNTY OF Burne, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Blu Menahan and

Plaintiff

Vs.

J. C. Muffly

Defendant 8

Action Bulley

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant...

Township,

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS

Mod. 21? Complaint filed haven of her segui Got. Pout O. Se Blance

Will Change again - live and of his right in the

Grunnin - Soprecant Jelmos guilty as changed
Lipramed find and Janie \$21," - and is shoped
Stylies &A.

23. Soprecant 1. C. Manphy and court and please guilty

and nain the legal time for metica
Softedant - frie and Paid \$21.". and Si shape
Softedant - frie and Paid \$21.". and Si shape
Softedant - frie and Paid \$21.". and Si shape -

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

COUNTY OF MISSIE , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action France

Blue People of California
Plaintiff Demand \$

1. Cochram

Defendant

Defendant

Action France

Demand \$

Lease there
Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS. Mol. 22. Couldanil- filed Warrant- June Ognier Court-C. P. Walter 31. Definedent sie Com- and infume of the Cheap against line and of his right - in the fermions - Sofredend Committed to the Shirth of Freme County to avail- his examination 2. Comment defrailer \$21100 - instead of Bail Board " Mis Cour But for Gaminaher at . 10. Oc. am april 3. (888-And Subtunia for the Defindent 11. O.C. a. M. Sopreteent sie Coul-auc reach fe Gameration The truly a sum and examine for the Brade Verse oution Clarer - Sepresant much the court for a hourselupon the grounds That the People has telely forles to make out a can again to before ant, The con hot the matter weeder adris ment 4. 11. O.C. A.M. - Sofreelend in Court - The Court having pully Everedered This Malu - Dry The mehin for The des chance of the obfice rustand -SATALL JOT-

DATE./488

In the Justice's Court of

B.

Township,

COUNTY OF Justin STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. B. Glenne Plaintiff

Ohin Mil cap

Demand \$28 " _ 4 whist _

Action Int of beene

Duffe & Juffer Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

Defendant.

Moh. 22 omflaid filed Summer ifmed by in Com- C. P. Walter-" Dunning returned blowing furmul Simi on the Syndew begile with a Cepy of the Complaine on the how on the 22. day of March 1888 - and filed 30. For this action the Sopredant having been regularly Denas with freces and henry field to appear and anone the Hantiff Emplaint on file home and the legal time for answerry harry expend the default of the 8 aid defrecant in the premin is heref oluly author according to law " In this action the defendant having been regularly Some with firecely and having failed to appear and anome the Pleasaff Conefiland on file her and the legal hime for auxung having experied and the default-of the Suid definitent notes Junio es huing bunduly entired according to lanhow at this day in application of Suppose to Supper attemps for Plantiff \$1- is ordered that judyment be entire here againsthe Daid defendent-in accordance with the freyer of & Harrilf Complaint on file hem - He Supper Ling Just duly Sum Buys that \$211." is a warmall allung fur-Therefore by never of the lan and the ferences aprevail it is crowd and adjudged That The Daid Plannill do have and recover of and from the Daid defendant- The During 8511.119. The ferince feel and intust Bread for - and the Bun 787 00 Cest necessant incumed in the function of this believe and for the min of \$24" attany for in Bole Coupt to h.d-Judg ment- for the Prinched Tuckers much for -50,09 " " fuerties fur-5.00 " " " Collings " " Octal and - of Judgment-Mr. 27. How Com des mifere by and of Hamily. Jelfo, Suis

COUNTY OF GREEN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

Action Bully -Ju People of California Plaintiff Vs. Jach Hallo. John Son Bom Par and Rok Plus — Defendant Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. /988 PROCEEDINGS Med. 22 Emplani- files hanant-ifmed again Court. C.P. Wallen W. 23. Gael tratters in court- and informe of the Clean against-him and of his right- in the premises - Expendent-plead, Med-quilly Expendent ordered to appear furtial on his own recognizance

Township,

COUNTY OF Green, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Proph of California Plaintiff Suth Boomy Defendant.

Action Gos Trushing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./488

Med 24. Could aint filed hand four of the Chan again him and of his right in the framis - Copredent pleads goultyand mais du logal time fur dentrue -Whenfur by reason of the law and the Jumis & apropried it admed and adjudged that fee Daid offices that you Lette Sunny be and you are herely fried in the Sum of \$650 Sofredant- allened mill 14. O.C. G. M. Mach 31 th 1888 in which he pay Duice fice

COUNTY OF MIN , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Plaintiff......

Plaintiff......

Defendant S...

Action holes of home

Demand \$ 274. 24/12

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorneyfor Defendant

Mol 27. Complaint filed Summer igned typin Com- C. P. Walter.

afficient for attachment made office tradatation on attachment
afficient for attachment made office tradatation on attachment
afficient for attachment of attachment of more of the Come C. P. Walter

, more Comes the supercusts and Paris \$240% on this websit—

april 4. His carm pain in fell and dissurpress.

Stille for

30

Township,

DATE. 1488 PROCEEDINGS. Mich. 28. Complaint file Summers Spice Agai Court Walten -" Summer relume Showing personal Some and Sopulanttigether with a Cefy of the Complaint on file him on the 24" dag of march- 1888. And film africe 9. En this action the Sifurdame having been regularly Dend with froat, and henry failed to appear and answer the Henry Confelaid on file hein and the line allowed leglan fu ausuning heaving ex friend the default of the David doudent in the fremin is herely stuly entered accounting to lun " For this action the defendant bearing been regularly General will freat and having freien to appear and ausu to Plentiffs Purplaint leein and the legal him for auxuing tearing Enfeare and the objande of the said defendant in the persons heavy bur entere ac anding to law - Trover at this days on apple center of the Plentiff It is ardend the fredy near he sulver teem against the Suid defendant in academ with the freque of buil Placeth Everplant on file lew -" Whenfur by warm of the leve and the funices apresaid it is and and adjudged that the Plantiff de heen and re com of and from the said defendant to sun of -Juffen and " /100 - alellay the Prin defeat and where-Grace for and the man of \$650 Carts- necessary on and in the ferencella of this addin in bela ceni of the h. Dfeedely ment - for the Principal and intert -15-90-" " Justier fu " Lotal anemet of freely mor-12. Eprice Execution and gan Dearst-

COUNTY OF BUSIN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Plaintiff.....

Jum attitu apocealin H. 13. Choice a descolin Defendants Action account

Demand \$ 144 8/100-

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Mol. 28. Complaint file Summer, June Again Cove C. P. Walten.

" Summer reliming blooming formal Derrice and objustanttransla with a Copy of the Complaint on file humi and
28th March 1488 - and filed
april 2. Sofurant files his demande to the Planiff Complaint on the funi

que 9. This Court wills in full and Dis sumple.

Stilled get

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Journ STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action account. Smith & Pore_Plaintiff.

Plaintiff.

Demand \$ 119. 94/100

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

Defendant.

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

Mod. 28. Careful aine filed Summers of freed Agai Cour-Wather april 17. Summers between Shaming pursual Bessic on the Superiore begethe with a copy of the Emplaine on file human the 14th day of africe 1888 and filed -

No. 9/

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF GREEN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. B. Ganning
Plaintiff

P. E. Barris

Defendant

Action Into of house

Demand \$ 18 = 4 Iloran.

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorneyfor Defendant...

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS med 24. Complaint file Summers ifmed Agin Cost C. P. Walten 31. Summer returned Strong formal Sinic on the Gefredant together with a copy of the Complaint on file here on the 300 day of March 1888 - and files april 9. In this action the defendent having been regularly being with fro of and having failed to appear and aresun the Hanty, Confilaine on file how und the time allowed by law for arevering having expend the default- The Said offendant He the ferencises is hereby alley entered according to leve " In this action the defendant hering been regularly send with fore cof and having failed to appear and answer the Plantiff confecanil - hum and the legal time for anome in hany expense and the default-of the Said definerant-in the Junin heaving ben droly entired according to terre. how at this day on application of the Plainty It-is brokered that qualgment be ented herein against. The Said defendant in accordance with the Jugar of Said Plantff Empland-on file heir -Multon by reason of the level and the firminis africains it is ordered and adjudged that the Deine Plaintff do have and recome of and from the Said defineram the Sum of Guney and 6/10 dollar The Pemerpal and when bound for and the some of \$650 Cests meers and ni comed in the fuer outer of this cestion _ in held and of the house States -" Judgment for the Principal and whom -20,61 a " Gustatts " 4,50 200 Istal amount of Judy ment. S.H. Mill. g.m

No. 92.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

COUNTY OF THEM. STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P.A. Gamin Defendant. Action Fish of hand

Demand \$ 94. offer

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./888

Mid 28 Complaint filed Summer ofmed ogin Court C. P. Wath with a copy of the Complant on file him " Tum Cong the defendant in fewfor four and for

In the Justice's Court of Government,

COUNTY OF Juzzae, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. R. Ganning Plaintiff. E. a. Siverenn

Action But of have

Demand \$ 68.24/10 x victors

Attorney.....for Plaintiff...

Attorney for Defendant.

Defendant DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS hed. 19 Complain- filed Summer ifmed & gain Court Mallin aful 5. Summer returned Steering freezendel Beric on the Suprecenthogethe with a Copy of the Complaint-on file him on To 5th day of afril 1888 - and filed -11. In this action the defendant having been regularly denich with forocof- and having failed to officer and auma the Plaintiff Complaint on file herin and the hime allend by law for aurious ing having expend the default of the Daie of fudent with framos is herely alrely entire according to law -" In dis achin the sufredent having been regularly bened with forces and having failed to affect and would the Hantiff Complaine herein - and the legal time for answing Jung experied - and the default of the David develound - in the premiers having been dealy entire according to len -The at this day on suffication of the Plantiff It is ardered. and adjudge that findymus be entired him against The Said defineant in accordance with the pregue of Such Plantff Emplaint on file herin. Wherefore by rearen of the law and the fermins apresaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Deire Plaintiff do han and recom of and from the David Expendent the Dame of One hundred areal three and ? 1/10 - dollar - the Principal and Intend much for in this action - and the Sung Bit and Ther-dellar Cart necessarily incurred in the June Culi of Sail achin - in Bold Comin of the Monther States
" Gudgment for the Principal trustend much for 113.9%.

" " " June of My 11. Judgment abstractive -

COUNTY OF Therm., STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. R. Branning

Brugin Garcia

Defendant.

Action Beli-of hand

Demand \$ 41, 49 on & interse

Attorney for Plaintiff

Township,

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. 1898 Hed. 29. Complaint files Summer of me aced giri fourt-trathing the Summer of the Summer of the Summer of the Complaint on file him oute25th day of from 1884 aire filed
John Cahn finis in full and do suffer.

St. This Cahn finis in full and do suffer.

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

COUNTY OF Green -, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. B. Gannig —
Plaintiff

18.

P. S. Harbizen

Defendant

Action Tile of hance

Demand \$ 1211. 72/co & without & credit =

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorneyfor Defendant.

Med. 29. Simplain- filed Summy from oyin Com- Walli.

April 11 Cash feater on this action - 385 "

May. 23. Summe returned Scheming present Some on the defendant by the north a copy of the complaint on file him and 22. olay of they 1844 and feled

25. Pand in full and dismifue State- got

Township,

COUNTY OF Messee , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. R. Banning
Plaintiff

Plantiff

Plantiff

Defendant

Action Web of hand

Demand \$25 " Frihan

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./888

PROCEEDINGS.

Mod 19. Complaint filed Summer ifund ogai Court Wallet

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF THERM, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. B. Francisco Plaintiff. Olive Wilson

Action Trole of hemol

Demand \$234 / Le Situal

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Desendant.

Defendant. DATE. 1888 Med. 19. Complaint filed Summer freed tyin Court Walling, 30. Summons returne Shewing furendl Since on the definations. togethe with a Copy of the Complaine on file him on the 30th den march 1488 - and filed -April 9. In this are time the defendent having been regularly bund with percent and having failed to appear and answer the Plenisty Complaint on file hein and the time allowed by law fecanoning having experied the default of the Band definerent in the freming is houly duly certia according to leve " In this achieve the defindent having been regularly Dend with Jerocef and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintip Complaint havin and the Egal home fee auruing hany Exferred and the default of the David defudent in the premies having been duly entred according to themhow at this day on apprealing the Plantiff, It is ordered that Judy ment be entract him against the baid defendant in asserdance with the prayer of Said Plantith Careplacid on filo humi " Munfu by reason of the lase and the premiers separaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plantiff do have und recove of and from the Brise defined out to due of Swent Eight and Ifin - dellay The Principal and intrut Dreed for and the Dring of Dig and 5/100 dollers Cesto necessarily incurred in the function of this action ine Gold Coin of the houles State -" Judy ment for The Princepal and intent -28.91 4.50 " gusties fres-2,00 " Orlat amend of freezement-

Township,

COUNTY OF GROWN STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant

The Geofile of Calefornic Plaintiff William GelmonAction Sistembry the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE/888.

PROCEEDINGS

Med- 31. Parificame file Warrant from & gin Loft: Short. B. H. Gille spie " Sofredent sie Court and informal of the Cleary again him and of his right- in the ferencies beforeant- plead grilly-" And naviol The legal time for Suline -" Whenfor by reason of the law and the premier aproposed it is admic and adjudged that for Daic officer that you William Johnson be and you are herely fine ne the Dum of \$500 - and in default of the pay men of Said fine that you have you are heary Emmitted In the Blest of the County of Green and by Said Shuffinferiored the County fail of Said County- no the profestion of one days imprisonment fur ony dellar of Said frie S.14. Thele, E.M.

Township,

COUNTY OF MILITIME, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Dullian Helly &ce-Plaintiff 3. A.C. B. Gill -

Defendant

Action Recent

Demand \$ 152, 26/12-

E.M. Besly-Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS Mel 31. Complaint file Summer found & gin Court. C. P. Walten affedail for attachment meets ofiled. Theelestalling on allactimus offermed and filed that of attachment from ogin come. halle " Summing returned Sterning Jurande Sunce on the Supredanttogether with a Copy of the Complaint on file him on The 31th clay of march 1885, and files Will of attachment recented returned and files april 5. June Com the defendant in Jereful feweren and for aurun during each aux eny alley whi in the Plantiff ampland- Contained 6. This com me for till at 11.00 a. In april 12" 1588 -This come Sotter in full and des mifree St. fill gn

No. Por

In the Justice's Court of Galeron Township,

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She Deph of California Plaintiff

Plaintiff

1. J. Venn Defendant.

Action Bully

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant

April 1. Parificanie-files hanent-ifmed & gair Sept. Cont. P.S. Maller

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Busin, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action account

Danie Halken 18. M. Elann

Demand \$124.69100

Whert & Store Attorney.....for Plaintiff...

E.M. Ming.
Altorney......for Desendant...

Defendant

DATE./848	PROCEEDINGS.
5;	Summer filed Summer freed Agin Coul- C. P. Walten. Summer returned bluming furnee Serie on to defendant
	hapthe with a copy of the Complaint- on file leven on the 3th day of afril 1888, and filed - how Comes the Especiant- by his atteny Eth Rosh, and fur demuns dense cased and any Ellegation in the Plaintiff complaint and and any allegation in the
24,	hun Comes the Expression- by his atting Eth Mosh and for ausmed dennes each and eng material allegation with Hemstiff Complaint Contained—
4,	Shin cause Set for hime at 10.00 a.m. May 5th 1888- June Dubfrend fee the Pliniteff and gain Existable— 11. O.C. a. Mr. Parties his Court- area Day they are near fu hise- Some halther area Eli hillow Sween area examined as
	D. Mr. Elain- rum and examine in his own belog. Sepon clave de Mantif-
8.	The Elama in rebutt by Spendent- Caun Clone and will be submitted the breefs- The respection Partio files thin brief in this as him
	The cent huning fully Considered this while treather— Therefore by marin of the law and the frames of moracial it is ordered and adjudged that the Plantff or home and receme of and from the objudged a judge ment - futhe summer
i May 19	Eight- how dellay as allowed by the Court and the some of Bring-two and 3/10- dellas Carts necessarily in Curred in the firm outin of this action in laufel many of the huited State- free gment- for the finiseful allowed by the court land. 82,00
in the same of the	Month Eli Welson - one day and one hull 2,20
ere ou	Beled amount of feedy men - St. Hill gen.

COUNTY OF Brem

.. STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff

V8.

Cochran & Blue Cohem lis hife

Defendant 9

Action Miliand account-

Demand \$42. 36/10 + M. fur-\$20"
G. E. Colucte

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Township,

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS april 3: Camplaine files Summer find Agric Court C. S. Walten Officiani for attachmed made I folia trustetting on attachment affund and filed. Will of attachment of me typin Court C. P. halter 4. Summer returne Strong personal Derice on the Sopredantslagethe with a Copy of the confilered on fule live on The day of afril 1888 and full Wit of attentioned execution relund and filed -On application of the Especial- The Court regues do defendent to file are undertalling in the Sum of One tuneded delles - in his are men Bufficient Suction widenly- and free helders -7. Sefered and Moca Coolnen fils her affected and Medito racel and and disologe the atholome in this action mili net for any ment afril 9" at 9.00.a. The 9. 9. O. C. am Partis in in Come- trother aryund and arented Efracet Exales -" Enfruir auch each file their Beparate denning to the Marily Empland hour 14. Surry argued and Bubinthe . The Coul-hall the Dane under adris ment-13. The Cent having fully Coundand The demines in This actu - ourself the Sauce defendant Excepts Sufredant file Their Seperal aurung to the Pleast 14, This Com set for hist at-1. O.C. P.M. april 19" 1988. 19. Continue of Course of Separat mate 4. D.C. 1.M. april 28th 1994 21" Canne Continue motel 9. O.C. a M. Offil 23. 1848 -23. This Come dis surface at Affe Colo S. H. Thee g. o.

Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action account

Austin & Coffman Plaintiff. Chris Larson -

Demand \$46. 3/100

K Baun Attorney for Plaintiff Thuy of Messiem Attorneyfor Defendant.

Defendant DATE. /888 PROCEEDINGS. april 3. Complaint filed Warrant fruit Again Court - C. P. Hallin. " Official- for Machinel made & filed - Knowletting on affectioned affermed & filed their of attendent of green & gin Court - C. P. Walton -1. Dunines returne Blenny Justice Benice an The Sepurant togethe with a Copy of the Complant - on file him on 40. dy of april 1888. and file -Wril- of allachund executed returned and files 7. Experience fiele his chime to the Plentiff Complant him. 18. Summer Intinited and ounted " Copulant files his annu to the Plaintiff Emplaint hourse-19. This Came Set for hial at 111. O.C. a. M. april 24th. 1888. 24. 111. O.C. a. M. Partis in Court Subforma return and files-By Consunt of Partir dis Cour is Entimed indefinitely My. 2. This Course Bet for hick at - 4. O.C. P.M. My 4th 1887-B. Spreac Dulpun for Alentiff and gover Course 4. 4. O.C. P.M. Partis in Court and ready for hiel Subfuni relund office 12 A Centin Suom and examina as a withit in his am beleastyafter which the depresent- current that fredyment be entine again him as peaged for Muchon by reason of the law and the premin afrancia it is The defend and the Dum of \$51,8% in the rement had for and the Dump \$44. The Cast necessing expendent in the forest cutin of this action in Compile money of the huber Stats -Judgment for the Princepel and which Down for -5-1.88-10.25 With Ch. Runsby - 2. dep and 2. Mile-4.40 a. I. Shidu " Raint -Sofredent allement adday of proceedings for him olays.

COUNTY OF Bun - STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

3.

Township,

Die Perfle of California
Plaintiff
vs.

Defendant

Action Lagrana

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

April 3. Complaint film Hanand-ipmen togin toft. Court 31. 2. Batteth1 19. Defined acct in Court acce informer of the Charge against him
and of his right in the fremiers Superdant flow hat girly"Cann not for his at- 1. O.C. 1. M. Define 12th 1888Superdant demands hist by proy- servine spende-

Especient demands hial by fry term fred beforecent filed his Bouch in the Dune of \$500 for Ber appearance for hial -

12. 2. 0.2 1. M. after having marker one full hem for the appear and of the defendant for hiel and he sufficient excum the man to the Court for the about of David and solar Said Buil but freshow and therespon de class Said Buil but freshow and attachment for the alice of and alice of the court of the co

No. 10.5

In the Justice's Court of James Township,

COUNTY OF Burn, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Blu People of California Plaintiff.

Defendant

Action Paymay

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorneyfor Desendant

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. april 8. Parificant-files Warent proc ogin Soft- Court I I Buttet " to beforedent in and and informed of the Change again time We and of his rights in the ferences Experient pleads, that goods Sepredaul aufunition \$29" instead of Bened for lies appearance for ains 9. Sufundant in Court and withdree his deparit, Committed to the Shoulf of the Count of Bruno- to anoil- his Treal -" Caun Sot for hid at 1. O.C. D.M. april 11th 1888how comes the defendant and arts to withdraw his plea of Int guilf- and felead quelly- grewlet and reain the legal time for Buttonce -Therefor by reason of the lever and the Jennises ofuraid it is ardone and adjudged that for Said office that you Jam, allen be and you are herely Committee to the Custing of the Short of the County of num and by said Short imprisoned in the County fail of Send found for a fund of hort days-Sittill gin

No. 1116.

In the Justice's Court of Ishin

Township,

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Whe People of California Plaintiff.

Showing Inlan-

Defendant.

Action Lazurer

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

Opil 3. Complaint-file transme-ipma & gin Cont- In In Bartlett

In the Justice's Court of James Township,

COUNTY OF GROWN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She People of Calefornia Plaintiff....

Peres Treach

Defendant....

Action bayeaucy

Demand \$...

Attorney for Plaintiff

Authorney for Dejendant

Attorney for Dejendant

See Pay 111.

DATE. /887 PROCEEDINGS. april 3. Complaint filed Warrant - Jones Agin Left-Cour . In. Bastlett -5. Sefudent in and upmet of the Claye against him I'm and of his right one the ferences - Especial pleads not gently Expressed - defearter \$2000 instead of bone for his appearance for hial non Cemos the Sopracant and fils his affacint arthing a Cleany of renne - when which he moved the Coul- to herefu Said achin to Some after Entmelin accuraced beforement to only -" His Coun Sol-down for heid al-9. Oc. am april 1. 1888 Expresent-demands hial by Juy - unin fred -7. 9. O.C. a Mr. Sefendant in Come Lining Subpense returned ared filed - Partis ready for trial - Just Burn to answer questions as to quali fi cation - after which the felling Junes new Bream to lig the Cause bril; Frank Check J. J. Neam - S. J. M. Lay, H. H. Lester - S. R. Daylor h. W. Pool, C. F. askle H. J. Hart - J. a. Cole - P. R. Franning - J. a. appling - to & Romery-" S. I. Win shell . I. Bartlett, J. M. Gearhant . G. M. Mc Course. J. S. Murgan J. S. Walten. & M. H. Harris - Sum and examined as sutripos for the People- President Cland -The foliain, Jake Walten, Wa. Dun and Mr. Mitchell -Enfrue cloud Cour argues and Submitted to the Jung for their debieration and resolot after a Short- retrement the Juy new returned in to Countby the frefer office of this court with the following reached twist; Turne april 700 1888 The the Juny fine the defendant-guilly as cheeper & a Cale framen Sefundant ordered to appear for metine at-14. O.C. R. M. april 9th 1888 - and Committed to the Shriff of Bueno Camp until said trom-9. 111. O.C. a. In Sofrestand in Court, and infermed by the court of the nature of the charge against him of his please and the render of the Jung and was where white

he has any legal Cann

Township,

COUNTY OF GREEN. STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff. Janus. Sembour-Defendant

Action Laziemey -

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

Opril 3. Carefl and file harrant-ofred & gain Court - 3. 3. Buttette.

In the Justice's Court of 3? Township,

Action Grand Lang.

COUNTY OF Busin, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ohe People of California Plaintiff

Phurhien Grandzen

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Desendant.

Offert 4. Couple anic- film Warrend- James & Con- Jest Con- Jest Maryan

Defendant.

Township,

COUNTY OF RILLION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ols People of California
Plaintiff
vs.

Jilliam Brown

Defendant

Action Lang

Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff...

Attorney.....for Defendant...

PROCEEDINGS.

April 11. Complaint files hanced of fine of the Plane again him defend of the Plane again him with and of his right in the framing defendent plane guilly and main the legal hime for mulica — Marin by marin of the law and the frame of promise of ordered and and promise that for Daire of from the dead of from the form the deady of the Deady of the Country of the deady of the dea

COUNTY OF Busin -, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Theres of hung-days-

Township,

S. H. Hill & M.

Action beginning Hu Peefl of Calefornic Plaintiff... Demand \$ Juck Marly Frank Wilson Attorney for Plaintiff Dlung Lamel, Mank Burn J. Buell-auch L. Cochian Defendants.... Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1888 april 4. Complaint- filed harrant- Jones & gin Court- F.B. Mergan 5. Sopredent - in Court and informed of the Cherry against them and of their rights in the farening - Expressions plead guelyand nain the legal time for Surhore -Espendant allower at once to lear to beau-SHILLE S.O. nen to show rely grang ment - Should not be framewall Pap. 107. agand trii -" Sofredend - filod his mehin on and of Endynews - Trolin ourrule - Define ant- file lis making for a huntice motor ormula, h-all of which the defendant- Excepts-Therefore by mason of the leve and the framiers refusaid it is another and adjudent that for Daies offer thed you feren meade be and you are houl amuited to the Sheriff of the Cours of From- and by Daid Blings

imprisoned in the County- fail of Daid County for a

No. (112

In the Justice's Court of Shine country of June . STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant..

Township,

The People of Calefornic
Plaintiff

Vs. Alleren -

Action Layrung.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Mail 4. Complaint film Warrent ifmed & gain Loft Court. It. In Buttite.

128. Sufundant defendent \$20. in steed of Bound for his appearance in Sure and informed of the Plane against him and of his right in the punion Separane flexion me - guesty - Court not for him at 11. co. ain. May 3. 1988
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 2. Ifmed Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 3. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 4. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 4. If the Subfernas for the People and Separant
May. 4. If the Subfernas for

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

COUNTY OF Bune, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Demand \$

She People of California Plaintiff

18. Campbell

Defendant.....

Action Paymency

Attorney.....for Plaintiff...

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1944. April 4. Complaint file Warrent- free tyon Sept-Court: 3.2. Bartlett Who can of his right ne the land of the Claye again- him lead of his right ne the Jermin - Eigendan feloady hot guilly-Especiant- aleperdial \$201" - instead of Bound for his apparain for line -" Laprement fulu his Bencin the Dum of \$50; fee his appenen for hice -Caun Set down for hial at -1. O.C. P.M. Copie 9. 1888-Educant demands hial & fry - Nemin ofmed -9. 1. O.C. a. M Sofredant- in Court Mine & Subprind returned and filed - Parties ready for hial, Junes Swan to ausen question as to qualification, after which the felling Junes new Sucut to by the Centre truit; a.S. Sterey, G. a. Smith Q.S. William, S.B. recurred to Wake field, S.P. Baunt. O. & Woodund J. M. Connell. h. a (ary- R. & Timphin, S. O. Genee & P. B. Millet -1. 3. 3. Bartlebl S. B. Min chell. G. M. M. Soull h. H. Harris, Len, Milie P. P. halten Y. J. halten burn and examined as witnesses for the People - Prericulin Cleric direct -Go. Campbell, M. V Jancy, H. A. Websh- Jeth Mather Eugene Galten. Me Grgan, G. I alexander & Paul Myre Sum and examined as without for the defenore - Caren Cloud and Submilled to the guer for their debibration and and redict - after a that retirement the fine were notimed in to Come-leg the freque office of this come with The following undied hund; Frem spil gu 1888 he the July fines The definerent-guilf- as Chazur 80. Zena furnian, Sifurdant-ordered to appear for muture at 14. O.C. am, April 16th 1888, and Committee to the Short of the Count of mun mult Said home -111, G. O.C. a. M. Sofuecant he court-and informed by the Courtof the trater of the Charge against him - and of his plea but the herdiet of the fung and was written whether he has any legal Cenn to Show why Judy ment Should not be See Pagar 117frameword regional- train -

No. 114.

In the Justice's Court of

COUNTY OF Rosen , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Action bayenay

The People of California Plaintiff

Same Roberts

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 4. Poruplaint filed harrent ifmed & gin Sept-Course J. I. Bartet

Defendant.

COUNTY OF Zum, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

She Deeph of California Plaintiff John Soe Argyle Defendant

Action Laziency

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

Opril 4. Camplaint- film transant- fred &gin Cope-Con- In In Bartless.

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Deeple of Calefornia Plaintiff. mente muply-Defendant.

Action baziency

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Township,

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./888

PROCEEDINGS.

April 4. Complaint filed havenet if ned & you soft East & Meyour Softward of the Chay against him and of the Chay against him and of this right in the Jesemen Sefucione pleases my quity.

And Days he is ready for trial - Come examined and defendent - dis deague uper du gumes that the insufficent to Council 8.14. Wile go!

COUNTY OF GREEN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant

In the Justice's Court of

3.

Township,

Action beginning

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. /888 PROCEEDINGS. Muil 5. Complaint file hanant fred to gin Court & S. They an W. " Especial in Come and informed of the Cheer again him and of his right in the ferences Expressent pleader guillyand waris the legal time for Soutence -Munfon by everan of the law and the fermin aference it is around and adjudged that for David of from theat you Pete Dullian be and you are herely Committee to the Shoulf, of the County of men and by David Stereff. impurioud in the Courty- Jenil of Said Courty for an Junier of her chays Statice gon_ June Therefore by reason of the law and the premiers apresaid Page /13. it is ordered and adjudged that fur Daid offur that you be Campbell be and you are thenly amulled to the Currety of the Sheriff of the County-of Turn and by David Short imprisoned he the County fail for a french of Diff ology -Statille & M.

Township,

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of Califina Plaintiff Brek- Gen _ N. S. Stone and G. B. Stone _ Action Police Sarcy

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE/888

April 3. Complaint filed Warrent Speed Again Court C. 1. Maltin.

" Sofresent in Court and informed of the Chare again- Them

and of there rights in the fermion Enforcements - pleade

that they lead we intend to Steat but whet reas

done was though mistath. done nas Neuge un.
« Vefudents dis Chazia after Gammater & St. Hile J.M.

Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Lagran

 Action Cazienez

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

April 5. Complaint files Warrant Jones Again Coffe Court & S. Walter

Who a Lopercounty in Court and informed of the Charge against Theme

and of This rights in the fermies Superdoubt plead quilly

and maind the legal time for Sentine —

Supercounty— afterne at once to leave to him
September 48.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Gurm ... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. E. Baalock je
Plaintiff

Ph. Whili + M.B. Blumson Defendant.3. Action Recoul

Demand \$ 6. 79 7 cm

Attorney for Defendant

-	Attorneyfor Defendant
DATE./878	PROCEEDINGS.
April 5.	Parifelanit filed Summers ifmed and give the Plaint
	Paling not france after du ingrey & files -
4	by fractive file arthring that and order of Puthicum bu made - for Mublication of Dummen Order
april 5	afficient for attachmed hack Afiles. Much talling on
19	attechned affine tille til - of attechned ifmed
	- This Cown Cornery on regularly to be heard upon the
	Cation of Burning and the Said defendant herry field
	him was duly made and Compliation and the Plantiff
	Therefore and and adjudged That the raise Plentiff
	It. White a judy ment - the rum of Six and I Then dollar
	the amount bud for and the Sum & 24 " Cort meanding expended in the four onlin of this action - in lample
*	Judy ment- for the amount-much for 6.75-
	" Justies gen-
4	That amount of fredyment - \$ 30,75
My. le	The Puleshin fun- What amount of Judy men 5 30,75- Space Execution - & and of Plaintiff asterny-

In the Justice's Court of 3? Township,

COUNTY OF GREENS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. B. Granning
Plaintiff

J. M. Sucland

Action The of home

Demand \$/7 04/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

	Defendant Attorney for Defendant
DATE. 1884	PROCEEDINGS.
April Co.	Paruflaint filed Summers ofmer Azin Court. C.P. halle
11 /3.	Summery returned Thering fearmal Divice on the defendant
	logisher with a Copy of the conflaint-on file him on the 13th
, 24,	In this action the defendant having been regularly Dirice with forces
	on file herein and the time allower by law for answing
	premises is hereby atrely entired according to law
η	In this action the defendant having been regularly sene with proof
	herein and the legal hime for auxuring having expend
	and the default of the Daid defendent in the premis
11	how at this day on afflication of the Plant 1/2 to
	ordered that fudyment be entired him against the
	Said Plantiff Complaint hum
7	Therefore by reason of the law and the frameris a few raid it is arobred areal adjudged that the Plantiff
	do han and recome of and from the Daid offendent
	The sum of Snewf-few and "The sholling the amount of Principal and buture sund for and the sum of
	Dig and The deller the Cart necessary incurred
	of the house outin of this achin in lauful mong
4	Judyment - for the Principal and whose Dune for - 25,45
	" fushion fun 4,50
a	Betat ament of Judgment - \$31,95- Judgment alahacher
4	Judgment alstructure
my, II.	

3

Township,

COUNTY OF GREEN- STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. R. Ganning 1 vs.

Plaintiff...

S.M. Brooks

Defendant....

Action Isole of have

Demand \$/9,2%

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. / 788 PROCEEDINGS April 6. Complaint filed Summers of price of file C. P. Wallet Jummy deturned Glaving Jearenal Binier on the defeatent togethe with a copy of the Complaint on file him and 6" deg of afril 1888. and filed -12. En this action the definerent-having been regularly Enine wither fre cef, and having fieled to appear and ausun the Hamilfs Complaint on file huin and the legal him for auxung having expend the default-of the Baid defendant in the fremis is here duly entered according to land " In this action the defindent- having been regularly Send with fre cep and having freiled to appear and answerte Hamily Complanet on file hein and the legal him for accounting Thing experie and the default of the Baid defoudant in The framis henry been duly entire according to lane -" how at this day on application of the Plentiff it is ordered and adjudged that fredzment be entered him against the duid defendant in accordance with the frague of Said Planitf Complaint on file herrin -" Therefore by warm of the law and The freming apresaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Houteff do have and no com of and from the Series defendent the Some of Quenty Eight and 6%/12 dellay the Principal whenst such for and the dring Sig and 5% Cash ne cesarif incurred we the feranculin of his admin in Gold Brin of the Anited States -" freezommet for the Pinicipal and whost Brunch 28.68 " " Constable " " Betal ament of fredy much Stitle gen my. 11. July much abstraction

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Burne, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff.....

Ger. Bartin M. L. William

Defendant

Action account-

Demand \$ 9., 75/102

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

	Defendant
DATE. 1888	PROCEEDINGS.
april le.	Parifilaint file Summer fined Again Court C. P. Walter.
	Summing returned Sharing freezencel Sinice out Sofred and
	togethe with a cefy of the conflowing on file her on the yet
13,	In this cection the Sofondant having been regularly some with
	Jucop henry failed to appea and anound Heistiff
	Conflaint on file huis and the him alleved by law for
	areruing having expuse the defearle of the Daid defendant
14.	In the framing is hough duly entral according to law -
	Juccep and having finded to appear and aurun to Heisty
	Complaint leave and the legal time for auruning homing
	premie having been duly entire according to law -
	how at this day on application of the Plantiff It is
	ordered that gredgment - be entired having against the
	Said defendant in accordance with the frage of said
	Manifice by maxin of the law and the primise africain
**	it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have
	and recom of and from the devil defendant the
	Some of him and Ifin-dellay- the amount buse for
	in the Prore cutient of this westion in lauful men of the
	hruhet blats
n	Judgment for the Junicipal Ame for - 9,75
	Parstells a 2.00
α	Orlat amount of fredymen \$16.25
	Alt thee go.
20	Humi Ere outin an gan Contato
	. Stother for

Township,

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Hu People of Elipsonic Plaintiff

Proposition of Plaintiff Defendant

Action Fisherbing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant...

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS

Opril, 7. Complaint files havene free type Con-C. P. halli-9. Separateur in Come and informed of the Charge against him and of his right in the framins - Separateur felicals guilyand main the legal time for Sentince " Sofred and - allowed to leave the him and go to work - Stoller & of

In the Justice's Court of Sea Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Chas. Hodyddan
Plaintiff...

1. J. Bry

Defendant.

Action becamil-

Demand \$ 15-75/100_

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant.

DATE. /888	PROCEEDINGS.
april 7.	Parifil and filed Summers force Agin Lope Court. J. & Murgan
u u	Summers returned Sharry Jewonice Since and Seprelant
	hystea with a Copy of the Camplain on file herein on to you
	deg af April 1888. and filed_
2	This cam fraid in full areal dis mufuel
	Stitle. J. M.
mm	
Page 124	Ahij com Ist-fu hial at-111.00. 2. M. april 23. 1488
	Three remir and Subpenies for the Plant of Popular
april 24	Sofuecent-filed his buse in the Sum of \$400 - for his
	appearence for hial - instal of cash but-
24.	VI 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Stillie IN

Township,

COUNTY OF Brewn, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action bayancy

All People of Elifornia Plaintiff Vs. Defendant.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

Opil 17. Complaint filed hancul force ogni Com. C. P. Walten

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Guenn, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.

Action Lagrancy

The People of California Plaintiff.

Jul Levern

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

april 7. Camplaint file hanant pred & given Court. C. P. Walter

Township,

COUNTY OF Bring -, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Actron aprull mit a deady neaper

The People of California Plaintiff

Demand \$

E.G. Colemile Attorney for Plaintiff Tucker Alis Attorneyfor Defendant

Mills Sud dort Gent Philip for Luis Will Signe Mann. Winley Muncle Bridges-alex Broken -Defendant

DATE. 1988 April 9" Campel anil filed. Warrent- freed and gin affreint glo Sury-Sofracant-Willis Sudderth in Court and informed of the Than against him and of his right, in the ferences, Sofwelent Committee to the Short of the Count of hume to ancid his examination . Com but for have at 1. O.c. 1. In. africe 14" 1488 -M. I from Dutpune for the Peli-" 12. O.C. 1. M. Enfruedant in Come and Tracy for Examination Whips brew and examined for and Con-Cenn arguel and But mithe - The Cour having fully Couriered

the Dean ordered that the defendant be dis cheged upon The ground, that the anderes is insufficient to hold the defendent quilt of and afrault with a deally neating repen a certiant Chinamem or any one elm-The Count-fullow fruits - Heat the definedents Willes Buddette Will druger . miner Winter and Cale toda - an quell- of distuling the freare in the 4th day of afril 1888 - and recommends that they should be puncula fute some Attill for

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Buene, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Dhe People of California Plaintiff

Plaintiff

Daylor

Defendant.

Action Palet Sancy

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. /888/

PROCEEDINGS.

Opil II. Conplaint file hanant fruit & fine Com C. P. haller

In the Justice's Court of Johnson Township,

COUNTY OF Bune, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J.B. McSon ald Plaintiff Jacob Beeden Defendant

Action hate of heave

Demand \$1600 tinchess -

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant...

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. April 11. Jamplaint filed Summer of gue of gin Court C. P. Waller. " affidavil for attachment med & file truebelathing an attachment affermed ofler . Theil of attachment of med ogive Carl C. P. Waller 12, Summer returner Showing Jurinel Burica on the Suprement logethe with a copy of the Conflaint on file benin mita 11th day of april 1888, and filed " Will of attachment Executed returned accel filed Whis cours paid in full and dis nuful - S. H. Hill F.N.

Township,

COUNTY OF Breeze. STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of Californic Plaintiff Renie Pleffret & Mami Pohets Defendant S Action Bulling

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE /888

PROCEEDINGS

April 12. Couple and filed harrant if new sain Sept Care 3. 3. Bartlete Vi Sofundant in court and informed of the Share against Teen and of their right in the Jeremin Separat please quilly-and have the legal time for Sentine - But fifty with. " Infiniteents find and Paid \$20.4 each of her aggregation Cefridant disolonged & Hill Jes.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Buene, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff John Mayor -Defendant

Actron Six trushing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS April 12. Complaint filed transant ifned Agin It. B. Buttelo Soft Court.

Refor " Supercent in Court and informed of the Oling against him and of his rights in the premiers Supercent pleases guilts.

and wais the legal time for Dintera - But fife Littles-" Enforcement fried and Paris \$111" and des Augus

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,

COUNTY OF GRAND STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action bazacay

The People of California Plaintiff

18. Suivys hin Defendant.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

opril 12. Complaint-filed transmer Speed &gai Court C. P. Waller

COUNTY OF Bun, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of g. Township,

Defendant

The People of Californic
Plaintiff

78.

June Store

...

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

Action Bully

April 12. Complaint filed transmit four Agrin Sept Court 3. 3. Bartlets.

Whit I fundament in Count and informed of the Cheen against him and of his rights in the furnices Separated pleady quilly.

and manie the legal trine for Bruter - Burk pfs seller.

Separated frim and prine \$20" and Six charged—

Stitle g. 1.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Bune , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.

Pozzi Muny-Defendant.

Action Bally -

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE/889

PROCEEDINGS.

April 12. Parifil aint files harent four ogni Cofe Cout 3. 3. Bartlete.

COUNTY OF Busine _ , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

Defendant

Bhe Dooph of California
Plaintiff

18.

Sozzaci Muns-

Action Balley

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS.

april 12" Complaine- filed to anant-June Agin Sept Part: 3:3: Bestlet.

COUNTY OF Frence ____ , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff

Neal Monthly

Defendant.....

Action Sistership the Venu

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

Township,

DATE 1987

Offil 11. Pruplaint file haneur spund typin Curt. C. P. Walter
" Sofundam in Court and informed of the Ching again him

or and of his lights in the pleasures Separature plead hor-guilty
befruient held for his appear and for his the

Brun of \$50. Brune appear and folia
14. How comy the appearant Sistered Many. M. S. Dropp and

means the Court to dis change the deputant upon the

ground that the deputant had no Mate cores intent

to Commit a Crim and it is no cidence—

S. H. Hill & M.

Township,

COUNTY OF Bush , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She Deeple of California Plaintiff Auchen Guzum - John Defendant S Action Listucking the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff...

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. april 12. Complaint-file Harront- Jane 4gri Goff-Court- & S. Halles 14. Separcants in Come and informer of the Charge against Them ared of their night in the freewing Sofresents held to come

for hial - Bruit fufty ohlly each -" The Comes the appelant district his Juppe and many the Court - to dis oliver the definitions - report the grands that The defendents had me malicias inter to Cons Crino - and it is he areles

SHHILL GA

COUNTY OF Brewe , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action

Ohr. G. Mormen
Plaintiff

Vs.

2-Namethrar

Defendant

Demand \$62 35/102 —

B. E. Collecter

Attorney for Plaintiff

hela & his -Attorney for Defendant

Township,

DATE./878 PROCEEDINGS april 13. Complaint file Summer June + gin Com C.P. Wallis -Summery artural Strong formal Device and offerent together with a copy of the complaint on file how on The 13th day of affect 1868 - and filed 14. The Come the deprecent by his allowing Joucher & his - and fee auna deines lach and any matrial allegato no to Mainleff, Emplant Contenued Sefendant-fils his offer to allen freguent 15! This Cour Set fu head at . 11. O.C. a. In. May 199 1488 -19, This Cause Continued indefinitely and to be taken who at - a him to mid- the commine of Partir and Coul -26. how cany the Mentill by his allong and accepts Supredants of fee to talk finely ment - for the Som of \$49,55 Mustin by rearen of the law and the fumino apresaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do har and recorn of and from the defendant the Sum of Hour him and 5 /1 in dollar the amount offered by the defendent and accepted to by the Plantff - and The Sum of Sucu and This dollars Casts necessarily in occurred in the frese cultin of this askin in lauful mong of the timber States Gudgment for the amount-tendence of the Sofredame & Relight J. Ph - 49,5-3-5,50 our telle 2,00

Township,

COUNTY OF Brun , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She Deeph of California
Plaintiff

188.

Defendant

Action Mis dimeans

Demand \$

G. E. Colonee

Attorney for Plaintiff

G. G. G. G. G. Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS April 13. Complaint film Transent ofmed Again Court C. P. Malli. My Sopredant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right- in the premies Sipurdant- pleade not-quilly Sofreed- held for hial on his one recognizance May 2. Shing Cause Sul- for hill at 11.0. a. M. Mug 4. 1888 -I med Dulpanie for the Ceaple 4. A Mis Course by Course of Parties Continue mutil 1. O.C. P.M. May, Jet 1888-Supersaul- remanded heal by Jung terry freed Igni ant, 7" 1. O.C. P. M. Safandant in Com- Nenne and Subprend returne and filed Canne Continued by the Court nutil 9.00.a.m. My 81. 1888 - in Eurigina of the abrine of da Gished allong and his aroutend for Course nution to the Court . June, and teitupy ordere to be fourent at David hom 8. 9. O.C. a.M. Defrudent in Court Jung and whips present Partis ready for hial - Juny Snow to answer questions as to qualification - after which the fellowing fures were Swam to hig this Cour buil; h. J. Riggs, a. Baind much macy E. M. Chilinden, S.B. Enni- J. J. Lung J. a. Cole & 13. Incenum, Co.B. Millett Ed Souland, & Triffith & goe. Cuthin -In C. Boyed Cho. arts men manie Hell, Hathe Wood, hellie Migus - Chr. Frales. N. G. M. Somall F. S. halter. A S.B. Hunds sucon and Excurred as wilmpes Cout hall a neep mile 1/2-08. P.M. 1. O.G. P. M. Sufundant in Court Jung all ferenet. Partis ready to fero cecel - Plentiff Cloud dinda. Harnethus - Sum and of armined in his am behalf Sofren Clause - Come Claud agreed and Submitted to the July for their deliberation and undiel-" The Jung after a Share-returned were returned into Gene with the felling medial - We the guy find the defendant-quilly as found in the industrient . M. S. Riggs - fireman Jugoliohand. " beforedent ordered to affect for Sentine at: 10. O.C. a.m. Mag 100 1888 -Du Page 145

3.

Township,

Bhe People of California Defendant 9.

Bhe People of California Defendant States of California.

Action Sistualing the Peace

Action Sistualing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. / 477 PROCEEDINGS April 1/4 Parifil aint file Warrent fred and good Cours D. B. Bartett -IN Copulants in Erect and informed of the Change against them and of their right in the fremiers Sopurant felice quillyand man the legal him for Sulman " Therefor by warm of the law and the premin apression it is ordered and adjudged that for Some officer that you ale Bon and all the - be and you an each of you fried in the sum of \$1110 you and each and in defeult of the fearment of Said fin that you and each of you he are you are heady commettee to the Stariff of the County of France and by Since Sterly infinis and in the Court ferie of Serie Court for a ferred of his days each of you in the feeshahir of our day imprisonment fu acy delly of Sein frie S. H. Thele gen

Township,

COUNTY OF Built , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Hu People of Calefornia Plaintiff. Willis Suddet Will Smyn Musica Winters & ale Enden

Action Gistuthy the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Desendant

DATE. /888 PROCEEDINGS afrie 14. Camplaint- film hanan- June Azin Cope Court. M. H. Hansis Who Sofrments in court and held for this appearance futricle on the recognizance of MBButter who will bring some Sofredant in come on which of the Court. 24. Mis Cann me for plus and trial may 12. 1888 - at - 2. C. e. 1. Mm My. 12. This Cour Centrinic indefinitely-11. This Course is supper for word of horn cution -

Township,

COUNTY OF June. STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action Petil Sarceny Offic People of California Plaintiff. Jehn William Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff. Defendant. Attorney for Defendant.

Opere 1888; Complaine-filed hanant-june ogen Geft-Cout to Hillario-

Township,

COUNTY OF Sum, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Ocofile of California Plaintiff. Sam Daris

Action Sistersby the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff.

Defendant Attorney for Defendant DATE. /488 April 17. Complaint-filed hanant of your Again Loft- Part: P. S. Wallen Will Enfrustrent in Come and infune of the Clean against him and of his right in the fremis - Experient feleads quilly and wain the legal time for sentimes -" Sufuncant - fried and Paid five ame dis cheque SHALLE J.P. Mun. Pary 141. 14. 14. O.C. a. M. Sefundant in Court - This brig the term price for Sentice Softmand in farmed by the coul of the Walni of the Change against him and of his filea and of the redest of the Jung and then asked if he head any legal Courte show sely fredz ment Should not be formanced against him -

" Defined out Council much the Court to grant Said defendant a new fried- Tuckin argued and Bulmitted, motion overreled upon the ground, that it is not the fernence of this court to interfere with the undiet of a fung in our action when the evidence is Conflicting -Muchen by reason of the leve and the Jaming aparaid it is ordered and adjudged that for Daid officer that you a. Hamethen be and you are trent find in the Sum of One tundred \$100") delley and defender of the freyment of Said fine that you be and you are hour Committee to the Custing of the Steriff of the Energy of Frem and by Said Short imprisoned in the Conny fail of Sain County in the further of one days imprisonmed - for eny dollar of Baie free

Township,

COUNTY OF Aum., STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of Calefornic Plaintiff E.P. Readen Defendant Action Mis demenn

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1488

PROCEEDINGS

afil 17. Paroplanit- file Marian ofman Igin Geft: Cout I & Mallin. Vi Lafredant in Court and informed of the Oliver against him and of his right in the fermin Suprisoner lake for his appearance for Examination in the Sum of \$100". Bund affirmed and filed 18. This com but for examination at 1. O.C. P. M. april 1888-Phred Sufe for People &defred and +gan constatt. 21, 1.O.C. M.M. Sofueland in Coul and Days he is ready for trial - on Examination - Guett. Bing ale Bong. F.M. Jus -Hea thames & H. Batteth, Sum and Examined as interfes for the People Prenouti Cland direct Min Stethy - how four I. S. hallin . h. flictly fames felowen C. Pole- R. G. Resinus - N. h. Phillips. E. J. Sellett, B. B. Barling Frank Helland & Est Meden Bren and Examined as sidnefy for the defendant Sefur Clevel -I A Battill - Culled in rebuille by the People acen Cland and argued by Sofundary Mit, Wilsh _ and Submitted - The court with the same under adismont mili 1. O.C. P.M. april 28. 1888-23. Thereing in this achin Cultimed mitel 19.0.c.a. The april 14" 1888-24. 11. O.e. a. M. Sepucani-ni Court- The Court hang fully Course this mather - aren it - appearing to me that the officer of obtaining money much falm fultures has been commether and that there is Bufficent Caun to believe the sushin named to K. Necrolon guilf-thenf - & order that he be held a answer the same and Commetter to the Short of the County June and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of Three hundred \$3000, Gilles - and he is Connected to the Sharp of the Cours of Sum intil la gui Such buil -

St. Hill J.n. Cahi his africe 24" 1888-Sefected filed his Buch whoh was appeared Sittille good

In the Justice's Court of @ Township,

COUNTY OF FINE , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Alle People of California Plaintiff Smothy Brying-

Defendant

Action bayan cy

Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. /494

PROCEEDINGS

april 17. Porufil anit- filed Warmen ifmer Agri Veft. Court. W. H. Harris 18. Separateint in Court and informed of the Charge against him with and of this rights in the premiers Separate pleads quilly and mains the legal him for souther -" Lefundant allund to at me lear the town - Sittitule of M.

COUNTY OF Brune_, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

(3)

Township,

Plaintiff

18.

P. Sytin, acy Connelly- Chang all.

Imust Star & Him Dimpone

Defendant S.

Action Pagency

Demand \$.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

April 18. Complaint filed Warrant June soin Count F. S. Walter -While Softwards in Come and informed of the Charge against Them and of their rights in the fremin Softward place guillyand name the legal him for Soutence — " Sefendant allowed to at one leave to him — S. H. Hill . J. P. In the Justice's Court of 3? Township,

COUNTY OF Zune, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

HH. Wilsh

Plaintiff.....

Defendant

Action Recuil

Demand \$211 /14

HA Cox an

Attorney ____for Defendant

DATE. /444 PROCEEDINGS. april 18. Partlaint file Summer fred ogin Court I Shallow 123. The Comes the definition file his dummer and arene to the Maniety Complaint on file livin - 27. This course Inthem we full and disologue - Stoffill get.

3..

Township,

COUNTY OF

, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ofthe Ozeph of Californice
Plaintiff

Vs.

Defendant

Action Bally

Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff...

Attorney for Defendant

DATE./488

PROCEEDINGS.

afril 19. (auflaint-files Warrant- June & grie Seft: Court. In Barbarde 1911. Defudant in and informed of the Charge against him and of his right in the Jermins Sofundant-hild futual in The Dum of \$200" - Bunch affirmed and filed -" This Caun not for hine at-11. O.C. a. M. april 26 " 1888 -I pued remir and Bubpienes - and gan. Com-24. 3. O.C. 1. In. Sofundame in Coul runn and Bulpines returne and filed - Parting ready to proceed - Junes Burn a morner questing as to qualification after which the fellowing freez wen Duem h- try the Ceren by Current of Partin-Livil; & S. Sterry - H.G. Treat-, J. h. offmed. G. A. G. Glem. 3. S. Reel, 11. M. Bell. Havy Shearwood. a.S. Stins - g.M. Suffell. to folm Bearn-Mr. E.B. Wille - Sum as anticop for the People-EB. Miller and Harry, Seadmen. Suca as whip for the define Com Cland argued and Submitted to the Jung for Thise deliberation and riched - The Juny after some length of returned me ritured in a Cent. and infund the Coul - That it - Seemed importable to agree The frey new though dis cheeged The Come dis miped for went of further frame certie

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of 32 Township,

Ale Deople of California Plaintiff......

Jehn Voe

Defendant

Action Sisterling to Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

apul 19. Camplanil- filed Warrent- June Agni Coff. Court. J. S. Walter

Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She People of The Plaintiff. Defendant.

against him

Action Balley

Demand \$

El Clambe Attorney for Plaintiff Groffust of Coldin Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATELYYY

PROCEEDINGS

april 10. Complaint filed Warrant offered Again Sife. Col. 3. 3. Bestless -In Sefendant in Come and informed of the Change again him and of his right in the ferenies - Experient pleads horgailly-Cause Det fee hial at 11. Oc. a. M. Offil 25th 1888 -Sofredeent demand, heal by green, - remie fund -Sipure end held fee tital no the down of \$200". Bus appear of file-Threed Subpenies - for the People accel to Sepression 25, 11. O.C. a.M. Enforment- milant- hence and Subforme returned and filed - Partis ready for hiel - Junes Busen to ausen questions as to quelification - after which the filling genes were Dun to by the Cauch lind; J. M. Januel. h.S. Flanger mand Janing, J. W. Curd. Ed. Toreland S. hiffith. a. S. Willins. The Steam Eli Combs. Willie Guin HS. Muriel & Class Steines -P. B. Millett; John J. Grathe. Obcar. Emmin, Mr. Colmen. Juni Mach, Summe and examined as withings for the People. (and lite a recep will 1. O.e. 8. M. This day-1. O.C. P. M. Sofuelant in Court Jury all ferend ani mach on the Stand Prore culin Clina died-" f. f. Stine abbie Surston C. P. Walten, Breen and Eramuse as withing for the defendent Sefuer Clevel duest MM h. I Battell, Sucu and examined as a while in rebuttle by the Proph- Course Clevel argue and Bulmitier to the fung for their delebration and readice - after a Shut deliberation the guy me returned in to Court by the furfue office of the cover with the following medical The the Juny find the Separateur quely as Changed J. S. M. Connell. fremen Sofredent adend to appear for Between at 11. OR. a. Meapril 27" 1888 -27. 111. O.C. a. M. Defindant in Court and informed of the Waline of the Charge against him - of his plea one of The recold of the Juny- area arthur if he had any

legal Carior to Show why Judgment Sheld be feromened

See lage 16-5-

COUNTY OF ALLEM , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Blu People of Elisaire
Plaintiff

E13, Millu

Defendant

Actron Bully

Demand \$

4ttorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. /887

PROCEEDINGS.

april 21% fourplaint- file Warrant- fred Agrin Court - Tr. B. Bartlett -What and of his with in the and of his right in the ferming Expression pleady not guily-Exprescent - Committee to the Curties of the Slevill of Freme County- to await his heal - ine defende of Buil with som of One Monrand - della, -25. Sofudant file his Buch in the Burn of \$1000; for his oppour for heal when me for heal- Bund appeared and filed -27. This Comen But for tick at. 11. O. a. a. M. afriel 28" 1888 Ifmed Rivin and Subpenser and gan court 18. The Comes the defendant with his altery Mr. Sheedy and willed The Court to with home his pleas of sul- quilly- and to plead quilly as changed vie the Complaint on file themin - all of which is allowed by the coul and the defendant account to appear for Dentina at-11.00. a.m. Opiil 30% 1888-311: Supredant in court at 111. Oc. a Mr. This bing the here fixed for Sentine - The Cont informer the Separation of the nature of the charge against him of this please and asked if he had any legal Counte show why Judgment Should not be prenemed against time , Museful by reason of the law aced the premise apression it is ardered and adjudged that for Daid offeren that you EM. Wille be and you are though finded the du donn of Three trunched \$300" Sollien and in default of the fearment of Said fine that you be and you are thereby Committed to the Curting of Steriff of the county of From and by Brief Steriff infinisoned in the Court gail of Said Court in the ferefulion of One days imprisonment for eny dellas of Daise fine -& Hille J. J.

No. 15-4

In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

COUNTY OF June - STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Bulley.

Der People of Colification Plaintiff

Frank Sur-

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE./488

PROCEEDINGS.

April 21. Compland file harmer ofmed Again Court C. P. Wallet-

Defendant...

tice's Gourt of 3.

county of June, state of california.

She Peeph of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John hoolfine

Defendant

Action Bulling

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Township,

Attorney for Defendant. DATE. /888 PROCEEDINGS. Much 22. Complaint filed Warrant from Agin Laft Striff A. B. Gilles frie Sofredache in Cerel- and informal of the Chap agains time accel of his right- in the fermion here com the feart ingured before the triel of this action and altrembedy that She has receive Sales fuchion for the injury down her and on payment of the colincurred & arder all proceedings to be Hand on the freezelin and the definition be be dis cheered Mereferan S.H. Thile J.M. Mine Page 152. Whenfor by nearon of the law and the premier ofmercial It is ardered and adjudged that for Baid offun that you J. J. Show be and you are treety fried in the dring Thee Trumed \$350", Gelley - and in default of the fragment of Baid fine that you be and you are herely committed to the Custody of the Bleeff of the Comyof num and by Daid Strent impured in the County fail of Said County in the forofestion of once days instisonment for any dellar of & and fine -W. how Comes R.B. Jehum and S. h. huffith and gin their find hete fragable to the Count of num on the 7th day of May 1888 - and they mount the liber of Said departame

In the Justice's Court of Court of Township,

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Defil - of California Plaintiff

Charles Breen

Defendant

Action frault- with deadly weeken-

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant

DATE./488

PROCEEDINGS.

April 22. Complaint filed Warrend of mun of the Clay again him the Software Ist hallow of the Clay again him to the Shriff a reach his examinate - Commenter of the Shriff a reach his examinate - Cam set for examination at -3. Oc. 1. M. 14! day of afor 1888
" This came on making of the Sighest attemy 5 dissurped super the grand of insufficiency & St. Hill get

COUNTY OF Reesen , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

30

Township,

She Peeple of California
Plaintiff
Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1488 PROCEEDINGS. april 24. Parifilain feled Warrend Jucen of in Court. C. P. Walter-Sopredant in Court and informer of the Chary against him ared of his right in the ferencies - defendant pleader quillyand mais the legal time for Buelonce " Wherefur by reason of the law and the ferencies afrereice it is ordered and adjudged that fur Duice office that ye Menis Campbell be and you are tusty find on to sum of him delley and on default of the fragment of Dasie fine Heal you be and you are houly Committee to The Crestary of the blesiff of the anny of Gum and by Said Short imprisoned are the level fail of Daie Camy in the propertie of one day imprisonment for any della of Daid frei S.H. Will g. M.

Defendant.

CICE'S GOUTE OF 3?

COUNTY OF Brown STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff
Charles Green

Action Mand-with deedy neeper

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

Township,

DATE./888

PROCEEDINGS.

April 14. Complaint file harant frem Agin Court C. P. Walter -He Sofredant in Court and informer of the Charge again live and of his right in the fecening -This Coun But for Earmahin at 3. O. C.M. Copie 24" 1848-" 3. O.C. P.M. Expendent in Court-and Days he is ready for Gamenati- ah. Juen- ah Chuott. and al Luy Dun and of amind as nitropes fe the People. - Prenacute Clevel John Boart Minis Campbill. + Thas heen Sum and Et armid as withing for the Separtant- deform Clim ah. June - Called in rebuttle of the Preple Care Clevel and Submitted - The Court - hits the Same under adres ment-until 1. De. P.M. april 25th 1888 25, 1. O.C. P.M. Defendent in Court - This being the home fixed for The finding of the Cenie in this action - The Court havy fully Considered the maker and Ft appearing to me that the officer of an affault with a deady neepon reporte from of our all guen a Chinam has been Committee und that there is Sufficient Course to believe the above named Charly here quilly though Jarden that he be held to answer the Sence- and Committed to the Shoriff of the County of men. and that he be ad writted to bail in the Sum of Fire Hundre dellas ared he is committed to the Short of the County of home mulet be give such bail -

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

An People of Californice Plaintiff. Demand \$ William Potter Defendant

Action Petil Success

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1888 april 24 Complaint- file hand four of your Cout C. P. Wallen Will Sofredent in Come and informed of the Charge against Time and of his rights in the Jermin - Safand and pleaded hat goully and Days he is ready for trial _ nitrops meen and at amind fore and are - Caron Inbuilted - The Court after having fully Censidered the Same foinds that the defendant is quilly as chegar beforeaut mains the legal line for muline -Therefore by nearen of the leave and the fermines a five visit it is arobered and adjudged that for Daid officer that you William Potter be and you are tressly find on the Sum of \$5-35 and in default of the pagment of Said thetype and you are buch committed to the Custory of the

Shoulf of the County of Gume- and by Suid Shoulf improved

in the Enel fail of Daie County in the fresher of one

day infersonment - fre any dellar of Said fine - B. H. Thill for

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of Colifornic
Plaintiff

P. J. Storie

Defendant

Action July Rope

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

My Suche

Attorney for Defendant

Township,

DATE./478 PROCEEDINGS april 24" Complaint filed Transant fred Agric Court: C. P. Walter It. Sofewelant in Come and informed of the Charge against line and of his right in the ferening - Defindant held to answer for examination in the some of of \$100000 2. how Cong the defendant and filed his Bence for his appearance for examination Brue offered + filed -" This Cann but for Examination at. 11. O.C. a. M. May 1441888. 31. Then Comes D. h. hiffith one of the defendents hundred in open come the Suprescent being frescul and Burmado Said befordent in his excusation as Burdy - for the David General-9. This Cense by Consul of the Infendent My - M.S. have and the Lished. ally. M.B. Drug this Cener is Centimo indefinition 17. This coun but for Examination at. 11. ac. a. th. Ing 2100 1888 Pperon Bubpune for the Separant-31. This Com Continued until 10, Oct. a. In fece 4 1888 gme. 4. 11. O.C. a. M. Sopracent - in Court favor Contract with 1. O.C. P. In-1. D.C. I'M Sopredent in Coul-and filed his afficiant arthing for a change of name to an other Justing Coul - Mation demic Supredent Lapts-Sopredant- Teady for a aminetin annie Mack on the Stand - Court adjuned with 9.00. a.m. June 5 t 1888 -5. 9. O.C. a.M. Sofundant in Court-and Rung to proceed with his examination - On motion of the arritant -Vished atterny . G. E. Clevelle This Course is houly disimfed when the granne of the inrufficing and mortainly of the evidence office by the pronoution -S.H. Hill. E.O.

COUNTY OF Builden, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of 3.

Township,

Oshe People of California Plaintiff... John See-

Action Peter San

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Desendant

PROCEEDINGS.

April 16 Complaint-filed Warrent ifmed & you Court C. P. Walter.

Defendant.

Township,

COUNTY OF Breeze, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action Sis bushing the Peace Jose Peneda & Mrs. Horase Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff Defendant 3 Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. /888 PROCEEDINGS. . April 47 Jampland files Warrant offered again Soft End Lat thallow Will Sofundant in Court and informed of the Chay again them and of the right in the farming - Sofundants pleaded quilty " Sofundant find and freid \$5th cach and Meiofin dis obceque S.H. Hill J. P.

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

She People of California Plaintiff....

Proposition Plaintiff....

Defendant....

Action Sistembring the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Desendant

DATE. / 798

PROCEEDINGS

Muil 27. Paruplaint filed Warrant fruit sque sque Cont C. P. Walter 25. Sufferent in Court and informal of the Chaze agand live We and of his right in the forming - Expendent placed quilly and name the legal time for Dentera.

Man for by reason of the law and the primites afred with it is ordered and adjudged that for Daire of from that you Brenton that he are you are built friend in the Frame of the that you he are you are hough friend in of Said five that you he are you are hough monthly to the Bluif of the Court of Mum and by Daire Shriff informace in the Court of me and grave by Daire Court might propoling of one days imprisonement for any delker of Said frier & Stocker proposed from the formace of the days infinitely for the days with members of any delker of Said frier & Stocker frier & Stocker & St

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action account Delian Butoch Demand \$ 5 3 - 25/100 Attorney for Plaintiff Defendant. Attorney.....for Defendant...

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. April 28 Complaint-files Emminy ifered Agrice Cover, C.P. Wester in and place in Much more made of files Plaintiff deforment
in and place instant of an Instantify Mil of attachment
ifered ogin Court C. P. Walter " His Ceren Gaid infull and dissurful Still Jet!-

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

30.

Township,

Blu People of California Plaintiff John Voe- alies Button MichelAction Petit Larany -

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

Opril 24. Complaint filed Warrant fred typic Court C. Mallon,
May, 2. Sofredant in Court and informed of the Charge against him
and of his right in the premises.

It is count is nufue on the grand that the services

is insufficient to Courted.

Stother pro-

3.

Township,

COUNTY OF Sum., STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Blueds

Action Blueds

Demand \$

Plaintiff Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Summer Autorney for Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

DATE. 1889

April 29. Complaint files Warrant ipmed again Court C. P. Malhon 180. Superland in Court and informed of the Pray against him and of his reglet in the promises Infracent held for his apparance and Committed for Examination he the Shiff of the Court of Journe.

" This Court me of Examination at 1.00, P.M. Offiel 30th 1888

" 2. O.C. P. M. Urpmant in Court, By course of perties this Course is continued instepretly I produce admitted to Brinds in the Dum of Sion - Court is continued instepretly I produce admitted to Brinds in the Dum of Sion - Court of files his Brind in the Dum of Sion - Court affect of the Court of the Dum of Sion - Court affect of the Court of the Dum of Sion - Court of the Court of the Dum of Sion - Court of the Court of the Dum of Sion - Court of the Court of the Dum of Sion - Court of the Dum of Sion - Court of the Dum of Sion - Court of the Court of the Dum of Sion - Court of the Dum of Sion -

In the Justice's Court of S. Township,

She People of California Plaintiff. Im Demasters

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.

Action Polit Sarcing

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Desendant

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS

Opil 29. Camplaint filed handed offer of the hise of this will action and actumodedy but fresh for the high of the injury dem him and and and on fry ment of the Cast-incurred I order all proceedings to be Steered reporter functions and the defendent to be discharged therefore - &H. Hill . J. ?.

COUNTY OF Ausu. STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Sol of California Plaintiff.

Behn Sol of Michael Place alice

Huy Brufield Henry Emsell—

Defendant S.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Township,

DATE./888 PROCEEDINGS. April 30 Tomplaint filed Warrant fund Again Laft Short Suis-My 2. Ifmed aliaf hanent and gan Sift Short Lewis-4. Difuelant in Court and infermed of the Charge against them and of here right in the ferences Sofuedant Committed to the Short of the County of Frem to avail Their Equination -" (aun me fu & animalie al. 7/2. O.C. P.M. May 10th 1888 -11. 7/2. O.C. P.M. Sofreeleub in Court and energy for Eramuela John M. Greehart appendent Stroll- hand Reporte feller action Doney M. Silve - Suca as interpula in die action J. D. Mucel on the street as auchap -Course Cultures rentil 9. O.C. a. Mr. May the 11th 1888-11 9. O.c. am Cofundant in Court. The Examineshi of this Come Enlined will - 1/2 ac. 1. M. This dayme By Connut of Parties to this action this Cours is hely Luttered up for Examination at: 11. O.C. am. May 11" 1888-" 11. O.C. a. In Sufuel arily in Court Portio redy to proceed with the examination - &S. Mucial, antico Depuesas withings - John S. maga Sum as intephin, -Court with alecifo- until 1. O.C. P. M. His day " 1. O.C. P. M. Sufredout in Court - Parties very to fee seed autine Dufin on the withing Stand - gee alyanous 2. 2. Buttell. J. J. White: + J. S. Sargant - on to Stend after which the free order cloud-Defineant Counciel here mend the Court to dis cheeye the definedent repen the ground that The evidence fere duced by the ference cuti is montplearto hold the defineants to aurue to the Dance Melin organd and Submittee The Court ofthe having fully Connelwed The Same Sustance Sein Melin and ordered that Daid Suprelent be dis chaque Still got

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Bune, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

1. B. Boly

Plaintiff

18.

Folia Boe. Hans and

E. Refin

Defendant.....

Action account

Demand \$31. Jan

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. /474		PROCEEDINGS.			
april	311.	Complaint file Summer Spice & zin Pluitt, Council-			
	11	Officerit for attachment mach and file trucketathin on			
June		Summer return Showing fureval Since and Elforant			
		legisla with a copy of the complaint- on file him on			
		the 2° day of may - and filed from 4" 1948-			
	11	In this achin the defencement having been regularly Direct with			
		Haciliff Complaint on file line acce the him allowed			
		by law for anning heaving experied the default of the			
		In this achin the defendant having been regularly Device with			
	//	proces and having failed to appear and answer the Hemitty			
		Confilant on file levi and the legal time for consuming			
		in the feeming hem duly entire account to law			
	a	here at this day on afflication of the Plantiff It is ardered			
		of and from the defendant a judgment for the			
		Some of \$3100 Such for and the Sum of \$1430			
		Cests-successary expension in the frase outin of this action - on lawful many of the houter dates -			
	//	Gredgment fu ter funcipal Dun for - 31,00			
	0	Gesties fres 8.50			
	cı	Gold amount of fredyment of \$45,30			
		Solat amount of fredy ment of \$45.30 Thea Execution and gam Mft-Comme - This come frank in fall and do impact 8/45.30			
gum.	12.	The come frank in fall and dis mopie			
		distilled.			

In the Justice's Court of 3? Township,

COUNTY OF June ... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Oth People of California Plaintiff... John Soe Reus & Mak An M. Small Defendant.

Action buzzancy

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

Opil 311, Smplaint-file harrant-ifme & you Court. C. P. Walton

In the Justice's Court of Township,

	COUNTY OF June	Action Breeze	
She People vs. Herman New		Demand \$	
DATE. /548	Defendant	PROCEEDINGS.	Attorney for Plaintiff
DATE. ////	2	r koceepings.	

My Profesione filed hand of the Charge against him and W. of his rights in the framing - Separant left his restale as Bound for his appearance for examination - Comme dis surface.

3.

Township,

COUNTY OF June - , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff

2 Sogian

Defendant

Action account

Demand \$43.45/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Elsele

Attorney for Defendant

DATE./888 PROCEEDINGS. 3. Januflaint filed Summers ofmed & grie Cour I. I. halle. officiarie for attachment made ofiled tradutating on attachment Splund & filed Wit of attestiment frem you (aux. J. L. halhe. Be Summing returned Showing Junional Dine and deficulant by the with a Copy of the Conflaint on file how and 3 day of May 1888 - A files, Wist of allochment because the returns one files -Definicant-files his awner to the Plentiff emplant on fle time This coun bee- furtice at 111. Oc. a. M. May the 23: 18891 23. This Cour Centimed intefinitely in Consequence of the above of beforecents allery 2. This coun But for time at 9. Ce. a. In from 4" 1884-4. 11. O.C. a. In_ Partis in Court and Day they are drug phil Hi Juy. Brew and examine in his am behalf June Outer Olund - It Deogram. Sum and examinat to his our behalf defrui Claid Carne agreed Submitter; The Coul after hunning the evidence and hairing fully Courselend the Same Therefor by reason of the lever and the ordina and The ferencies afundance it is areland and adjudged that the Hamilf de lean and recent and four the Said offeredent the Dam of 36 45/100 The ancent allined by the Court - and the Sum in cured in the fever cuch of they asker in lassful many of the hucher State. for the account affered by the Court 36.45 " This Cown Pinie in full and alis supred

In the Justice's Court of Township, 3

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Hi Lay Plaintiff. Oh Bury

Defendant

Action Recount

Demand \$52 7/102

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorneyfor Defendant.

DATE. 1888 May 2. Jampland file Summy Some Agin Court: I. I. Walher. " Toffedarit for attachment made tiled trudelathing on attachmentaffected of the of attachment of med & gin Court, I. I. halle 3. Summary Relement Showing Justinal Berrie an the Sofundant-Together with a copy of the Complaint on file herin on 3-day of May 1888. Afiles - Will of attendent Execute returne & filed 5. This Cerum dismiped by and of Alf- f. fur pari hun \$43. Touse findent by Separated in alfun of Beid achir -Page 174. in lawful money of the milet State -Judyment for the Justies forany. 29 This Come Intlea in full and dismission as fee Steliment on file in the paper of this coolin in this SH. Hill & R Till Satisfaction of the above feed sement is The day acknowledged any 28th 1888 Master Whook aug 28th 1888 for Strong

COUNTY OF GRANIA., STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

A ABuell & a-Defendant.

Action Recount

Demand \$ 299 /100

Supple & Inspire Attorney for Plaintiff Mustin & Shurt

Attorney for Defendant. DATE./688 PROCEEDINGS. 4" / suflaint-file Dummer of seed of gire Court- C. P. Welli. Summer bretund Showing furnal Sunce and represent logethe with a copy of the Camplaint on file him and to dey of my 1988 ame files 14. Deplecent-file his demune to the Plantf Emplacie on fle him. 2 June agree ac. 9. a. a. M. June 5th 1888. 5. Comme Submittee and animed " how Cours the defendant by his allering Whathe & Sheet and for anower deinies lach and mulinul allegation in the Harriff Empland Cantained 6 Sepurant fils his answer to Plaintiff Complaint any 4. This Cenum Bit- fer trial at-11. a.m. Buy 27a 1888-17. This Cour cultured mulie 1. O.C. P.M. any 27", 1888 -Infendent domand hial of Jun remie officed -Parties mady furtial funds Sment anome questions no to qualification after which the follows Junos new Sum to try the Cause Touis mank Cheek, Ils. Lowenbuy a. E. Sout- Gerre. Walden-a. S. Wilking, M. S. Tholater. M. S. Shineshun J. h. Mistonala G. h. Wisks L.E. halke- R. Vecal. & hu heed - P. a. Berell, Swem and examined as anitrul in his own behalf Plentiff Cloud_ haterhunth P. Habe truen and examine as withouts for the obfuer - Espire Cland P. a. Buell- re called by the Plantiff. Waterless and Habe recalled fithe defener - Course Clama argued and Submitted - to the Jung furthing deliberation and be chet - after a short deliberation the Jung were refund wite our with the filling busice - he the guy find for the defendant , & E. Walker frame Whenfu by rearm of the leve and the funies ofresine it is ardered and adjudged that the Sepudant de have rech

re com of and from the bfundant the Dun of tax 173)

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Action account Demand \$5 2/100 Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. 4 Jamplaint files Summer of such 4 you Court - C. S. Walten 7. Summer relative bleamy furnial binia on the Sefundament trapha with a copy of the complaint on file how on the field of Many 1488. and files.—
12. Separate files his aurum to the Plentiff Complaint

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Police Sarang

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE./888

PROCEEDINGS.

My. 5th Camplaint and afficient-file Search Warrant-June and given Esp Cantoth Withthim -Come dis surpre-

Defendant.

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

She Peofle of California Plaintiff... A. B. Webber Demand \$ Defendant

Action Vistarbing the Ocean

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1488 PROCEEDINGS. Wy Grufflaint-filed Warrant-ifuel Agrica Court-C. P. Walton-With Supercumbin Court and informed of the Charge against fine and of his right- in the formises Sufrendent-pleady guilly-area reasons the legal time for Souther a.

Sofundant-allende to go to his north-S.H. Hill & P.

(3)

Township,

COUNTY OF June

, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She People of California
Plaintiff

Use Progres

Defendant

Action bageiney

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./888

PROCEEDINGS.

May 1 Pour laint files france if me & gin & for fort - f. & Malhon 25. Superior file and in former of the Charge agains being some of the charge super for the grand of the charge and for the former of the surface of fail - for the appear and for hind and 11. Oc. a. M. May 12 1888 - 12, 11. O. C. a. M. Inthesed and second the defend and hopeand and hopeand in his immediate freezence and therefore the money defeated brail; the Benn of file? by Daire Great in the money defeated brail; the Benn of file? by Daire Greatened is the content of the Court of the Court of the Court of the African appear of the Court of the defeated to be dis Charged - Come examined and despendent dis Obeyed - St. Hills & M.

COUNTY OF Burne, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Action Integral

Plaintiff Demand \$2.5-5 a

Strong of Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS May & Jonfland-filed Shrunny ifmed & given Court. C. P. Wallow " 23. Summy returned and filed Shening Jurane Sunie on the Seprecent begitte with a copy of the Dupleme on file live on the 220 day of May 1888-31. The Cong the definerant in freper feeren and for anome denn cuch and cong collegation in the Plant Complant. Jany 11 Trial ofaction fixed for meanes day the 16-day of January 1889 10 velverl, a.m. and morrie given to hlff tack. 10 2 parties appear in worth (WD Grady ally for outh) and by tonsent cause is continued to dely/3"/889 10 ochock a me; Subhuna issued for the cufuceant in his behalf. Feb 15 Parties Their acty appear. Nitnesses. M. Johnson, J. G. Tuckle, M. Johnson, R. H. Maze, mah Heacock, In Minter sworn on Examined on behalf a fleft Wilnesses Mr. Eford, M. Eford Oin Sullon . The Elder of J. & Donell snoon on Examined on hehalf of Left of cause submitted without argument whereupon judgment is rendered in favor of the Deft Min Eford in against the Plf Hanry Johnson for cook in his action Justice costs \$10.10. Successor 25 Sto, Haile J.P. Withuss fees. \$27.20) witnesses helisa Eford \$6,80 - anonon Sulton =7,60 2 monin Eau = 2,60 7 & Domill 3,20 March 18 notice of appeal filed april 23ª Gear hor issued to J D Morgan lous tarle JA Bortler de 14) May 7 Execution returned of filed same being satisfied in full of casts faid.

14 Red 127 2 in full for witness fus. VV . F. E forth

Township,

COUNTY OF

... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

All People of California Plaintiff

Vs.

Showing Servis Defendant.

Action Sistenting the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE/888 PROCEEDINGS. May. & Camplaint filed harrant ifmed & gin Soft. Pat. I & halling " If. Sofuelend in Court and informed of the Change against him
and of his right in the premion Sofulane pleader goingand reams the legal him for South " Enfracent allowed to go how hing Some Everdralk

(3)

Township,

COUNTY OF

, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Buylay

Demand \$

9. E Colswell
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. May 9. Complaint-filed Warrand- pued Ozinen Court; C.P. Wallen. 1 12. Sofuelant in Com- and inflormed of the Charge against him and of his right in the framing Copulant Committee In the Custing of the Shuff to anew his excurrentin -14. This Com But - for Examenti my 17th 1888-st-11. oc. a. The 17. 11. O.c. a. M. Sofredand in Court and Duy he is mady for hial - The Come appointed & G. Edners Short hemel Repular for the Cours - Themes Tysel, J.M. Hemilton Ed Jung Chas. Burn. B. J. Parker W. H. Lester he Inwich Drown and examine as withing for to Presidentinafter which the People Clause Vefruscout named the interestration of any testing - Cour Submitted The Court after heaving fully considered this whole mather and it appearing to me that the Affirme of buglary has been Committed - and that there is Duffer coul - Counte bolien the abou numed objectant Souther mobil quell- theof feeder that he be ledel to anoma to the sum and committee to the Shriff of the County of Jums - and that the be admitted to bail in the Sum of Sure hundred and fift dellay and that be he committed to The Sleniff of the court of Green mule to give Such bail.

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Souls & Hagan Plaintiff 9 (1) (6) Harris Defendant.

Action account

Demand \$/26 00

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

Township,

DATE./888 PROCEEDINGS May 9. Parefland-filed Summer of guent & gin Can C. P. Wellow Official for attachment made to filed trudectalling on allachment Effect of the Writ of allachment freed & gen Court. C.P. Walton 15. Summer reterned Steering Juranal Derinata Copulare logether with a Copy of the Confilend on file him on to 14" day of May 1889 in the County of Julie Hate of California Weil-of attachment force Exessite and returned & files 6. En this achin the defendant having been rightly Denne with froces and having finder to appear and arena the Hantill Emplaint on file herein acce the hime allemed by law for accounty liang expense. The default of the Daie defudentin the feemin is herely dely culier according to lan En this action the defendant - having been regularly bruse with Tericof and herry faiched to affect and nonce the Plaintiffs Complaine herein and the legal time for answering herry expend and the default-of the Said defendantthe fuening is thereby aluly entired according to tem Jun at this day on appecular of the flemtill it - 5 Ordered theel fredy ment be entired turin again the The David definedent - in accordance with the prague of Daid Plantiff Complaint on file the Merefu ly reason of the law and the Jumins opensaid it is areline and adjudged that The and to com of and four the Daid offendant the Sum of Our hundred and hung Di's dellay - the amount Such for and the Som of Don and ofer ables Cat necessary incurred in the ferm center of this ach in lauful many of the tribel State Judgment for the Jurinechal Buch for " " feesters - fres -8,50 " Dotal amount of Budgment

Township, (3°. COUNTY OF Justice, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Dingleton He-Plaintiff 5

Defendant

Action Occum-

Demand \$7.6 75/100

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Buc Caril— Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

may. 10th amplain- filed Summer of purt Agin Court C. P. Maller Officiario for attrolument made of files mudertating on affectment Efebrand and filed Wil- of attrational office Come. C.S. halle " Dummens returned theming furnial Denice on the defend together with a Copy of the Camplant on the hum one the 10t day of may 1888 - and files Wit of allochunt Ele outer when when and file 14. how comy the defresent by his alling Buce Court accel for anome denne each and eny material allegation in the Plentiff Complaint Contained " This Com Int for hise at 111-00. a. M. May 18" 1888 18. 11. O.C. a. M. Parties in Court, and ready for heial Parties main final by Jun- (. M. Pale C. h. Betts. John Singletin & Poure and This Cinton - Sum and Famines as witnesses for the Maintiff - Prorecution Closed derect. Sefudent Coursel Mond the Court to dirmit this weter when the ground that Plaintiffs have entirely finded to make out apreper Caun of a che - The court took the Denne under advisment to gette with other objections raised by Converl in this action and my jested the purpose of me living the terhoung fulle defree Jacob Syon how and Examined in his am belock From Called in rebuth by the Alaintiff Course Clause and butenthes. The court took the same much acting must-21. 111. O.C. a. M. The Cent harring fully Considered this whole master " " Wherefore by reason of the law and the ferenises afresied it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffedo her and recome fraid from the Send defendant - The Sum of \$189/1 amount Dread for and the Sum of \$25-55 Bb necessarily incerned in the force cuchen of this action in laceful money of the timbed Istate-" Jeredy neut furth puiceful Sued for-78.95-" Grestion fres-12.,25-" Constably " 8.911-Sce, Page 184-

COUNTY OF Summer STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Demand \$1/69/100

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. 1888 14. Janhlaint- file Summers ofmal ogice Cant. C. P. Walter afficient for attackment made office Andutalling an attackment 4. Enmun returned Shaning Jurenal Beric are the defection begether with a Copy of the Complaint on fell live on the 12" day of May 1888. and filed Wit- of attachment Execution Vetermed and filed. Com The defendent by his alling B. Court - and for annu dening each and my allegation in the Amily amplant cretume 21. This com disniful by and of Blantiff of the fair Page. 183. Thistip for our one day one mile 2.20 Fital amend of Judgment - S! 2.20 22. Planilf file his an- Bill. \$25-25 Enfracement allowed a stay of forceding of frie days from The day of Judge 25: Defind and files his notice and Installing on appeal My. 26 Planitiff file this excelin h Safredent- turnstathing -31. Partis in Court. Bud nerufficient - allered to file an

In the Justice's Court of Government,

Burne, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Bretenann and
Shor. H. BretenPlaintiff's
vs.

Onen Holms & M. Sablon

Defendant S.

Action Oblization to Juga

Demand \$50 ce/in

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant.

DATE. 1888	PROCEEDINGS.
May 111.	Summer returned Shenry Jurence Denice on the Defendents.
	day of may 1888, and filed -
14	Some admit their indettedruf to Plentiff and hung
	Then and inform of the Plantiff for the Dum of \$50.
	Therefor by narm of the law and the firmin operaid
	recome of and from the Said definitions the Sunof
	Eight- out "for dolley Cash ne carrait in course
(1)	1 + 1 - 214
	gasties fres 500
6	Solat annu- of freday ment & \$58,60.
Ju 1,	Gudyment - for the Princepal Durch for 50,00 " Gasties from 3,60 Solat amount of fredy ment & \$58,60. This can nother in full - Stotters

Township,

COUNTY OF GROWN STATE OF CALIFORNIA. She People of Colifornice Plaintiff

1. Efolione Defendant.

Action Vis hurby the Beach

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

My. 11. Complaint- film Warrant from Agni Court. I.S. hallis.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jehn Braku, S.S. Cole, Boh Sims

Jehn Lair & Ed. McGullock

Defendant S.

Action Lagrana & Stevenson Stevenson

Attorney for Defendant

May 11. Confilaint film Manual Speed & grin Court: C. P. Hallon
W. and of their rights in the premiers Spindants plead guilty
and main the logal time for Sentence.

Sofundants allowed to at one lease the terms.

St. Wille. J. S.

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

3.

Township,

Plaintiff 3.

Vs.

Defendant....

Demand \$/25 Jac

Suffer & Buffer

Attorney 5 for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant...

May. 11. Complaint file & Munner of multy for Court. I. Malho.

12. Summer Returned Shuning formal Somia enter of pulart highla with a Copy of the Complaint on file hum on the 12th day of May 1888 and filed.

16. Then Comes the defendant in proper prome and for comme divinis each and liny malined allasto in the Maintiff Complaine Contained.

In the Justice's Court of Government,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. She People of California Plaintiff... John Soe Blath Defendant

Action Emboy gliment

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS

May. 13. Complaint-filed harrant- france of Court-C. P. Walter

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

The People of California Plaintiff Ju. L. Blath

Defendant.

Action Grace Screeny

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant

DATE. 1888 N

PROCEEDINGS.

May 13. Confilaint filed Warrant Spen Agui Stauff Made.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Bally She People of Calefornia Plaintiff.... Fram Show Demand \$ Defendant.

Attorney for Plaintiff. Attorney for Defendant.

May 14. Canplaint filed Warrant Jenes & gri Court Col halfur

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF June. STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Thetree Goldrein & C.

Plaintiff S.

O. M. Mary Defendant

Action hele of herece

Demand \$2638/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

		Defenuant	Attorneyfor Defendant
DATE./778		PROCEEDINGS.	
Muz	19.	Emplanit- file Summer June ogin Con Summer Vetuna Steaming Jurenal Sinica	and Sofudant
		19th day of May 1988. and filed -	file lain ande
q	25.	ku this action the defendent having been right	
		Enefelaint on file herein and the home affined heaving experient the default of the Duriet of freezeway to fremis or is leady duly entered according to	Aften fu curuning epudemt-riette
		fully action the defendent-huning been regul forces and having failed to appear and as	com the Plaintiff
	(Confelaint on file havin and the legal time of having expired and the defende of the &	Recel defruderit in
		The freming having been about accer	
		that quelyment be entired herein again	of the Said
		Plaintiff Complaint on file hein	
		Therefore by reason of the law and the for	tiff do have
		Brench him and " from dellay Principal as	ed wheel med
		core and the down of Six and 5% we della	hier in gala
	"	Judyment for the Principal and inture Duce	fu- 29,94.
	v	a " " Constable "	2,00
	п	Istal amount of fredyment- State amount of fredyment-	8.36,44
Jun	9.	1 tune Plundiff abstract of fredymus.	2

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

Plaintiff S.

I b annyeur Defendant

Action Recount

Demand \$2.7. 5-//00

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

Defenaant		Defenaunt In Defenaunt	
DATE. 1888		8	PROCEEDINGS.
	May	14.	Summer file Summer June & zien Court C. P. Walter. Summer returned Shening Junean Bernier on the Sepudant
			Together sith a Copy of the Complaint-on file him on the
	'(23	for this action the defendant, having been regularly send with
			answing having expense. The deficult of the Dance definant
		//	In the ferencin is hours duly entre according to lan-
			General and having failed to of pear and ausunte Hamily
			Jereman having been duly autin according to the
		1	The at this day on application of the Maintiff, It is credent
			in accordance with the Juney of Sever Plaintiffs Complaint on file living
		"	Therefore by reason of the law and the premis aprevail
			of Buent- Down and 5/10 dollar-the amount Dung for
			in Cured in the prosecution of this action in lawful
		a	Judy ment for the principal break for, 27.5%.
			a constably a 200
			Ortal amount of greety man. 834,17
	Cler	9:	Hannial Planish abstract of freely ment Execution This day issued and delined to A.C. Trupper. Execution This day returned Ratisfied in fael, blant Min Lang.
		17	Efection This day returned Ratisfied in feel,
		-	

Township,

COUNTY OF Bune, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mutua Islathin & Ce-Plaintiff's

Defendant....

Action account

Demand \$5-4. 3/200

Attorney for Plaintiff...

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 my. 14. Januplant-filed Summers officed & gin Court C. S. holler 310 Dunman fretund Showing purmal Surie anthe defendam together with a Copy of the Complaine on file him and 300 dey of June 1888, and files July. 6. In this action the defendant having been regularly server with fere cef and henry fulled to appear and answer to Plaintiffs (antilaint on file herein and the time allower by law fre awnering having Efued the default of the Daid defindent in the fremins is hour duly subred according to ten -In this action the defendant having been regularly Server with froces and having failed to appear and more The Plaintiff Conflaint on fil him and the legal him fur anevering hering Attend and the default of the Said defendent - in the Jeremin hearing been duly enter according to term-, how at this day on application of the Hamily it is around that gredgment be entred heim in accuse ance with the freeze of Said Plent Camplaint-on fil him -Munifor by reason of the law and the fermiss ofward it is added and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do hear and wearn of and from the Daid offresternt the Sum of Fifty form and 3/100 dellar, the amont such for and the bun of six and free-dellas cast necessing in Qued in the free cutin of this ach in lought may of the the D-Gredyment for the Principal Such for - 5-4.30 " Courtable " m. 11. Ian Hantif abolive of fregume-

Township,

COUNTY OF Trusse STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Butnu Goldvlein & Ce-Plaintiff 3 Defendant.

Action Recount

Demand \$44. 25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE/878

PROCEEDINGS.

My. 14. Complaint-filed Summers offer tyin Court C. P. Walker 15. Summy / relieved Strong furewal Derice and Sepular Totale with a capy of the Complaint on file hum on the 15th day of may 1888. and filed ______ 21. This cam frain in full and dis suffer _______ SAMMEL GA

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

She People of California Jacob Heffner Defendant

Action Streets

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. / 687 My 15: Complaint- filed Warrent- June & grien Court. C. P. Wallow " Definitional in faut and informed of the Charge against hime and of his right in the ferencies defendant held for his Examination in a Bound of \$500 " (any Del- fu hial al- 111. O. P. Tu. May 16" 1888-Spread Sulpeaner for the People and Sepurdant 16. 1. O.C. a. M. non cons the fresh injured and actimentaly that her hear never Salupaction for the inquesty dense him - and fraid this case of this archi-Seprescoul dis Olayant St. Hill go No. 194

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action Grand Sacay OShe People of Calefornia Plaintiff Ish J. I solfine Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff Defendant Attorney for Defendant. DATE. 1868 PROCEEDINGS.

My 15. Complaint-filed Warrant-offered Dyin Court. C.P. Marken

Township, COUNTY OF James, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Blu People of California Plaintiff. Buren, Got high & home fens Defendant 3. Action Carson Co

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Desendant

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

May: 15. Complaint filed harrant-fund of gine Court-C. O. Halter.

Will Lefundant, in Court-and informed of the Charge against them and of their right-in the Premiers Experients place of mily and when the legal time for mothers.

" Expensions - allowed to at once leave the him.
Stylbell Jos.

CICE & GOUPT OF 3.

COUNTY OF JULIAN STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Burns & Scarle

Plaintiff

Demand \$/53.85/12

Burns & Sullingur

Defendant 3.

Defendant 3.

DATE. / 8 8 8 PROCEEDINGS. 15: Campelaint-filed Summer of great tyin Court C.S. hallow " Summer rotund their Justice anthe Sufred and Sullinger togethe with a copy of the Empland on file her on the 15th day of may 1888 - and files -21. Separate fily his answer to The Plaintff Emplaine- him files 14. Lospantin " This Comen But for hial at 2, toe. A.M. from 16° 1988 -16. 16. Cam Continue mobil 9. O.C. a. M. Jun 184. 1888 18. 9. O.C. a In. Pustion in Court-and mady for hiel-Ge. S. Senel H. H. Welsh Sum and examine as a withings for the Proxecultin - Caren Submitted and Lather much celisment by the Count-211, 2. O.C. P. In The court heaving fully Couridness the whole mostle - Whenfur by meering the leve and to premises afurence and by count of the defindent by his allung - Priver (ant: it is ordered and adjudged that the Hambiff, do her and recent of and from the Said defrident- Bullinger de Dum of One trumenes and hity there and 45/100 dellay the Philopal with light intense othern and the further Sum of Sum dellus-Costs me cerrain vicamed in the puraculi of this action in length many of the trules states -Jusquent for the Principal and legal whist. 163.45 " Justies 5,00 " I dat amount of Endyment & 1. There " Enfruedant allowed a Steer of Juncceedings for fifteen daysCOUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of 32. Township,

Hu Deoph of California Plaintiff. John Doe-Defendant.

Action General Lacour

Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS.

May. 14. Camplaint film Harrant- Spend ogin. Court-C. Thallie

Township,

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Au Profil of California Plaintiff John McCawly Defendant.

Action Sistending the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. (888)

PROCEEDINGS.

My 16. Complaint filed harant James ofgin Court. C. P. hallow

In the Justice's Court of Government,

COUNTY OF Sum , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Second

Demand \$\frac{2}{2} \tag{Station} \text{Demand \$\frac{2}{2}} \tag{Station} \text{Attorney for Plaintiff} \text{Defendant} \text{Defendant} \text{Defendant} \text{Attorney for Defendant} \text{A

DATE. 1888 May He Camplanit- filed Ammin if med Agin Cove- C. P. Walter. 17. Summer helmed thering fearant Derice on the Sefredantbogoth with a Copy file Camplaint- him place on the 14 day of May 1888. and filed 22. En dui action the defendant having been regularly Somia with fixe eff and having files to affect and aurun the Hemity Complaint here file and the time allowed by law for auruning him Effect the default of the Back defendant in the fearing is thenf duly entire according to them " In this action the defendent francy been regularly some with ofre coff and having fuiled to appear and care the Planitiff Emplaint on file heir and du hour allen by law for awaring having express and the default of the Said defendent in the ferencing been lu duly entire according to the - The at this day on application of the Heintiff It is account that Judy need be ented time against the Daied definant in accordance with the fugue of Said Hamilf Complaint on file Kein Aleufen by rearen of the law and the presum a fenerand it is andoned and adjudged that to Plaintff do have and recome of and from the Said defendant the Son of Bulader dolley the amount- Sueal for and the sun of Dix and 5/100 - of alley the Cert- measure in Come in the fure onlin of this action - in of the Rucha States -Judgment - for the amount buck for -" " feerton fre-8.14.1 Liee &

Township,

COUNTY OF Brisin, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She Deeph of Cali

Action Brand Sar cur

Demand \$

JE Center Attorney for Plaintiff Gitte Death.

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE./887 PROCEEDINGS. May. 16. Complaine file travant free Agui Shiff Meach-21. Lafundant in Court-and informed of the Change again him and of his right- in the framies Sofulant Emmitted to the Sheiff of the County of Burne kanciel his Examination. 23. Epnal Bulpunc for the Peopl Figur Story -25. 9. O.C. a. M. Sofmecent- in Court and Days he 5 ready. fer trial - - C. Van Valu J. M. Brum, A. L. Rapign -J. J. White - Sum and examined as witings for de Beefele- Sofrecant waine the examinestin of any witness-Caren Cleve and Submittee. The Cour after hair fully Considered the Summe and it offering to me that offen of Grand Forcery has been Emmitted. and There is Buffy cive Come to believe the about newed The Seligman quilly- hend fear head he be Tedd to answe to the Same and Committee to the Sheriff of the County of mun- and that he be admitted tail in the Dum of Fifteen hundred dellays and theat hebecommetter to the shiff of the County of Som Mulel the gui Buch buil-SHALLE GM

Township,

COUNTY OF Runce , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant

Action baziency

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant.

May, 16. Complaint-filed hanam-fund you Court- Walter -

Township,

COUNTY OF GIVE. STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action December

Plaintiff 3

Demand \$\frac{175}{2\frac{1}{265}}\$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Date. (1988)

Proceedings.

May. 17. Complaint filed Summers of the Agent Court. C. P. hatten-24. This count disneful of one of the Henriff Stitle g.o. COUNTY OF GROWN , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

3.

Township,

She People of Colifornia

Den

Ge. Bush & John Hays—

Action Fisherbuy the Prace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant...

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

May 18th Complaint file harment found spin Court IS Walter.

We softwards in Court and informed of the Chare against
them area of their right in the frames SuperantCommittee to the Shirth of the County of Burne to main
their Learnenchin—

19. This Court But for Evacuation at 11.00. Q. Mr. May 194.1889
Threed Dudgmena for the Proph and game Court

Court dismipul for hand of from cooks—

Stilled J. M.

Defendant S.

3.

Township,

COUNTY OF Action of California.

Solve Deoffe of California Plaintiff

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Date. 1888

Date 1888

Attorney for Defendant

Date. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

May 18. Couplaint filed have at speed soin Court It Walter
" Sopredent in Court brul informed of the Change against From

and of their right, in the frames Separate and

method to the Shriff of the Court of James to avail

Their excurrence of the present a ground, that

the presenting with is about and he whealth

is unthum to this court as its officers.

Stilling got

In the Justice's Court of Brownship,

COUNTY OF GUILLE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Brundlow
Plaintiff.....
Plaintiff.....

Defendant

Action Isthe of heave

Demand \$6" // ca

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

May. 14. Camplaint filed -

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF June ... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Iste of hemse

Demand \$ 64. 9%

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant.

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

My. 18 Complaint file

(30,

Township,

COUNTY OF

, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She People of California
Plaintiff

Vs.

Defendant

Action Bushay

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Aug Melst
Attorney 5 for Defendant

endant......

DATE. /488 PROCEEDINGS. May 19. Complaint-files transmer ifmed you Covie. 3. 3. Bestlette-" Sofredant in Court and informed of the Charge against line and of his right in the ferences Infendance held for his Examination in the Dum of \$5000 Bound append and filed-29. His Cen But - for Examination at 9. O. a. M. May 29, 1888 -I fred Subjune for the People " 9. O.e. a. M. Sopulant-in Court- and read full unimation Jeans Rusmusmu. J. N. (andly-6. R. Smith Some and 24 annual as withouter for the Pronocher after which the Veefel Cloud - (" Mc Conald Sum and examind as a willing in this our behalfs Caure Cloud regued and Submitted - The Court after having hear the emoline and having fully courselies the Dame and It appearing to me Heat the officer of Burgley He the night him has been Committed on a about The It aly of Greenth 1884 and that there is sufficient Ceren to believe the abou named C.M. Sonala guillythereof Rorder that he be liebe to ause with down area Committed to the Sheef of the County of mes- and Heat he be admitted to bail in the Sung Juie (\$ 500") hundel dolly and that he is annuther Jon bull base -H. Hill & O?

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

She People of California Plaintiff. P. M. Smald Defendant

Action Plucesh-

Demand \$

Jeach & Holshe

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE/898 PROCEEDINGS. My A. Panplaint file Harrant four Agin Court Jr. J. Buttet and of his right in the brun of for Brue affect the for Jun 11. This come alis improved for name of fundame -SH. Hill J. S.

COUNTY OF Summe, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Ble Deoph of Californial
Plaintiff

18. South & Green House

Defendant 3.

Action Bliffey

Demand \$.

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant...

DATE. 1888 May 19. Complaint-filed Warrant ifmed & goin Eun- C. P. Walter. 21, Defundant in Court and informed of the Cherry against him and of his right in the fremen beforeast Committee to the Shereff of the County of much anail Their Examination This Course Set for Examination at 3. O.c. P.M. May 21th 1888-" 3. O.C. P. M. Sopudant in Coul and infind of the Chay again-There defredent ready for hiel - er Gammatin -IS hallen fathe Waller C. h. mare - John Smith John Muchen and E. E. Michell Bum and examined as intropy for the People Frenchin Cloud Ge. buttle and mant Huy - Sum and made a Hatement vie These our belialf Sopre Cleve Tuen Bulmitted and Toller much actis ment-29" 1. O.C. P.M. Sofredanto in Court The Court hung fully Convidence this while matter. There being no Bufficient ceun to be lien the about named Ge. Justle, guilly of The offern about mentioned I Order him tobe dis obaged. and It affearing to me that the office of Burglay in the night him. on a about the the day of may 1888 Mus been Committee and that there is sufficient Course to believe the above named mente Hugo grilly- theney- Laceder thattale held to aurun with Some and Committed to the Shrift of the Court of Frem and had he be admitted to buil in the Someth \$500 and that he be committee to the Shriff of the Comes of Burn and he gin such buil

COUNTY OF Busice, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Grand Sarcy

She People of California
Plaintiff

Vs.

Mederala

Defendant...

Action Level Sacry

Demand \$

G. E. Claule
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Township,

My 19. Complaint-files hamant-ipmen & gin Court C.P. Halter and in Court and inform of the There agains him and of his right in the famis or Capracient Emmittachthe Street of the Court of hum to anait his examination. June 15th 1889. At Mas. a. M. - If the Surface and defination of the Series and defination.

16. 10. 0. C. a. M. Separation in Sure have Comes the Sister and String and Many the Court to do; suffer this came is insufficient to Couries the Africance on the Sange of grand Sure Sure, Mark granter the Africance on the Sange of grand Sure Sure, Mark granter Strike Sure Sure Sure.

In the Justice's Court of ______ Township,

COUNTY OF Zun, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Blu People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Demand \$

Action Institute

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. /488 PROCEEDINGS. may 21th Camplaint filed & Manent fred & you Steeriff, Q. J. Freach W. " Sefundant in Com- and informed of the Charge against hime and of his right in the framism - Expression Committed to the Shiff of the County of Frank await his examination (aun out for Examination at 10. O.C. a. M. May 24! 1808. If med Subpense for the People. 23. By anout this Caren will be examine at . 50, C. M. May 13? 1884. " to O.C. P.M. Defendant in and and Days he is ready for his Examination, the Court appointed & M. Rosh Blust hand reperter for this Course Mulio Hundown Ale. Gumburen Sum and Gamines as withings for the People after which the prosecution closed, Oscar a. Brane Sour and examined in his onn behalf Caun Clared and Submitter The Court having fully Counted the entine in this offer - Orders that the definant be discharged there being no sufficient Caron to believe the above named definitant Q. a. Brenn quilly of the offener Changed against him. S.H. Hill g.n_

COUNTY OF ALIEN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

The Orefile of Calefornia Plaintiff. Ive Blinis Defendant.

Action Buglery

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE./888

PROCEEDINGS

May 21% amplaint filed I arrant fred Agrien Cond C.P. Molton 22 Sofredand his and and infund of the Change of mistathers deleutely mestein as to puren & S. Hille & M.

COUNTY OF Sum, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Bhe People of Californial
Plaintiff

18.

John Love & Richard Rose

1. H. Stuart. J. Shuarha

Defendant S.

Action Petis Sarcery

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

Township,

Muy. 21. Jamplaint-file Warrant-June tyin Court-C. V. hallis Wil Beforedants it court and informed of the Cherry against them and of their rights in the Jeremies - Expressed Thead notquilly as Charge - Superedant hild futical in a Bud of fifty dellar each - Bonds append and filed This cenin but furtial at 111. O.C. a. M. June 22 1888 -28. Sofredant 5 dinigned heal by Juy remin offered _ and Subpound fruit for the People-2. 111. O.C. a. M. Sepudant - in Com - homein and Subjune retired and filed - Sofument ready for hial - Jung Swom to hig the Cenu- Wholy breen and examine fee and En-Caun as greet and Butmittee to the pury for their deliberation and maken - The fung after a Homerelevent - fruit that the definitional's an mit guelly -Expressed dis Chaque Sittitle gen

(30

Township,

SHALLE JE.O.

COUNTY OF Annual STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Cecumic

Plaintiff

Demand \$21.7/ca

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Date. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

May 200 Complaint file bommens fred & zin Count hallon
Browning robused blowing ferenced being on the Episant

bogisher with a Copy of the Complaint herein on the 21th.

lay of May 1988 and filed

26, Separam files his demand to the Plant Complaint him

June 2, Lemma And for any more from \$1888, 9. O. O. Mr.

5. Sommen Submitted and onwelled

Mis Come Sub fuction at 2. O. C. Mr. June 79, 1984
7. Mrs. Come mother in full and dis mafree

Defendant

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Junt E. Dallich Ja Plaintiff..... CE Brooks Action Inte of hance

Demand \$250 Jun

Attorney for Plaintiff

Mush & Sheet

Township,

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. 1444 PROCEEDINGS. My. 21? Camplaint-file Dummer ofmulagin Com- C. P. Wallew. " affeddent fu attadment much offiled Industalling on attach mans appeared and filed. Wil-of attachment fred of your Manutiff 23. Summy returned Strong Jurual Serie on the definations bogshu with a Cefty of the Camplain on file him on the 22? day of Muy 1848 and filed " Wil of assochmed Executed relund and filed 28 Defruiteent fils his demme to the Hantiff complaint my 4 ofma alker attachemen " aMuchum Eccentric returne com filed -July 7" 11.08. a. M. Partis in Come demme Submiller and ourseled Softwoland allowed fifteen day in which to answer 21th It. O.C. R. M. Sofrandant-fer aneren demis last and eny allegation in the Planith Complainer Continued. 9" Partir in Coult by their alterny E. S. Edward & Fitt. Shut and agree that Plaintff may talk judgment for the amountof Punciful and Est-against Sufreduct and that Referred - han hin days Stay of proceedings -" Therefore by never of the law and the premises operand it is ordered and adjudged that the Hamiliff do hear and be com of and from the said offerdent- aging ment for the Duen of Two hundred and hif there and 3/100dollar the Principal and whent buck outto Dung \$51.70 Cest necessarily in cured in the proseculion of his I achien in Gold Come of the United States -Judgment for the Principal and interest them 263, 33. " " fustices fue-15.00 Copineant alline low days stay of Proceedings

No. 220

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF THESE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.

Action Damages hop

Demand \$299 of

18. Grang Alberta

Attorneyfor Defendant.

DATE/888

PROCEEDINGS.

May 22 Complaint-filed Summery ofmen of Plentff attemp

In the Justice's Court of Township, COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Menus Blu People of California Plaintiff. Demand \$ & E (ampobillo Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff 6 M. Sichi Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. 22. Camplaint filed hanant- Jessen & you Coust-C. P. Walten. " W. V. Sifuedent in End by his mederteting for his apparence in This Court - for his Hammahn - Transant and hudestathing This came examine at 11.08. am may 31th 1888-Corpulant - in and and Duys he is redy for Examination Thetripo Dream and of comme feer and Com Come Cland and Buhrmtheo - The Cour after bearing the Lestineny and henry July Conneline the Sime-The being no Sufficent - Com to believe abour name S. E. Campelelle-guilly of the office about machine - & order him to be des oleaged S. H. Hill. Z.M.

COUNTY OF BRUNE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Bhe People of California Plaintiff. G. a. hobbe Defendant.

Action Mulicium his chief

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Township,

Attorney.....for Defendant

DATE/488

PROCEEDINGS.

My. V22. Conflaint filed hanant opned grien Cant C. A. halten. and of his right in the frame's Expectant plends mequilly- Infusant-hala for wind in the Dum of For delly, Boul affine and for Jule Mis Come des mifer for man of funcion -

COUNTY OF Bune, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Ash People of California Plaintiff Ah. Sing-Defendant

Action Petil Sancing

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1998 PROCEEDINGS. my II. Confilant-filed havent-found of your and C.A. helher Will Sofrederich in Cent and disologue who the grames, of a react of intent to Commit-a Crimo-SH, Hill J.M. No. 224.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

COUNTY OF June ... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Shu Deph of Cale forming Plaintiff. Defendant

Action buziemay

Demand \$.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. / 488

PROCEEDINGS.

Proplant file Transant of he they against the and of his right with feeming Sofudent pleases go and many the legal him for Souther — Sofudent Ment to fail for day —

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

Action baguency The People of California Plaintiff Demand \$ 3. P. Pulin. Ed. Paulan Oline Johnson, Trus Attorney.....for Plaintiff Chaplin Ed. Madelin Sam. Q. Brown .
Phillip Stout - Defendants. Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1898 PROCEEDINGS. May 22. Complaint filed hancent offered Agin Court C. P. hallin -The and of Their right, in the promise of the charge against the and of the charge against the and of the charge against the and the heavier before and plan guilty-Sefredent fromthe to al-once less the hour St. there J. s.

Township,

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Mulicean Mis chief

Att. Hill J. A

The Poeple of Californice Plaintiff. Jezzie Minny Defendant

Demand \$

G. E. Pelalule Attorney for Plaintiff

E.M. Scalin Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1887 PROCEEDINGS.

22. Complaint-filed Transant-offmel &gri Coon-Walle 14. Sofundant in Cent and informe of the Chay again here and of her right in the firmin Sofredom plends sut guilly as change This cens Int- for hind at- 2. O.C. A. In. Jun 18. 1888 -Enferchent demands hier by Juny Remin ofmed -Ffred Suffeenas - for the People & Copiecant Depresent- bulot to appear for hist to da Sum of \$50 " Bouse appeared and files -19. 111. O.C. a. M. Sofondent in Court- Nomie and Bubpoense returned and filed - Parting mady for time - Junes Sunti course questions as to questification - after which the following frees new Sment- to by the Caun- huil: Eraba. Harris, Gad. Halhem, Mr. Lawren, M. Greekel. S. S. (hetherden, allen Huns, John Had. It. bietly - mout Check. Jean much a H. Pinkhem. and & hiffith _ at the request of the Sistice afterny-E. G. Burlier was appended Stust have Reporter -EM. Smith Som as a nitriof for the Prenoution. On Trulin of the arristent Vistual atteny his Juffer This Come is disnafred repen the alleged grounds that The enduce is insufficient to Council The Sofulant offeren against The peace and dignity of the People of the Hole of California. Motion allowed and

In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

COUNTY OF Justin, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Maybean

Blu Proph of California
Plaintiff

No.
Bull

Defendant.

Action Muyhem-

Demand \$.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS May, 23. Camplaint-filed Wancent ofmed of your Seft, Court, & J. Walten -10 1/25. Sefundant in Com and informed of the Charp against him and of his right in the ferencies - Defendant held - peliament. arece Commother to the Bloods of the Conty of France " This Can But for hid at . 11. De. a. In June 6 " 1888 -29. Sefudent held for examination in the Sund \$500; Buch appund and filed -6. 111. O.C. a m. Sofendant - ni Court and Days his ready for heal - M. A. Inghem men and Famine as a ! wilness feethe People Prencutio Clone - Treal Boldes Sman and examined in this own behalf Course Closed argued and Submitted - The Court of hung the ancience and having fully considered The Scome-It appearing to me that the offeren of mayhow has been Committed and that There is Sufficient Cause to believ the above named Find Balds quell-Though I order that he be held to anount the Dume and Commelled to the Short of the County of Frame and had he be admitted to bail in the Sum of min lumined \$5000") dellay - and that be is hearly Commented to the Shorts of the County of Trust until the gen Duch buil

COUNTY OF PRINCE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

She People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action Cazzency

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./888

PROCEEDINGS.

Muy. 24. Emphaint filed transant of anni fruit one Com- Establicand We Separant in Court and informed of the clean of and him and of his rights in the Jevening Separant pleases, quity and searing the legal time for Sentence - "Separant ment to fail for day" Stille Jos.

Township,

COUNTY OF Sum, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. Bhattach J. J. Waterhoun Defendant Action account-

Demand \$ 30.00/100_

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE./888 May 26. Complaint-filed Summer ifmed & file Com & S. Haller. afficient for attachment made office Industry on attachment affice of freed of gring Court & S. Haller.

Township,

COUNTY OF GUERNA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action account Demand \$34. The Attorney for Plaintiff Defendant. Attorney.....for Defendant. DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. May He Emplaint filed Summing ipner of your Court IS Multin " affect and for attachment much these mulestathing on attachment appeared your Court IS. Maltin

OI.

OShe Deofil of California
Plaintiff

Probabilis

Defendant

Demand \$

J.E. Shall

Attorney for Plaintiff

E. M. State

Attorney for Defendant

Township,

May 28 Course and files france from by in Cast C. S. Walling 31. Separate in and and and informed of the Olay against how and of his right in the framish at 2.00. P. M. May. 31. 1888 - 21. 2.00. P. M. Separant in Court and Suga he is leady for Gamination the Surface Such and examined for and En Court Court and Sugar he following and having fully counted the drawn, There being no mafficient Court to him the lain the above normal addressant & Campobille guilty of the offeren about mandend - & Campobille guilty of the offeren about Mandend - & Bright him to the dies chaped Settifiell. Est.

COUNTY OF Bruss STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

D. R. Genning D. Vs. D. Packers Defendant.

Action account

Demand \$ 7 ? 5/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE./888 29. Summer helund Showing purmal Some outerfulant togethe with a Copy of the complaint on file their and 29. day of my 1888. and filed men comy the observed by his alterny Wheats & Short-ared for during during each and eng alleyate in Plantiffy Emplant

COUNTY OF Bune

In the Justice's Court of

Township, , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. A. Garning Packen Bus-

Action account

Demand \$ 20 74/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Desendant

Defendant 3 DATE. 1888 May. 28. Paruflaint-file Summer of great & given Com- C. S. Molhe Loce. 21. Summerly returned Steering feederal Surice and Sofudentstogether with a copy of the Complaint, on file him on to 21th day of bue 1888 - and Vfiled. 24. En this achin the defendants having begin regulerly benen with Jus cef and heaving failed to appear come anome the Hamity Conflored on file hem and the sine aflered bylene for answering having expired the Sefend of the Said defendants in the fremis is herely diety and according to law -" In this action the defendants hands been regularly & and with fireef and having facted to place and consume the Plantiff Parteland- on file legie and the legal time for auruning having efficied and the definite of the David definants in The fremis having been Hally entired according to leve. him at this day on application of the Plenite It is adnot that pudgment be entered herin against The Said defindent in accurance with the hoge of The daid Hemitiff Empland on file levin -Therefore by never of the law and the firemin aportion it is ardard and adjudged that the Maintiff destian and recome of and from the Suid defined out the Sum of \$211. 74/12 the Princepal Sucol for and the Sum of \$1389 the Cart necessarily of frenced in the pease culin of this action in lauful ming of the unitie State -Jucquent- for the Princapel Break fre 20,74 a constats a 5,00 8.80 " The amount of feedy mant " Ipned Execution and gine Court C. R. Machin.

No. 234.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Guine, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action Sistuling the Peace Blu Prefit of Colepanie Plaintiff

for Enw-Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff Defendant. Attorney for Defendant DATE. 1888 May 28 Suplaint file Warment of green 4 gine Get Com & L. Walton -

Township,

In the Justice's Court of

COUNTY OF SIMM STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Account

Demand \$120. The Demand \$120. The Demand \$120. The Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Action Account

Demand \$120. The Demand \$120. The Defendant

May. 19. Complaint filed Summer Speed of your Court C. P. Hollow a officiality of allocations of the mantely an attachment seems of place proceeding on attachment of the manual of the series on the objection with a Copy of the Complaint on file how on the 29th deep of May 1888 and filed.

I wit of attachment executed returns and filed.

I seem of the less and to the Plantiff Complaint hom
June 1. There Sulfiered for the Plantiff Complaint hom
July Comment for the Plantiff.

July Comment for the plant of the plantiff.

July Comment of the pull and dis surface of the general states of the plantiff.

COUNTY OF Bun., STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.

Action Left Larcy

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Lacy & Held

Attorney for Defendant

Township,

DATE./888 PROCEEDINGS. Mry. 19. Peruplaint files to area of mes of the Charge against him and of his wifely in the free free infumer of the Charge against him and of his wifely in the free free with Separant pleady met

COUNTY OF Jurin, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Busy Cary

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Township,

may 19. Camplaint filed Messent from Typic Court - Walter.

John Sefundant in Court and informed of the Cheer against him

and of his right in the fremises - Separated these for

his examination in about of \$500. Bound afformed to filed

Jime 8. This Came but for tried at 11. a.e. a. m. promite 1888

Separatement returned in to Cent and quies up by his

Bound the Some of \$250. for his afformation.

Phone Subjections for the People of Separate of history.

It. This Came of direction for them only -

Township,

COUNTY OF Burne, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action bayency She Peff of Elifornia Plaintiff Sam Sani Amos hum Go. Wiban Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney.....for Defendant. DATE./888 PROCEEDINGS.

My 19. Complaint file hanant-Jenes Agen Court & J. Mallin -I Sopredant in and - and informed of the Oling against them and of the Oling against theme and the opening Sofinsons Anily -

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF Busin , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action buz rang-

She Peopl- of California-Plaintiff.

Demand \$

Defendant.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE./884

PROCEEDINGS.

My. 311. Complaint file harant-ifue ogen Court & S. hallin -

B.

Township,

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Bully

Action Bully

Demand 8

E. E. Mitchell

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

Defendant

PROCEEDINGS.

May 30, Complaint filed Warrend June of the Change against him and of the fundament pleads that quiety. Supposed the full for him in the sum of \$1000. Bond and fold -

Township,

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Alse People of California Plaintiff E. E. Mitchell Defendant

Action March with deady weeken

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1889 May. 30. Emplaint file Marent ifma Agriew Court Dr. It Butteth
Atto Charp again him

and of his right in the frames defendant held for

examination in attend of pour Brown affine and files.

Any, & Cannotes maper for many of Promouting.

SHHill J.P.

Township,

COUNTY OF June. STATE OF CALIFORNIA. She People of California
Plaintiff

Falle Halle Defendant

Action Aprill-

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE./688 May 30" Comple cent filed harrant of med typin Court J. J. Battethand in the hand of Court. Butlete.

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Ahr People of California Plaintiff. Richau Hebrh -Defendant. Action Sis Turbing the Sace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. /888 My. 31. Camplaint-files hand fine og in J. J. halli. Who " Sofredent in Cent-and informed of the Chaque against line of the Chaque against line and of this right in the framein Sofrendant pleades quillyet manis the legal time for Sentine. Experient his in the Courty - and is allened to go how ared Dir ne min Still & S.

COUNTY OF Busin STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

The People of California Plaintiff for St. Place

Action In Turking the Mace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant Attorneyfor Defendant			
DATE./488		PROCEEDINGS.	
My.	31.	Complaint files transmit if med signir Coul. I.S. Walter. Compressed in Court and informed of the Charge agains live and file of his right in the frems es - Sofreend pleads quelly-	
V /		and of his right on the fremis es Sofulant places quello-	
	(1	Copredent- frim \$11" and allund len days in which	
h		to prog David Joine - Stattall Jon	
Jan. 245.		Browny-Eight-dellay - The amount of denings	
		allement by the cent in the above entitled action	
		Costs necessarily in council in the prosecution of this action	
		in lauful menny of the miles States -	
	11	Jug ment- for the damage allower - 28,00	
	0	" fustice feer 8.78	
		11-1 " " (anstable " 11,70	
		Withil Aunder life - our day one mile 2.29	
		" fer mill - " " " 2.29	
		" Dinine Hung - " 220	
		" Mu haladure " " " 2,20	
		" St. Grahem - " " " 2,20	
		affreiant, 50	
	le	Ital sement of Judyment - \$ 59,90	
	11	Sufericant allened a Stey of few cerdings futers day	
	16.	Hamiliff filed his art Mee 3- DA, Thile. g.M.	
	//	Plantiff filed his art Bree 3. St. Thile. J.M.	
	r,	Sufun Com -	
	19.	Juns cuft hunded the County- Clark	

COUNTY OF Bunn, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N. L. Muledmon-Plaintiff John & Girth and Mr. John & Birth his wife -Desendant S. Action Gunago -

Demand \$ 299 %

Janoh & Cary Attorney of for Plaintiff Hurs & Museum Attorneyfor Defendant

Township,

DATE. /888 My. 31. Compleint filed Summer ifond &gin Court C. S. Walhi. Jun. 2. Summery returned Steering formal Some on the defendants After together with a Copy of the Complaint on file him on the 1st day of from 1888 - and filed. 6. Sofres conto This ourse to the Haint to Complaine 7. Centy of filing Exposition in this action 9. This gown But for hise at - 9 Ol. P. Mr. Jun 12: 1888 -11. Imac Subfuence - for Softward-12. 9. O.C. a. M. Parties in Coul- and ready fur hind . Parties Main hial by Jenry - Sofwithin of E. D. Thunkin-opened by request of defredent and with the Evernt of Pleintiff M. L. Malcomban. M. mal comban XE. A. hahem run and examine as withings for the Prenoutin - Gowandof Parties. P. P. Parent - men and examine on thalf of Sopracant - gere wills - 8 M. Hong hum and examine as withing for the Prenowthe Subpressed Speed for 6. O. Humbir Mos Juste. Mine Low & 18. Burker - Ches - Hong - Snew and Reamine as notinepes for the Sofren - Subpacie returne - Sherry that the withing E. J. Thereton Count to find Supartin of David 6. J. Thereston richer as endura by the definer Coul with a recip until 1/2 ach m this days " 1/2 - O.C. P. M. Parties in Court and reacy to person In In Buttell. Sum and examined for the defence Sofren Claux - mip Jon M. b-anderlip & Greeken in rebuttle By Planitiff- Can Cleve aigue and Submitted The Court hole the Cour muster alis ment - without along 13. 3. O.C. P. M. The Coul- hany fully Considered This whole master " Mustin by reason of the leve and the premise apresuit It is cribical and adjudged that the denic Planiliff do han and recom of and from the Duice defendant the Dune of -

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Juch
Plaintiff

Vs.

Folm H. Hocel2

Defendant....

Demand \$/25-25/100

Blue Status—
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant. DATE 1868 PROCEEDINGS Manfelend - filed Summy formed & give Court - I'the Bartlett affedant for attachment made tiled hist of attachment from quel Toudataling on Mohumb office & place 2. Summy soluted Showing Jurinal Surie are the Sopudant logista with a Copy of the Complaint are file been I'day of from 1888 come filed Will of altechnine Greater returned and filed -In this achine the defendant having been regularly Derred with process and having filed to appear and aurun the Hamilf Complaint herein film and the him alleme bylen for aremeny herry expire the default of the Duck defudent in the Juenis & the beref duly entine according a tem. In this action the defendant having been regular and with proces and henry fulled to appear and aurun to Plinith amplaint herein and the legal time for auminghaving et fund and the default of the Socie defendant in the ferencin having bem duly entred according to line I have at this day on application of the Hamitiff alling & The Declow It is arelied that freezement against the Said definational in accordance with the Juan of Duice Planitiff Complaint on file their Meenfor by reason of the law and the Tuemores Fredace Il is el adjudged that the Plantiff do her re com of and from the Said defendant the Sum of One hundred and trent fine and 15/10 - dollar the amount Succe for and the sum of Differe and 5% wo. dellay Certs necerrarily expended in the few cution of this action in lample many of the United State. Judyment - for the Municipal Buch for " " Justies 8,50 Gold ament of Judy ment-

In the Justice's Court of Governing,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She Scept of Californies
Plaintiff

8. Me Garden Defendant.

Action home Gasen

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

June 2. Camplaint- file thanant- france of your Short Meade

Township,

COUNTY OF July , STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action aprill-suft adeally Dhe Peph of Californic Plaintiff See Sue & Ho Hin Suapr Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff Defendant 5 Attorney for Defendant. DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS.

2. Complaint film Warrant from Agin W. H Hans Cout. In Sopredants in Cant and informed of the They against them for Examination in about of \$3000 each of them-Bouch append and filed 4. This Cenu del fer Examination June 8th 1888. at 9. O. C. C. Man There Duppener for Sepreture 8. 19. Ce. C. M. Sofredent in Court and could for Examination - Withings Sum for the frem cution - Comen Submitter get People The coul - after having heard the ancience further flower of and all the defendant bed of oligine when the ground that the ensure is nerifficient to teche the definacents S.H. Mile &.m

tice's Court of B. Township, country of Junes, state of California.

Action Cecount

Plaintiff

Demand \$25. 75/00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

DATE. 1888 4" Parthe aint- filed Summer fred Agin Court- Walter " officient- fer attendent made the tradestalling on attachment appeared and please that of attachment of seed of in Count-halli. to Summery Melined Greining furrinal Denic and defendant begitte with a cepy of the Camplaint-on file him on the 4th day of June 1888. and filed -Wit of attachund Econtic returned and filedhow Cerry the defendant in footen fuera and for aurun denny such and eny matine allgation in de 13. The Come fraid in full and dis suffeel SHAME. J.M. Herritt Contenued Page 250. Judgment for the amend-allement lythe friend 125,00 13,50 30,00 With - quest Himberger - 1. day - 4- Mils - Said any 7: 2.80 Sam. John " " 4 - " Paid ang. 41/85. 2.80 " 8. M. Whele " " " " " " " " " " " 280 Mung- Mitchell " " " " laid any 4"/22, 280 " Golal amount of freelyment \$ 183, 111. N. Hill &!! Plantiff film his cost bill - \$54 //m Cours mitten in full and dis surpret -

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action account-

13 factor Plaintiff. 3. E Callisch

Demand \$1711. Jac

Attorney for Plaintiff Sught Colonies Defendant Attorney.....for Defendant DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. Implaint-filed Summery Squeet & gine Cout - Walter affricail - for attachmul made this hudestalling on alle sont afiferend & filed Thil-attachment fred Dying aux Halton -5. Summond returned Suring fearmal Since on the objustant together with a copy of the Complaint on file him on the the day of June 1888. and filed Thit of attachment Tuesalut returns and file 6. Sofredant fil his anome to the Hemity complement offer to lith freelyment This Cours See fu hiel at 11. O.C. a.M. June 26: 1888-23. Subfacua June for Superant 25, Super - June fil de Municipal 26 11. O.C. a. Wi. Parting in Count-and ready for hind. Parties nearin hiab by Juny - J.B. Cartin, Paul Fisher, E. In-Theeler, Thinas Witchele S. Jufirm & Jacob Hankey Swan and Examine as without for the Plendffafter which Pleintiff rested his ca n. E Callerd P. Se Blanc - S. Jahren & Husburge M. Smith ment Ball & C. While Rut, Freemen before Clema Cause Submitted. on briefs Plantiff has fin days on which to file his bring

Deputeent tien fin days sie which to anous Planty-There days to answer defendant Brug 12. Brief having bom Submitted for agreement of Many The come having been the testimen in this action and having fully countered the Dune -Therefor by reason of the law and the frames afresied il- a acdered and adjudges that the Planish de have and recen of and from the special the Sure of One hundred and me for play the annul allune by the sure and the Some of \$ 5-8 19/10 Cest means and in and in the francisco of this action in lawful war the trumber state Se Page 149-

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Township,

Pugel-Benno Sumbe Co-Plaintiff= Im SaggetActron Bell of hered

Demand \$76 2/100 - H Juliese -

7. E. Darlens Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Defendant DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. June 4. Camplaint filed Summing found ogin Court Walter. " Summy reliper Thering Jeurenal Since on the Sepudant together with a copy of the complaint on file him on the 4" day of June 1888 and filed how Coins the Sefredant in Juper Juiser and for answer demes such and eny matthe allegation in the Hamily Camplain- filed Turi Contamus 18. Janua Sul- for trial at-111. O.C. G. In. June 23. 1888-13. 111. O.C. a. M. Planitiff in conet-and after having marked for men than on full hem for the appearance of The sofund and and he having failed to affect entire in fearer or by alterny - and the default-of the Said definition in the ferming is heref dely entired how at this 11.15- D.C. a.M. on application of the Pleintiff it is creamed that fredy ment be sulled against the Duice definate he in accordance with The fugue of Said Hantiff Empland-on file hum " Thurson by warm of the law and the ferences ofseraid it is around and adjudged that the Pleintiff do have and recome of and from the Said defredant The Summe of Fifty Dix delley and 35/10 - delley The ament-of Periochal and when Duce for and the Dun of Duca and Ifin dellay Cert-necessary incame sie the fever Dulin of this active in lawful many of the h. S. Greez ment- for the Principal sinhase Durche. 56,35-

COUNTY OF Summer, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. H. While Vs. Hann Defendant.

Demand \$ 146. 5

Action decount

Lung Allorney for Plaintiff

Township,

Attorney.....for Defendant.

· DATE./888 PROCEEDINGS. 5th Complaint-filed Summing found Again Court. C. P. Welle.
Summing relieved Showing formal Service ante defendantlegether with a Copy of the Complaint-of file lin on its
the James 1888. and files

9. Inden Com the defendant in fewfor four and for auren clever coch and eng maturel allegation in the Hamly Emplain- Contains

Township,

Action Jule of home M. Brown
Plaintiff Demand \$20" & Bulinss Hanana M. Gale neu Just Sep Fus Ce-Desendant

COUNTY OF June

Much Hour Attorneys for Plaintiff H. Sifan Attorney.....for Defendant

, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. 5" Januflaint- filed Summers June Agen Courst Walter affederit the attachment made the medertating on attachment Expense and filed Wil of attack hund of med & gin Court Walter. Dunning returned Thering formal Derice on the defined as together with a Cepy of the Camplaint on file humi ante 5" day of June 1888 and files Writ of attact ment Executed returned area files 11. Lofund and file his demune to the Reint of Compair- funis 26. Parties in Cant-Comme Bubuntled and ormula-Sofredent alleme fine day in which to answer Pleastff Camplain 2. Sofredant- by his attenty H.S. Vijin for aurun dims each and any healman allegation in the Plantiff Complaint - Contained - on file line This Course Int for time at: 10.00. a. The July -7th 1888-11. O.C. a. M. Pleniliff with his alling Much & Cary in Poul and Buyo he ready for hial, the Count after having waiter more them on full home for the appenance of Said defendances on This attenty A. Brian and dig or leisten of them having failed to appear as required by law how on application of the Plentiff Menny Kund and any " YE. E. Church hing olug Dream as to which is reasonable afterny fin' It is crowned and adjudged that judgment be cutied him again the buil defindents in accordance with He freque of Said Plenist of Complaint- an file Pun -" Theufu by rearm of the lum and the fermis ofurnice it-5" Ordered and adjudged That the David Herritiff de ham and Ream of and from the Inid defendants the Dew of \$20.9/m The Sice capie and what down for and the dring of \$2000 allement gla Que as allery for and the Dum & Cest recurrently in comme in the per outer of the seture in lauful many of the tenter State -Sa Page 25-57

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant.

Action Mulician Mischief

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1888

June 5th Suplaint file Warrant fred Fin Soft though Jus

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF GULLEN, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Sove alias

fore. Cartho

Defendant

Action Sistembing the Searce South

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE/888	PROCEEDINGS.
Jun Ce.	Complaint - file haveant ofmer ogni Court - Halling
	Sopredent it Come and infume of the Charge again him and
A of Me	of his right in the framin - Expendent feleads quilly
Uv /	and reams the legal time for Sentence -
	Polyment - allowed to 54 home home been in Bust
	tree days - his on Franch Courte Stof Hill g. 1.
	Attitle g.s.
mu _	
Page 25-3.	Judy ment-fu the Principal trinted our for 211.90
0	" " Ming fur 25,00
	Ortal amount of fredy ment 8,75-
	" Constable " 5-60
	Istal amount of freely ment - 8 5-5,25
	Stittlee. g.m.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

Defendant.

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action Polit Sarcons

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE./888

PROCEEDINGS.

I fourthaint- files hament- if me tying the Court to the Hames

COUNTY OF Zum, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Plaintiff S 1. G. Briffeth Defendant

Actron Int of heme

Demand \$211 8/ 4 intent \$1211" asty fun-

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1889 8" Suplain file Summer found ogen Com- Waller. Aummino returned Showing Jurinal Service on the Sefundanttogether with a cepy of the Complaint on file herin on the It 13. Sufredent-film his anom to the Marity on " This Caren Int for trial at 11. O.C. a. In June 19" 1888COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

3.

Township,

Offic Oeofle of California
Plaintiff

Vs.

Author Carlet Sittle Bringson Mithe Smind

Basic Brown

Defendant 3...

Action Grand Berey

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Fire I Supposed filed Wariant opened Agin Coast Bester Softwant in Court and informed of the Change agine-American
and of their right in the ferming - Spream amounted
to the Carried of the Shirif of the County of Morne in A force
from themsend deller Brown each of the further
afferment for examination
for the Morne file her bound in the Durin of Soura-and
areturn released

The growing of the insufficiency and uncertainty of the
technology on the four of the Suffer

Township,

COUNTY OF Summer, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff

P. H. Bider Defendant

Action account the notes of hand

Demand \$225-94/02

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. /978 PROCEEDINGS June 8" Emplaint file Summer, June of the Meditaling on attachment had the Mude of the Mude the Strong of allow the of attachment found of in Cleentiff alling - apr 10 alices Summons issued of delivered to Je Mongan Constable.

Township,

COUNTY OF

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

BA Pince Defendant.

Action Recent-Demand \$1/17, 20/100

S. L. Edward
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. /888

PROCEEDINGS

June 4th oruplaint for attractional made office meditation on attachment of the Court of attachment of the Court of Manual of Plantiff the Court of the tracking on attachment of the Court of the orange of Plantiff the gri

COUNTY OF Burn, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

The People of California Plaintiff. Jehn Doe deur.

Action Grand Farcery

Demand \$

R.B. Juny Attorney for Plaintiff S.M. Deale

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1489 June 9. Complaint file hanant- offered &gine Com halten-" Not Sufrueleur in Court and informe of the Change again - live and of this right in the ferenity - Sofredame Committed to the Though of the Camp of June to recent his examination -" Conge Sot fur hial at 2.08. 1. M. June 14: 1989 Fred Dubpoince for the South and defindent. 14, 2. O.C. P. M. Superstant in Court and ruck for Examination M. H. Juste. E. Henduren. M. Goodful Breen and of annual as enterps for the Veeple-Preneculi Clemen Sofrecent wein the introduction of any bestimeny. Com Dubrutha - The Cent-after hering the endure and henry fully Centricuse the Denne and Itappearing to me that the office of Gund For any on as cheel gum go _1489-llas bum Committee and Mere is Bufficient Cown to believe the oben names definitent ! feel words quelly thing factor these he be held to awound to the Dune and Emmitties to the Striff of the Count of June and that he be accounted to being it da men of our thousand \$1000 - Sollay and he is Committee to the Shouffs of mun County- until the gin much buil-D. M. Hille J.M.

Township,

COUNTY OF MINE., STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Demand Sfg. 1/100 Defendant s Attorney.....for Defendant. DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS. with a Copy of the Complaint on file him Mis come wither in full and dis unfuel S. A. Three & M

tice's Court of B. Township,

Action Ish of hance

Plaintiff

Demand \$200 c/a

Ith Summy of It, Summy

Attorn

Attorn

Defendant 9.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS.

Film 11. Complaint-filed Summing of men Degir Court-Walter
B. Summer returned blening formal Enrice on the Sufferdouly
bogether with a Cepy of the Complaint-on file hum on the 13th

day of from 1888 and filed

14. Superdoun- file his affect anie- and hohie of Mesti
18. Mun Comy the defendant-in frefer form and for ausme

down each and any matrial allegation to the Mesualf

Complaint-on file hum.

In the Justice's Court of Julian

Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Ed Primer & Gla Malimen

Action Buch of home

Demand \$250 coper

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. 11. Puflanit file Dummery Speed Dyni Coul Waller 16. Summy relieve Shening from Since ante Africant-Ele Connen by the with a Copy of the Cauplant our file See Commen bythe with a topy of the Component from the 15th day of June 1888, at the County of Sungarant below of Calefornia and film of Plainty Still Jet.

Defendant S.

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Action Sisteriting The Ocace Me Deaght of California Plaintiff 188., Demand \$ Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

PROCEEDINGS. Jun 11. Tamplaint filed Nament open ogen Court Buttet.

3.

Township,

COUNTY OF

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Au People of Californice
Plaintiff

Peny Hart—

Defendant

Action Maliciem Mis chief

Demand \$.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. June 17. Janual and filed harrent open of given Court Walter-M. The Superdant Hong Hest- appeare bope E.B Jane Justin of the Peace 2. Trunklip and gan But for This afference for him before this court on the 13. day of fine 1988 - in the some of \$2000-Ashir dann ru- fu time at-hior. a.m. Jun 21 1888-Home Sulprime for the Veeple and defendant 21 11. O.C. a. M. Seprielant in Court Delprene Volume & filed -At A. Welch affects for the People at request of the Sisterest-Chery Caure Continued until 2. O.C. P. M. dies day-" L. D.C. P.M. Sopresant- in Court of and Continue woll 10. O.C. a. M. June 22. 1888, Sefendent demand, hually Jung Levice freed - Dubpours ofmed for the People & Sifueland-22. 11. O.C. a. In Sofredame in coul- Rue and Dubpered returned acced filed - Parts red by to fees ceed Groele Juny Burn to ligthe Can - Withouter Brown and examined fro and Con - Course Clima Erguel and Submilled - July formed the defendant not gaing-Striffile gill-

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff......

Plaintiff......

Defendant.....

Demand \$1257/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Township,

Attorney for Defendant 13. anhland filed Summers. Jud Jaina Court Walter -23. Ourne Returned Sherring feerencel Druice and sufe togethere with a Copy of the Complaint-on file herin on the 22? den of June 1888 and filed 27. The Courty the Sopredant in proper form and for answer denny such and eny matinas allegation in the Hantiff Complaint - Carland This Cour Int- fur hiel at - 2. O.C. S.M. July. 17: 1888-20. This Cour Ist - for head al - 2. O.C. P. M. July 21th 1888-21. This Cann Continued until 2. O.C. P. M. July 28th 1888 -26. 2. O.C. P.M. Partin in Court und main hield by Jung- Porter ready fre hiab- (lis. Endson-and O. M. Jolin - Brun and agammie as interfer for the Plecistoff-and Robert-France Secon and Gammir us a suturp in his own behalf- Cause Clane and Subuther - The Cour having kear the enduce and having fully Considered the Same -Munfun by reason of the law med the fecusion afrecion it is Ordered and adjudged that the Plaintff do lesser and The come of and from the defendant agreedyment for The Dum of Incheand "for - dollary The amount recol for and the dum of him dellay the Cart necessarily incremed the frem outen of this action - in lawful many of the Truited State fring ment for the amount derect for " furties for for Constates" "

Thing J. M. Behin one day — 5.00 " Betal annut of fredy mut-" Plant fils his and Bill -9. Efrand Execution and gui Come hallo-

COUNTY OF Secure , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff

Vs./

Defendant

Action Coemit

Demand \$15- 79/00-

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

Township,

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS 14. Joneplann- file Cepiil 991888 defendent light with a copy of the file him - am de 15th day of fine 1988 & files 21 For this action the defendant having been regularly deried to annewing having Topical the defends of the Said defredam in the fremising is houly aluly entired according to In the achin the defendant having been regularly Dece with frost and leaving failed a appear and anne the Hamilto herin and the legal time fu answer having expend and the default of the Said Abfredam in the fremin heaving been duly entires accessing to law how at this day on apple calin of the Duck Hemit Itbe culous them against id defindant in accardance with the prayer of Duice Planiliff Complaint on file therefore by reason of the law and the Junio apresaid is areleved and adjudged that the Duice Herritiff Me ann etail the Sum of Hifteen and Marce Olley. Queel for and of Dix and 5 few dellas Care of Suit necessarily necessal vie the from outin of this action in bellfully money of the hunter State of anne on Judgment futhe Principal Vine for " fustion fres-

Township,

COUNTY OF JULIA , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Blu Peopl of Colfmic Plaintiff V8.

Defendant.....

Action Petil Lonery

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Altorney for Defendant

DATE. / TY PROCEEDINGS. 15: amplaint-filed Warrent-office ogin Coust-Bustlito-" Soprelant in Court and informer of the Chap against-There are of the right in the fairing Sefere cent pleads net- guell- Caun met- fur lies at-11. Oc. a. In Juan 19a 1888 - Enfundant - demands hial of Juy - mine aced Drefpeered freed Agric Ques - Bostlett - Confinceent helec for his appearer for hiel on the sun of \$500. " areel Committee to the Sheiff of the County of Frem ne defrent of duice to 19. 11. O.E. a. M. Sefucient in Court Nenice and Dubliona relund and filed - Parties ready for hist. Junes, bush to anome question as to quelification after which the following genery burn to by this Coun truit: France. Havis, Jw. Huthern, Ge Sausen M. Locakel, J. S. Chithereden athe Hanis, John Head, J.M. Sickey mank (healt, Gerre Mun att, histham & & Miffill, 6.2. Beuliard appointed Steel hand Reporter at the request of the assistant Visted allong H. S. Inthem, E.M. Smith Sum as anitrop by the People -On Inchin of the assistant district alling his suppr This Course is dismiful report the alleged grounds that the endence is werefficient to Conside The defendam of a sublice offren against - the fear and dignity of the people of the state of Calefornie - metrice

Township,

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Dannel Morgren Pla J.S. Forth Defendant. Action account for many had accel leaned

Demand \$50 6

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Airch & Munian

Attorney for Defendant.

2	Attorneyfor Defendant
DATE. 1888	PROCEEDINGS.
June 16.	auflanie filed Summers ifmed you cont halten -
1 . (Summery rotund Showing purmed Sine ante offendant
	bogette with a Copy of the complaint on file here on the
	18th day of fine 1888 and filed -
77.	The Com Set I live at 2 10 19 But But and the
	This Come but for lime at 2, Co. P. M. Jun 23. 1888-
	Subpeena returna seine files
	2. O.c. P. M. Partis in Court and ready fee tried,
	Samuel morgran acce m. M. Gended Suce
	as withing for the fever cuties thenty. Rest
	The beforedant-timed the Court to grant a hear
	Just refron the greeneds that the Mantiff to
	ruled Depredent ex cept
	The Cent with the mather mude ad in ment.
	until 9. O.c. ane, June 25th 1888 -
11 25	9. O.C. a. M. The Court having fully Queridier This healter
	Whenfur by reason of the lan and the permis - apresaid
	it is crowned and adjudge that the Daid Plaintff do have
	Fifty della, the amend-allowed by the court and the
	frutte Dum-Elinn Creek 47/100 delleg Con necessing-
	incurred in the function of this action in lawful-
	many of the trutest - States -
a	Gredgment-fu the principal Buch for 50,00
	6,25
	Williams me mon and and and and and and and and and an
	Withing m. moderala_1. day. 2,00
	Sopracons-files his notice of appeal to the Superi Court-
4	Sopracond- files his whie of appeal to the Superi Court
24.	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff Jim Benson. Geokom Gerdine Actron Sistruly the Peace

Township,

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. 18 Panhlanie- filed hammend- four Agien Court- Walter Wi" From Beneral Hickory Gerdine in Court and informed of the - Them and of Their right- in the fermises defined with plead quill- as chery Juaco fin willy each of the aggregating the sum of \$100-Software wer thefor dis cherge

Township,

COUNTY OF

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Hu Ozoph of Calefornia Plaintiff John Lopay Defendant.

Action Blueds - h - Much

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE./898 June 19. amplaint filed Warrent - June Agin Court - Walker 23. Sopredent-in Court-and represent the francis - Sofredant allen and file right in the francis - Sofredant allender to appear on his own recognizance for ul-in Court - and hipmen of the Charge against guly 3. Hu Cam is dismiful uputh ginne that the algating in the Complaint is the result-of malace -

Township,

COUNTY OF June -STATE OF CALIFORNIA. The People of California Plaintiff Demand \$ Ga. S. Philder Defendant

Action Grand Scacenz

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 June 19. Janufel and - filed Warrant - freed Agion Court Walten IM. " Soferedant Vin Court - and informed of the Cheng against hime and of the right in the fereniers. Expendent led for his appearance for tirel with dum of nie hundred dellan. Bond append and filed " aun Set fri Examination Gula 6th 1888. al-14. O.c. a. M-6" 11. O.C. a. M. Supresant-in Court-and ready for Framentin (h. Gran. h. S. Mathews, We meen & Friedly France Sum and If amind as nitrupy for the President - after which the Proreculin Cland direct -Sepulant wanie the intend ohis of any testing in his defunn - Coun Dulmittee - The Court after having heed the bothmeny office in this activ. and having fully convinue the Denne and it-oppening The above neural defredent Ge. S. Childry gruly Theney - & order that he be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Short, of the County of Frence and that he be a sented to bail in the Some of Outhermand stelles, and he is Committee to the Short of the Comp of Free until the gri buch bail Str. Hill gill

Township,

Hu People of California Plaintiff. Defendant.

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action June

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./888 PROCEEDINGS. une 211, Somplaint-files Nancent- June Agai Court-Buttell -Line and of his righty in the feveries - Expression - Ementer and of him county to anait his Faurelle -25. Superiorent alline to appear on his am recognizare any of Cana disimpal for name of hunculary

COUNTY OF Brune, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of Rownship,

Action Bally She People of California Plaintiff S. S. Reed Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff Defendant Attorney for Defendant

June 20. Josephanit filed hanout found of the Chary again him

26 John with in court and informed of the Chary again him

No area of his rights before the lean Separant please, quiet
and receive the legal time for mulines —

Separant fried and frein plan Sept. dis chaque

Separant fried and frein plan Sept. dis chaque DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS.

COUNTY OF June , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Plaintiff

Defendant

Demand \$299. The States.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Township,

Attorney.....for Plaintiff.

Church Coy

Altorney.....for Defendant.

Sulan 279 --

DATE./908 Time 211 profel and filed Drummens of men organic Court - Walter -He Summy return Strong furnal Emic on the Especian logither with a copy of the complant on file him on the Elex day of June 1888 - at the 3. Judicial Bruship in to Carrely of home dat of California and filed 2. how Comes the defendent by his atterney Annot Cay and for derrin during that the Plantiff Complant Hat fuch Bufficul- to Constitute a cour of action -16. Innum Det for argument at 11. O.C. 1. M. Gueg. 1741988. 17 Summe Julnutter rece onement " Sefundant for aurum ding Ruch and eny matinal allegation in the Hemity Confel and Centerna teen 24. This game me for hid at 111. O.C. a.M. Cong, 2? 1888-Theyor Subfrecia for the Planity ogan Aff. any. 2. Pfrue Subtraine for the Plantf you Plantf P. M. Partis in Court-Separateut- demands hist by guy remi for (and Continue will 3. De. P. M. Aug 2? 1888. 3. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court benine & Subjection reduced and filed -Parties ready for hial fund sum to answer questions as to qualification after which nine gives by Enter of tacto ner Sum to by the Cour knil; g.B. Bader. Ga. Smit. Jakinney ABrun & J. M. Connell. C. Haus M. C. Sather E. Handrow. & all Bucks -, Ja. Simpson Haws & mith. W. E. Bruce & O.C. Smith Sum and Gameric I as withings for the Plantiff- Prosecution Cline ducet-C.S. Reed. J. R. Bene Daac. Erble. E. G. Austin & J. H. Harden. Bun re called by the defun Coun Cloud argued & Dubmitted In the frey for their delebration and recaid " The frey after a short deliberation near returned in to Court by the Juper office of du Come with the fellowing suchel- wirt: The the The run of \$195-50 - C. Havis furnam-

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action a count

Andrew Rerry
Plaintiff

In Anie

Demand \$211. The

Attorney for Plaintiff

Township,

Hiral & Junioum Attorney for Defendant

Defendant DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. Fun 21. Jamplanie filed omming offered you Court - Walten. 25 Dunney Welmed Stewing Garmel Surice gutto defendant togethe with a Copy of the Complaint on file turn on the 23° day of from 1888 - and filed -28. Sopredent-file his aurun to the Pleintff Eneplaint, therin This com me for hime at 11.0. C.a. Mr. July 13th 1888-July. 13. Plaintiff dennes each and every allegation in the Sepresant - auxun Contained -" This Com Continued mile 11. O.C. a. In July 21" 1888. 21. 9. O.C. a.M. Partis in Come-and read fur hial -D. S Wills - a. Morne and augus- Orcene - Suem and examined as withings for the Plant of Sweet Clased The Bulue, and Mm- hair Irum and examina as withouter for the defendant Sepan Clemand Coun Cland arguel and Bubanither - The Come after hanny beaut the ending and king fully Country the Same -Muly by reason of the law and The fecusis er afurain it is arenful and adjudged that the Heritiff do hum and recome of and from the Sefencem- the sum of Done dolley allened by the Coul-and the Dum of Influent dellas the Cart ne cers and incurred in the prosecution of the action in lauful hours of the timber States redyment for the abune allowed for finispet " " Justies for 7.00 3,60 White B. & Willen - one day to one mile .. August-area - "

In the Justice's Court of Sourship,

COUNTY OF Summer, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant..

Action The flame

Plaintiff

Vs.

Hops

Demand \$299.0%

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS.

June 21th Implaint-filed-

In the Justice's Court of Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She People of California Plaintiff....

Sadie Shamun

Defendant....

Action Trefine Laws of M.-fame

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant...

<i>A</i> .	10 18	Y.
DATE. Fer	ac for	PROCEEDINGS.
Em N		Confeleunt files. Manual fund of the Charge against her and of her right in the premis Sofulant plead het quille as Charge Sofulant plead het quille as Charged Sofundant held for hial in a Bound of \$5000.
Many	24.	This come Set for hial at 10.00. am. June 27. 1888- Sofgedent demand hial by frag tenie if new - Frank Dulpenna for the People and Sportent - 10. O.C. a. M. Sofundant in Court-and Parks rang for hind Juney Suem to anomy questions as to qualification. After which Swell Juney were Soon to by the Course, Thilups Suem and examined for an Car-course Submitted to the Juny for this delibration and moderated.
San.	0	July returned in to Court-with a meliet of acquittal July and abfordant dis chayed - S.H. Thill J.D.
Page 274.		Therefore by reason of the law and the fermies aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Said Plaintiff do have and recome of and from the Said defendant— The Sum of One hundred and huid- from & "/w- dollar- amount-allered by the Jung- and the Sum of \$43.50 Casts necessarily in Curred in this action in lawful many of the truited States—
Muse George Lis Man Sagara		Judy ment fie the amount allowed by the form 195-50 " Gustable form 12.29 " Constable form 17.00 " Mitrip - H. Smith - Due day one Trule 220 " De Bruth
of of	2	

Township,

COUNTY OF

, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She People of Elipenic Plaintiff. Wilsing Cornen Wills;
Murhall. & Sewan Defendant... Action listing have of Fill-form

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE /888

June 21. Campleon filed Harrand- June and give Coul- Walter -W. 22 Spudant Whimie onen in Cant and reporte of the Trage against-hu - I her right in the Jeremin -Sofrecant felials not quill- as Charged - Sefendamiheld for hiel in a Buil of \$55° bound appoint of the Sefundant W. Marshall in Court and informed of de Charge against him and of his right in the fearnis Enfracent please, not quilly as Chapel Efucient depoint the Dune of \$ 500 undlade of Bud for his offence petrick -27. how Comes the arrestered district atting 5. El chule and Then the Coul to dismiss the about entitles action refun the ground that the anderce is insufficient to to Conside the above nemed definateur of to change of which they are account It is to arobust

June Page 281-

Sefundant- Mund the Court-to grant him and -"which muchin was normber defractant- Ecops-" Much by nearn of the law and the premis afrerain it is ordered and adjudged that you I.a. holk for Daie offen be and you ar herely friend with Sume of Dere dollar and in default of the payment of Dail fin that you be and you are long Commetted to the Shiff of the Coney - of June and by Dereit Steriff impressed in the County fail of Bores quely in the further of one day imprior

Township,

Plaintiff Demand \$	
16 11 2181.	
ou. No ou -	Attorneyfor Plaintiff
Defendant	Attorneyfor Defendant

22. Supland filed harrand free and you Cout Walter. All " Enfinement in Court and informed of the Chain against him acce of his right in the framis of Separtant pleases met quilly-Lopudent held for high in the run \$500 Buch appear of flee 25. This Cenu Set for hiel at 111. O.C. a. M. July 2. 1888. I mud Sulfrend for the People July. 2. Can Continue mutil 10.00. a.m. July-10th 1888-Informat demanded his hypry-9. Venin & Subfund found and gan and 111. 11. O.C. a.M. Sefudant-in Come hence and Subficina aurun question as to qualification ofthe which the following Que seen Sum to try the Cause of a. B. Milliam H. Thrushu H. Smith, h. S. Barner, Cris Lewson B. Brunengh - 3. abbit his Samuel G. h. Themen. G. Ween, G. S. horre- MC. E. Prochi-Count-both a reces until 2.0.8. P.M. This day-" 2. O.C. P. M. Sepudent-in Court- Jung all present farte ready to fucced - annie Timben, M. Printen & Francis Leach Duan and examind as withings for the Seefel after which the francoulin Cloud dence " h. h Othe, alex Thomas, J.G. Daylor Als. a. hobb Brun and examine as withings for the defindent- Sepann Oline charact. after which the Cause was submitted to the guy for him deliberation and rendral- The Jung after a then returned was returned in to Court with the folling undich - by the former office of this Come - he the guy find Sopulant guest and recommend him to the many of the Court - 1. It. Thomas fromme, The Separant- order to appen for Suntine at-11. O.C. Gru. Geof. 11:1888-11 11. O.C. a. M. Sefudane-in Come. This being the hem fied for motione Sofredame informed by the Count of the nature of the Clause against him and of his oflew and of the redice of the pery and was asked whether he had any legal Counte- Sheen my Judgment Should nul- be General greine hem See Page 280No. 282.

In the Justice's Court of

(3)

Township,

COUNTY OF Section STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Make In Surf.

Demand 8.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

June 22 anglaint file Hancom - Jule & gin Coul - Hallis.

Sipulant in Court and informed of the Change against him and of his right in the furniss & proceed pleas, he guiltyas charge - Expuelant held for him aid in the Dune of \$500.

Bouch affine and files
July P. Min Court Set for him at 10.00. a.M. July 11 1888
any 8. Mis court dis nifer for ment of Sure contin
Stitle get

Township,

COUNTY OF

..., STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She People of Colofunic Plaintiff Defendant

Action Indanity Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 22. Complant-by afficience-filed by & Griffith - Transmit opened retinants before Hen M. 12. Hereis Despire grayer & Holder g. 11

3.

Township,

COUNTY OF Secure STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action held of head of interest.

Action held of head of interest.

Demand \$ 246. Folian

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendants

DATE. 1844

PROCEEDINGS.

PROCEEDINGS.

July (2.) Summer files Summers of med of give Court, hallow.

19. Summer admire of burning fewereal desire and of fundament of the Complaint on fillen and 184,27th day of frame 1888 and folias.

19 In this action the defendant of folia havy low expulsery derived for on the 22 day of five 1888 with force of and having failed to affect and the themathy Consplaint on file luin and the him allowed by force for anomaly have effect the defend of the dain defendant is hard duly ordina according to lund from any or hard and the green in further from and diverse each and every ally attention in the Plaintiff Compliant Continued upon the green Reach and sure that Buil Complaint deather of Continued fresh Infficient Constitute a court of Cotton —

tice's Court of Branch, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Description

Plaintiff Demand \$411. 5/100—

Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1989 June 13. Joinfelamit filed Dunning offered oyni Court Walter " affidant for attachment mede tiled timeder talling on attrictional affine & filed Wil- of attachental of peace & gin Count hallen 26. Summery returned Showing Spermal Sinie on the defende together with a copy of the Camplaint- on file here the 23. day of fun 1888 and filed " Wit of allether Execution returned and filed July. B. In this action the define and having been regularly Some with Two cops and having failed to appear and annualle Pleintiff Complaint on file herie area the time allowed by lem for answering henry experie the define of the brief definance in the funcing hemy ben duly antied according to Leur " In this action the defudent- having been regularly Sure south freef and hany failed to affen and anou to Plantiff Camplance him and the defearled of the buil defendant heavy ben duly entered according to live " Therefor by reason of the law and the premies aferraid it is ordered and adjudged the the flamity do have and re ou of and from the defendant the Sum of First-and 85/14-dellay the amust Succe for and the fulle dune - \$15-76/pr, Costs necessaris incomed in The fewer Outin of this action in lawful mine of the h. D-Judgment for the femicipal med for 44.83-" " " " fustion fres -8,50 " (andall " " Detal amond of fredment. July. B. Execution Returned Storing Judy mont Cast and accounting Cast to be \$59 8/10 and Sand Greentine Predicted by - \$22.60Leaving a bulance due and impacie of \$37, 26

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

his D. Rich Defendant Action Visterbry the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Township,

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. and filed Warrant - of med Agrin Cout - M. M. Belland waring the leger annihat to the Curtery of the Short of the infirment for every dellar of Said fine

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Action Balling Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. 24 Julland filed Warrent of wed Agrin Court & a Buster - and informed of the his right- in the ferences or Repreda hiel fune 29a1 1888, al-16.08. a. In 24. Soprecent filed his Bune \$100" for his and filed-Parties ready for time, Junes Sum 4 question as to qualification - after ente ting the Canon-truit; & Disepose J. h. Pettella, J. Gamis, C. M. Buca - J. h. hunde & M. Smudden P. M. Jenn, Ba. Vannely W. M. Sonala Ge. Hill. S. n. normanmed as anitruly for the Pen outin - Prencation nitrifes for the defourer Cause Ging after a she Court by the freper July. 2. Soprand-paid Daid fine- and Visolugion-

COUNTY OF GUILLE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of 32 Township,

The People of California Plaintiff. Defendant.

Action Polit Garen

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant.

June 24 Pariflaint filed Nament-Spice Figure Paris Court H.M. Bree

In the Justice's Court of Anna Township,

COUNTY OF

....., STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff. Bury Bully - Jums Defendant.

Action Lis Truling the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff...

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888

PROCEEDINGS.

un 15, amplaint-film Warrant four agein Court - 12. In Bellhis rights vie the Jersining Sufundant plead marie The legal time for De & Hille &m

COUNTY OF Janua, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

Action Ball
People of California
Plaintiff
Demand \$

Defendant.....

Action Bally

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney ____for Defendant

Jun 14 Porphaint filed harrant from typin Court Bestlett,

Refe " Separated his court and informed of the Chan again him

and of his right in the forms is Separant Committed

to the Sheif of the Court of home for his in defente

of baile in the Donne of Joo on

26 Separate gan Burd in the Donne of \$50" for his

approved of Partis thes Court is treather a such filed

By Court of Partis thes Court of the Passe of the

of the B. Just circle Donneship Court of Busin and

State of Partis in Donneship Court of Summans

Township,

COUNTY OF JAMES ... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of Californic Plaintiff

28.

Asset Bobin-& Thoo Grinn Defendant 3 Action bassing

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. 1889 PROCEEDINGS. Suffered filed Mariant of the Charge against Theme Such of the Charge against Theme and of the Charge against These guilty and of the rained the legal time for Boutones - Suffered alone at one to the town - Stitle get COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of Township,

Action Bully Blu People of Californic Plaintiff Jack Pratter Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff. Defendant Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1889 PROCEEDINGS June 25 amplaint filed hancent- your togin Court : Walter. of his rights in the ferences - Sopurant - please guilty-Le manie the legal time for Dentwee -Suffered and fried and Paris \$100 - Colone ent dis changes

3.

Township,

COUNTY OF Server STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Solid Lacy

Action Solid Lacy

Demand 8

Plaintiff

Defendant

Defendant

DATE 1827

PROCEEDINGS.

PROCEEDINGS.

July 25. Could sint filed havent found of your Court Walter filed have in formed of the Charge against him and of his rights in the freeming before the source of his rights in the former of sice. Show affective and filed of the Dum of sice. Show fully 14 Mis Comme and filed at-1000.1.M. July-16. 1888-16. This comme is huly sumper for name of free States

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

3,

Almany M. Lee

Plaintiff

18.

H. Buzzz. H. H.C.B. Gill

Defendant S.

Action Cecount—

Demand \$ 165 ".

Township,

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS. 24, amplant files Summery Juen of a Court - Hallin. 27. Summer I relund Shenrig fournal Emia auth definants to grile with a copy of the Complaint on file levin on the 270 day of from 1888. and folest July. 2. how Com, the defendant by his attemps Church Hay and for dening and don't that the Plantiff Complaint. States facts Sufficient to Constitute a count of action -1. Mepulati files - His com set for hour at-2. Co. 1. M august 90 1484 - Sefrulant for augus duris cach and eny material allegation in the Plaintiff Emplant Centenna - Trucca Suppen for Mentill -9" This Cown Continued mulil 11. O.C. a. M. Cheg-14" (888-14. Cour by Cournel Continued generally-Sept: 3. This Court by Stepplestin is rel-for 2. C.C. P.M. Sept: 7th 1884-Stepulatin filed -7. This Centre Continued grundly General of Cartis.

COUNTY OF GRAND STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Action Bulling Bhe Deeple of California Plaintiff. Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff. Defendant Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. 1888

In the Justice's Court of James Township,

Resum, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action Sistembing the Peace Demand \$ Attorney.....for Plaintiff... Defendant Attorney for Defendant.

DATE. 1878 PROCEEDINGS. July. 2. This Come But - for time-11.0.C.a.m. July - 5th 1888 -14 This Cenum Int- fu livel at-2.00. In July-19. 1888.
19. This Cenum alis mipur fu named purnoution—
Still get

Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action bazance Demand \$ Defendant.S.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant...

DATE./887

PROCEEDINGS.

Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Action Who of house fru Bolistis Defendant

Demand \$1000 to inhunt & ally fur-H. H. helse Attorney for Plaintiff.

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. /488 PROCEEDINGS June 27. Jourstand-file Summer free & give Plantiff Any. 27. Summer returns blening furnal runic an the abfusant-bysthe with a Cepy of the Complaint-on file human the 27th day of any-1485- and filed -31. This Comme dis wife of and of Plantiff Mp-layer and filed

Township,

COUNTY OF JONES , STATE OF CALIFORNIA. Demand \$ Attorney for Plaintiff. Defendant. Attorney.....for Defendant... DATE./888 PROCEEDINGS.

In the Justice's Court of 3? Township,

COUNTY OF SURVEY., STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Recent

Demand \$ 79. 75/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. /888

PROCEEDINGS.

June 29. Complaint files Summer four your Court. Walten

3.

Township,

COUNTY OF June

.... STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Daniel Vezzan
Plaintiff

Vs.

Defendant

Action Recount

Demand \$250 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE / 788 PROCEEDINGS aupland- filed Summy ofmed & goin Com- Lunafficarie for assuchment made of file mulistating on alla dinne affund and files Win- of attachmine find agin Court Iman 311. Juniny returned Showing furnial Denie on the defendant Logithe with a copy of the Complaine on file him on the 29th day of June 1888 and files Wil- of attachment Execution estimas and filiahow cong M. March ter and file this Interior 6. In this action the defendant having been regularly Some with Jovef and having failed to appear and answer to Plantf Cantlain on file him and the time allower by law for annuing henry refund the default of the Said of present in the fremis as whent aluly anties according than-En this action the defindent-living been regular brend with freed and having failed to appear and aware the Plenty Confil aint- herin, and the legal time for anoung having It find and the default of the Daid defendant to the Jumis is heref duly antica according to tem " how at this day on application of heady theboth atterney for Plantiff It is around that fendy ment be entired Kouri again - The baid defindent in accordance with the Jerage of Said Plaintf Camplaint on file time Muster by narm of the law and the fuenis & operaid il- is arched and adjudged that the Plentiff do been quel recome of and from the Baid office and the Bones of Bus House and fifty dellay the amount bun for and for aller Costs necessary income in the ferese cultin of this action in lauful mone of the timber States -Judy munt for the finicipal down for 250,00 838 " fushes Filat amount - of July ment Filles got Su /age 315-

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California
Plaintiff

Ps.

January H. Gasl
Defendant

Action Robbing

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

S. M. Scalin.

Attorney for Defendant

Township,

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS Tun 29. Jonaplain- filed Warrend- free Lyrin Coun- Barther Sofueland in Court and informed of the Cheer against him and of his right on the fremier, Sofudam Committed to the Shereff of the Count of Frien in default of about in the Denn of \$1000; to anail- his agamination. 6. This Cown But - for Ex annual at - 2, O. e. M. M. July . 7 " 1884 -Thee Subpense for the People and chefre came 7. 2. O.C. P. M. Sopuelent- in cour Subpend returned and filed Sopredent ready for his Examination - J. See. Smith A. H. Henne, Ge. Buful; and Ed. Duglien - Sum and Examined as welings for the People Prose culo Clare Sefredent nand the intreduction of any terting -Caren Clama and Submitted, The Court after heaving the evidence and bearing full Considered to Dame and it- appearing to me that the offere of Rolly has been committed on or about the 27th day of June 1888 - and cheek there is sufficient. Counter believe to alone name Jany H. Jarl. guilly- thing. & Of der that he he like to ausm & the Semme and Counted to the Shriff of the County of France ared that he be accomitted to buil in the Sum of Our Hunrand dellers and that he is Committee he the Sheriff of the Cenny of June unte Rogin Such bail-

Township,

COUNTY OF

...., STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of Colifornia Plaintiff.

Vs.

Lee Aufust— Defendant.

Action Bulling

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

DATE./488

PROCEEDINGS.

Rune 19. Complaint-filed handet offered & give Court-Booker

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

Blu People of Calefornier Plaintiff. In Authorich-Defendant.

Action Bis hirlythe Pera

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. 1888 PROCEEDINGS 31. auflant filed Harrant- Speed & zin Court Walter. " Soprelent in Court and informed of the Chan again him and of his right we the framing - Especia and pleader guillyared waring the legal him for Sullive Suprement fine and finice \$500 - S. H. Thile g. of num Page 3112. 6. Planitf fils his awne to M. Ward the futurenter -7. Set- for heaving July 11" at 9. O.C. a.M. --

11. 2. O.C. P.M. Subpanes ofmed and returned and filed - Parter in Court and ready to proceed - barriel began. The forms Plantiff and Sopredant- allowed to amond this pleadings What Is Swencent examine futhe Purecution -Paul Myn and J. M. Mexander man and examed as withing for the Sofren Com Close and Intented The Cent after having heard the sirance and have fully Convection the Seeme order that the Complaint-in interesten be dis mipue at the last of he have the the internes refree the ground, that the testing fales to establis the allegations in the Complaint in interestion -

Township,

COUNTY OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Poople Spalifornia Plaintiff. De Rurell Sieng hatiful Action Mahierous Mis chief

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE. /888 Jun 31. Jomplanie file Warrend - June 4 zim Bust France July 2. Separent Joseph Makelian in Cour and informed of the Charge against him and of his with in the fremen defection pleady not gulf-amel filed his bure of \$25% for his appearance (3. Defrucant-Ge. Anerell, in Cour and informed of the Change again. Time and of his right- in the framises Especiant-Polead not goodf - His methow ages, to bong him inte-Came - at - 2. De. P.M. July 5th - 1888 -8. This came disnessed for nam- of huncula.

COUNTY OF June

, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B.

She Deepl of California Plaintiff

Facol Sabel & Frank Irop

Defendant S.

Action Polit Baseery

Demand \$.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Township,

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE. /888 31. Souplain-file Warrent- Speel & gin Court-Bartell. July D. Vifudant in But and informed of the Charge against him and of their rights in the premiers Sepureauts-plead notquilly- and required to give Buch in the Sume of \$50" lach of them for Uni ofference, for heal " This Que Set for hial at-1. O.C.P.M. Bulg 9th 1888-Enfruelant bendy appund and filed -9. 1. O.C. P.M. Sepulant in Court Com Continue 1.00. P. In - July 30h (489 " aun Continua mitil 11.00. a. M. My 8. 1488. Enforcements treal of Junyremie fruit and gam Censtable. His Comm is Continued antil Defe 1" 1888. 11. D.E. a. M. 10 10. O.C. Our. Defendant in Coul- Newire and Bulpuna Returne and filed-Parties Trendy futirel. Jures Sum to answer questions as to qualification - after which the following Jus new Sween to tig the Cause truit: J. a. Gregg- J. B. Juny- John Walter C. S. Cunan, Sol. Wolner. Robert Walter G. R. G. Glenn. C.C. Harris J.M. Nore, a. A. Hantill The midhall and a.S. hilling -" & Lehmann, mr. & Telmann. - A Collin J. M. Siniforen, Jacob Reeder-C. J. Smith, Samuel Miloza Ge. Warhburn, - Sum and examinas ustrups for the People John M. Douell Jack Jull ment hop-de cleme Canen Closed argued and Bubinither to the July for Their debilication and under , Juny fuces de detendant met quelle Colona ends - dischuse Striffice &M

Township,

COUNTY OF June - , STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Vishuling the Pace

Demand \$...

The People of California Der Plaintiff Der Der All Hill and John For "Smarnen" clinah GenDefendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Desendant

DATE. /488

PROCEEDINGS.

July 2. Complaint-file hander of some of your Const-Walten.

8. Se fundant this and of his right in the fremier Separant-flow

against him and of his right in the fremier Separant-flow

guilt-as charge and naud the legal him for Bentinee.

Befundant fixed hunt dollar and in default of the

fragment of said five you are hely Committee to

the Sheiff of the Court of more and ly said Sheiff
imprisoned in the Court fail of Said Said Sheiff
properties of one day imprisonment for every

oldlar of Said five —

St. Hill - G. M.

Township,

COUNTY OF Prince, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Deeph of Calefrinice Plaintiff b. R. Sym

Defendant.

Action Sinturbing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE /888

PROCEEDINGS.

2. Complaint-file hanant-ifmed & you Court- trallen. We Sopredent in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right in the fremes defudant pleads quilly-" Sofredand- frien Sen debley and in default- of the the Shuff of the County of Jum and by Baid Storiff inference the County of Jum and by Baid Storiff inference the County fail of Said County in the Jeropertin of aneday imprisonment - fer each delly of Dair fin-Stitle go

Township,

COUNTY OF Jurie, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Shi People of California Plaintiff EM. Williams Defendant

Actron Malicius Mischip -

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Desendant

DATE. 1888 2. Complaint- filed Hanant- June & Finen Court- Walton -W. 3. Sefundam-in Com- and informed of the Charge against line and of his right- in the ferenion Sepresant- pleads het grief Separant allend to appear on his own recymogene in an obligation of \$500 -11. This Cour Set fu hiel at- 1.0. P.M. July 13t. 1888 Spruce remie and Subprimer - & your Constato-13" L. O.C. P.M. Inferedant in Come- Nemies and Dubpend romine ared filed - Partis ready for hiel - Juny brum to answer question as to quale fication after which the felling force Bullo Frank Saming- G. A. b. blom. We Show John Church Thomas Budge- Stobed-Reader- W.S. Islanagan. J. M. Rare - mellow Green-Charly Therep mo & Bleened and hip anie Had- Summand examuel as withings - People Clone direct -Sofredent mond the Court to grant a nowwitand to so instruct the frey thetin owenled and Safredout except - " It. Williams mean aramind as a certief in his own behelf Com Clina cique and Submitted - to the few furthin deliberation and redict - The frey after some length of time showin deleberation were returned in Court by the feet office of this Coul Stating a the Come-that them was no Same The come dis mifed for nearly of Sunonti-

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Justice's Court of ®

Township,

The People of Californial Plaintiff Verze Helley—

Action Sisterling the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

DATE./888

PROCEEDINGS.

July 3. Complaint filed Warrant June & zin Count Walton

15. Sefundant ni Come and informed of the Cleage against line

We area of his right in the fermion Separate flows Tion
grief - Cam Int- fee hire at 7. Oc. 2. In July 12" 1988.

Vefundant gam Bud ni the Dum of \$50. fee his affirme Boar affinishment

11. Separate and Economia and des degree

Station 29

Defendant.

Township,

COUNTY OF June, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

She People of California Plaintiff J. A. Waterman

Action Balley

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. /488

PROCEEDINGS.

Conflaint filed Marrant of the Clay againt him and of his right, in the Jumino-Enfredant pleases quelly-and of his right, in the Jumino-Enfredant pleases quelly-and mais the logal time for Sentence — Separant fried and Paid \$100 Alis chaped.

COUNTY OF Busice ____ STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Phu People of California Plaintiff Shows Pang_ Defendant. Action Coistmingthe Peace

Demand \$

PROCEEDINGS

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

Township,

DATE./888

3. 3. Complaint filed transant ifund & gine Court Holling.

" Sofundand-in Court-and informed of the Charge against

This and of his right- in the fremiss Sofulant pleads

the quilty I beforedant held for his appearance for

hial in the sum of high-billay Bud offere there-14, This Coun Int- for hiel at-1.00.8. In July. 18" 1888-

Aug. 8. This Cerem dis misper for mand-of Suncum St. Thile for 18. The Coun Centimed Jumsely

Township,

COUNTY OF Zum_, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Defendant

The People of Calefornice Plaintiff. H. Pengner & John Shaw

Action Sisterling the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant

Sittill &M

DATE. PROCEEDINGS 5th Pareflaint-filed Warrent-offeed tyin Court- Walter-Sofulants in Court and informed of the Charge against There ared of their rights in the premies Soprelands flower quilly as obsegued and nain the light time for Sentine. Sepredant H. Pringne and John Bleam find Len delley each of them beforedent - HProngen alone fail dais frie and des Obuyed _ and in default of the fragment of your fin you folis heaven be and you are hely Committed to the Storiff I the County of Grown and by Device Striff improved Of Due days imprisonment for any dellar of Said frie ~

In the Justice's Court of Fisher Township,

COUNTY OF Prierry, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of Edifinic Plaintiff J. C. Smith-Defendant

Action Sisterly the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS. July 6th Complaint files Warrene-ifmed ogen Court - Walter quilly- Vefindant- fine \$111" and allende nutil July 21 m h pay Sand - frie 21. In default of the payment of Said it's account and adjudged that you J. J. Smith be and you are hung and by Sein Shiff imprisoner in the Conny-gain of Said Tours - in the perpetion of One day imprisonment for sury delly of Daid fine S. H. Thill go

3.

Township,

COUNTY OF Buil -, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff. Grett. Reland & John Hoffme Defendant S Action Visterly Peace

Demand \$

Attorney.....for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1489 5ª Complaine filed harant-offeed typin Coul- his. Hill AN " Sepudents- in Court- and informed of the Change agant Thinis and of his right in the fremis - Sopudant Sich Relaviel plead gulf as Chazel and reamon the legal time la delle - Dépudant-fined \$111" - and allered muhil 3. O.C. P. M. to pay Duca frim -4. O.C. P. M. in default of the freyment of Said fine that you wick Roland be and you see though Commetted to the Shiff of the County of muc- and by bacol Straiff imprisoned in the County facily Suid (any in the fropulion of suday infirmment for any dollar of Said fine - Stille & of Sofredeel felice toffer fleady met guely-Infractacut held for his affection for hiel 4. This Course dis mifel for new- of bundent

Township,

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff. Monis Campbell-Defendant.

Action Sistershing the Peace

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney.....for Defendant.

DATE./888

PROCEEDINGS

July. 5. Pariflaint-filed transant- france & gine Court- M. S. Hill Will defendent in Come and informed of the Clear again line and fre the right in the ferenism Separant Pleaded Zuelf and waring the legal time for Sentence Sofredant-fried Great dellas and in default of the Granut of Said fine that you be and you are hing Committed to the Crested of the Sheif of the County of Since and by Said Sheif imprisoned in the County frie of Said Tours in the propertion of one day imprisonments for eny dollar of Bard fine-

3.

Township,

COUNTY OF

Defendant

, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Action Gistruly the Prece

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorneyfor Defendant.

DATE. 1889

PROCEEDINGS.

But, 5, Complaint files Warrent from typin Court Builted.

W. Separant in Court and informed of the Chaye against him and of his right in the framis Reputant floods guilte as Chayed and season the logal time for Sentice sefurious fries Sen sollar and allered until fully the him which to fay daid fries - 21. In default of the fragment of daid fries it is crossed and adjusted that you think means be and you are hereby amounted to the Bleiff of the Court of Successful daid fries of daid Court in the frequency in the Court of Successful day and fine of daid free sury dalles of daid fries.

Soll day adules of daid fries.

Township,

COUNTY OF June STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of California Plaintiff

Action Jude coul- Le parme

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. /898

PROCEEDINGS.

July. 5th Conflaint filed Warrent-iffered Agrin Court Harris

iff nt...





